Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

9
34M
p.2

FLUID MILK AND CREAM REPORT
JULY 1959

LIBRARY
CURRENT SERIAL RECORD
★ JUL 31 1959 ★
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUMMARY

Milk for fluid use in the United States averaged higher in price seasonally in early July at both producer and consumer levels, the Crop Reporting Board reports. Dealer prices were higher in 48 markets or 1 in about every 3 markets reporting, and were lower in 3. Consumer prices varied with different market situations. Single quarts delivered to homes were higher in 30 markets but lower in 2. Out-of-stores: higher prices for quarts were reported in 25 markets and lower prices in 5; half-gallons were up in 20 markets and down in 5; gallon changes by markets were: increases 10, decreases 5. Producer prices are not currently determined in a number of markets, but these will be shown next month.

Prices paid by dealers for milk for fluid use in early July in about 160 markets, averaged $5.28 per hundredweight (on a 3.5 percent milkfat basis) -- increases of 19 cents from June and 2 cents from July a year earlier. Price advances from June to July occurred in all regions except the South Atlantic and the Mountain States. Producer price gains ranged from 1 cent in the Pacific region to 45 cents in New England. Compared with July last year, prices were higher in 4 of the 9 regions, the increases ranging from 1 cent in the East North Central to 19 cents in the Pacific area. The lower average prices in the other 5 regions varied from 2 cents in the West North Central to 13 cents in the South Atlantic.

The average price per single quart of milk delivered to homes in 25 major cities was 25.5 cents. This was 0.3 cent above June and 0.4 cent more than in July 1958. Compared with the 1953-57 average for July, the price this month was 2.3 cents higher. Prices were as much as one-half cent per quart higher in Boston and Detroit. One cent per quart increases in home delivery prices were reported in Hartford, New York City, Philadelphia, Chicago, Omaha, Salt Lake City, and Portland, Oregon.

Sales of whole milk in 50 Federal Order and 4 State marketing areas during April (on an average daily basis) were 2 percent higher than both those of March 1959 and April 1958. Cumulative sales for January through April this year were also 2 percent above that period last year. Skim milk sales in April were 9 percent above April a year earlier. Milk and cream mixtures (half-and-half) showed a 6 percent increase from April 1958 to April 1959; fluid cream sales were only 3 percent lower for the same period. Total fluid product sales in 50 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas during April were 3 percent higher than in that month last year. Average daily receipts in 67 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas during May were 6 percent above April and 3 percent above May a year earlier.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service
Da 1-3 (7-59)
Crop Reporting Board
Washington, D.C.

PRICES IN FLUID MILK MARKETS, JULY 1959

In New England, dealers' buying prices for Class I milk (3.5 percent milkfat basis) in early July averaged $6.03 per hundredweight -- 45 cents higher than in June, and 8 cents above July 1958. Class I prices in the Maine markets reporting were up 88 cents per hundredweight. Single quart prices were 1 to 3 cents higher and half gallons were 4 cents above June. New Hampshire fluid use milk prices were 44 cents per hundredweight above the previous month. Single quart prices in Concord were up 1 cent but prices in the remaining markets in the State have not been reported. Bellows Falls and Burlington, Vt. prices to consumers were up 2 cents and 1 cent per quart, respectively. Producer prices in these markets are not currently determined. The five markets in Massachusetts, the two markets in Rhode Island, and the two in Connecticut reported their producer prices 44 cents per hundredweight higher. In Boston, single quart prices were reported unchanged, but half-gallons and gallons were each from 8 to 9 cents per container lower as a result of unsettled conditions following the relaxing of State controls. Lowell and Lawrence, Mass. reported unchanged retail prices. Springfield and Worcester, Mass. prices to consumers were about 1 cent per quart higher. Newport, R. I. single quart and half-gallon prices were up 1 cent per quart. Providence, R. I. home delivery prices were 2 cents per quart higher but in stores, prices were up only 1 cent. Connecticut prices were about one-half cent per quart above the June level.

In the Middle Atlantic States, Class I milk prices paid by dealers averaged $6.02 per hundredweight. This was 35 cents above June and 2 cents over July last year. In the New York-New Jersey marketing area, Class I prices were 44 cents per hundredweight higher. Binghamton prices were unchanged for home delivery quarts, but advanced 1 cent for both quarts and half-gallons out of stores. New York City prices rose 1 cent per quart. Single quarts in Schenectady were up 1 cent, but half-gallons were up 4 cents. Northeastern New Jersey prices were 1 cent higher for home delivery quarts. Other markets in New Jersey were up 40 cents per hundredweight for Class I milk. Retail prices in these markets increased about 1 cent per quart. In Philadelphia, Class I prices advanced 40 cents per hundredweight. Home delivery prices were reported 1 cent per quart higher, but store prices were unchanged.

Prices of milk for fluid use in early July in the East North Central region averaged $4.08 per hundredweight -- 10 cents more than in June and 1 cent above last July. Akron, Ohio reported half-gallons 1 cent higher, but no changes in other retail prices. Producer prices in this market are not currently determined. South Bend, Ind., Class I prices were 17 cents per hundredweight above June. Single quarts were unchanged, but half-gallons were up to 3 cents and gallons were up to 7 cents higher. In Chicago, Ill. and closely related markets, Class I prices rose 19 cents per hundredweight. Prices in most of these markets ranged from unchanged to 1 cent per quart higher for various container sizes.

Prices paid for milk for fluid consumption in the West North Central States averaged $4.17 per hundredweight in early July. This figure was 24 cents over the June price, but was 2 cents under July a year earlier.

Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn. Class I prices increased 42 cents per hundredweight. Consumer prices in these markets were unchanged to 1 cent per quart higher. Des Moines and Ft. Dodge, Iowa, Class I prices were up 19 cents per hundredweight. Single quart prices in Des Moines were unchanged, but Ft. Dodge prices were not reported. Prices in St. Louis and Springfield, Mo. and other related markets increased 40 cents per hundredweight for Class I milk. St. Louis consumer prices were unreported, but other of these related markets which reported were unchanged. Fargo-Moorhead, N. D.-Minn., home delivery prices were unchanged but store prices were as much as 1 cent per quart lower. Producer prices in this market were not reported. Lincoln, Nebr., half-gallon and gallon prices were somewhat lower. Omaha, Nebr. consumer prices were up to 1 cent per quart higher. Producer prices in these markets are not currently determined.

Class I prices paid by dealers in the South Atlantic area averaged $6.07 per hundredweight in early July -- 2 cents below June, and 13 cents below July last year. Wilmington, Del., Class I prices were 40 cents per hundredweight above the previous month. Home delivery quarts and half-gallons out-of-stores were 1 cent per quart higher; single quarts out-of-stores were unchanged. Prices paid for milk for fluid use and single quarts at homes and in stores in Washington, D. C. and nearby Maryland were unchanged with a new Federal Order on July 1. Half-gallons and gallons out-of-stores were slightly higher. In Alexandria and Arlington Class I prices were 66 cents per hundredweight lower, while retail prices were unchanged.

In the East South Central region, dealers' Class I prices in early July averaged $5.01 per hundredweight. This was 6 cents above June, but was 4 cents below July a year earlier. Class I prices in Chattanooga, Tenn. were up slightly at both producer and consumer levels. Knoxville reported unchanged Class I prices, but home and store prices were 1 cent per quart lower. Memphis, Tenn., Class I prices were 36 cents per hundredweight higher, but consumer prices were unchanged.

West South Central area dealers' Class I prices in early July were $5.35 per hundredweight on the average. Compared with the previous month, these were 9 cents higher but for the same month the previous year were 8 cents lower. Ft. Smith, Ark., Class I prices were up 39 cents per hundredweight, but prices to consumers were reported unchanged. Shreveport, La., Class I prices were 38 cents per hundredweight higher with consumer prices reported unchanged. Class I prices in Tulsa, Okla. rose 39 cents per hundredweight. Single quarts were reported unchanged, half-gallons 1 cent higher, and gallons 6 cents lower. Austin and Dallas, Tex., Class I prices were up 11 cents per hundredweight. Austin consumer prices were unreported, but Dallas prices showed no change to 1 cent per quart higher.

In the Mountain States, average Class I prices in early July were $5.51 per hundredweight. This was 1 cent lower than in June and 12 cents below July 1958. A price "war" was reported in Colorado Springs and Pueblo, Colo., as half-gallon and gallon prices were substantially below June. Class I and single quart prices in these markets were unchanged. Salt Lake City, Utah reported Class I prices unchanged, but home and store prices were 1 cent per quart higher.

Milk prices paid for fluid consumption in the Pacific States averaged $5.19 per hundredweight -- 1 cent over June, and 19 cents above July a year earlier. Portland and Salem, Oregon prices were higher by 22 cents per hundredweight for Class I milk and 1 cent per quart at homes and stores. In San Diego, Calif. Class I prices were unchanged, but home and store prices advanced about one-half cent per quart.

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
July 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices b most common grade			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use a			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
NEW ENGLAND:										
MAINE -S										
Augusta.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d2.76	88	45-47	23-24	25½-26½	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d2.76	90-92	45-47	23-24	25½	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	3.7	6.17	est.7.3	6.02	d2.79	86	46	22	25½	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth....	3.7	6.17	est.7.3	6.02	d2.81	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls..			Not determined			76	39-41	20	23	4.0
Burlington.....			Not determined			-	39	20	22-24	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	3.7	6.17	est.7.3	6.02	d3.13	86-90	35-44	21-22½	25-26	3.7
Fall River.....	3.7	6.24	est.7.3	6.09	d2.81	-	-	-	-	-
Lowell-Lawr....	3.7	6.17	est.7.3	6.02	d2.81	-	-	-	-	-
Springfield....	3.7	6.17	est.7.3	6.02	d2.81	88	41	23-24	28-28½	-
Worcester.....	3.7	6.17	est.7.3	6.02	d2.81	81-88	41	22½-23½	26½-27	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport.....	3.7	6.24	est.7.3	6.09	d2.81	-	47	24	26	3.7
Providence.....	3.7	6.24	est.7.3	6.09	d2.81	86	44-45	23	m26	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	3.7	6.17	est.7.3	6.02	d2.82	98	-	25½	28½	3.8
New Haven.....	3.7	6.17	est.7.3	6.02	d2.82	98	51	26	27½-28	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK-S**										
Albany-F.....	3.5	5.628	4.0	5.63	-	-	-	-	-	-
Binghamton-F...	3.5	5.50	4.0	5.50	-	84-90	48	24½	28	3.6-3.8
Buffalo.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d4.41	92	50	25½	h31½	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	3.5	e5.95-6.34	4.0	5.95-6.34	-	92-100	49	22-27	30-31	-
Rochester.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d4.49	96	-	24	27	3.6
Schenectady-F..	3.5	5.614	-	5.61	-	-	51	26	28	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	3.5	5.438	-	5.44	-	-	46	23	27	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d2.77	96-100	49	25-26	29	3.65
Camden-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	-	90	-	24	27	3.8
N'eastern NJ.FS*	3.5	e5.95-6.34	4.0	5.95-6.34	-	-	-	25½	29	-
Trenton-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d2.77	88	45-47	23	26½-27	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	4	6.10	5.0	5.85	d4.30	93	46½	23½	25	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	4	6.08	5.0	5.83	-	89-94	45-47	22½-23½	25	3.7
Johnstown.....	4	6.10	6.0	5.80	-	-	-	-	24½	-
Phila.-F.....	3.7	v5.69	d7.0	5.55	d2.96	90	45½-46½	23-23½	27	-
Pittsburgh.....	4	6.28	7.0	5.93	d4.64	91	46½	23½	26	3.5
Reading.....	4	6.10	5.0	5.85	-	90	47	23½	25	3.6
Scranton.....	4	6.00	5.0	5.75	-	90	-	23½	26	3.7
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....			Not determined			-	36	19	22	3.5
Canton.....			Not determined			-	36	19	23	3.5
Cincinnati.....	3.5	4.549	8.0	4.55	4.55	84	42	21-21½	24	3.5
Cleveland.....			Not determined			-	37	19	23	3.5
Columbus.....	3.5	4.293	7.4	4.29	4.29	-	39	21	22	3.5
Dayton.....	3.5	4.437	7.4	4.44	4.44	-	39	22	23	3.5
Toledo.....			Not determined			-	40	20	23-24	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
July 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
NEW ENGLAND:										
MAINE - S										
Augusta.....	HVD	25½-27½		t52	-	HVD	25½-27	50-52	-	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	HVD	25½-27½		t52	-	HVD	25½-27½	50-52	-	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	CL	25½	(D) 1¢-5+;2¢-9+;3¢-12+	-	-	HVD	25½	-	-	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls...	HVD	24	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	23	45	-	4.0
Burlington.....	CL	22-24	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	22-23	43	-	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	HVD	26-26½		47-48	94	HVD	x24-25	38	73	3.7
Fall River.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Lowell-Lawr.....	HVD	25½		n49½	-	HVD	26	-	-	3.7-4.0
Springfield.....	HVD	31		n59	-	HVD	26½	40-43	76	3.7
Worcester.....	HVD	28½	(W) ½¢-12+; 1¢-21+	n54-56	-	HVD	24½	43-45	82-87	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND -F*										
Newport.....	H	27		-	-	H	26	51	-	3.8
Providence.....	H	m28	(M) 2¢-90+; 3¢-120+	m55	110	H	j26	50-51	98	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	HVD	29½	(M)1¢-90+; (D) ½ gal. 4¢-2+	58	-	HVD	26½-27	53	-	3.7
New Haven.....	HVD	28½-29	(D) ½ gal 4¢-2+	56-57	-	HVD	j30	-	-	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK- S**										
Albany-F.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Binghamton-F....	H	28	(D)1¢-2+;2¢-4+;3¢-6+;4¢-12+	-	-	H	25-27	46-52	-	3.6-3.8
Buffalo.....	HVD	h32½		h58	-	H	25-30	48-53	-	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	HVD	31		-	-	H	23-28½	-	-	-
Rochester.....	HVD	28	(W)1¢-8+;1½¢-13+;2¢-19+;2½¢-25+)	-	-	HVD	26-27	49-51	-	3.6
Schenectady-F...	HVD	29	(M)1¢-34+;2¢-54+;3¢-74+;4¢-94+)	55	-	HVD	28-29	51-55	-	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	HVD	28	(½ gal. 10¢-2+)	k55	-	HVD	24-28	47-55	-	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S.	HVD	30		57-59	-	H	-	52-57	-	-
Camden-S.....	HVD	28		53	-	HVD	28	-	-	3.5-3.8
N'eastern N.J.FS*r		30		-	-	r	28½-29	-	-	3.5
Trenton-S.....	HVD	27½-28		54-55	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	HVD	26		51	102	HVD	25-26	49-51	-	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	H	25		50	100	H	24	48	96	3.7
Johnstown.....	HVD	25½		50	100	HVD	24½	48-49	98	-
Phila.-F.....	HVD	28		54	-	H	24½	48	-	-
Pittsburgh.....	H	26		51	-	H	25	49	-	3.5
Reading.....	HVD	26		52	104	HVD	25	50	-	3.6
Scranton.....	CL	26		-	-	H	25	-	-	3.6
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....	HVD	22		40	-	HVD	22	39	t64	3.5
Canton.....	HVD	23	(M) 1¢-60+; 2¢-90+	41	-	HVD	22	39	64	3.5
Cincinnati.....	HVD	24	(M)1½¢-39+;2½¢-74+;3¢-108+	46	-	HVD	24	46	-	3.5
Cleveland.....	HVD	23	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	41	-	HVD	19	37	64	3.5
Columbus.....	HVD	23		43	-	HVD	22	38-41	-	3.5
Dayton.....	HVD	24		m43	63-73	HVD	22-24	39-44	54-67	3.5
Toledo.....	HVD	23		43	-	HVD	22-23	37-39	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
July 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville....			Not determined			80	39	21	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F..			Not determined			71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	3.5	g3.82	d7.0	3.82	-	85	43	22	26-28½	-
Indianapolis..			Not determined			74	37	21	23	3.5
South Bend-F..	3.5	4.05	d7.5	4.05	4.05	69	39	22	24	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	3.5	4.02	-	4.02	-	-	-	-	21	3.5
Chicago-F.....	3.5	eg3.82	d7.0	3.82	3.12	89	43	22	27-30½	3.5
Peoria.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-
Rock Island-F.	3.5	g3.92	7.2	3.92	3.92	77	42	21½	24	3.5-3.6
Springfield...	3.5	f3.55	7.0	3.55	3.55	76	38	21	23	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek..	3.5	4.35	6.5	4.35	-	-	33	18	21	3.8
Detroit-F.....	3.5	est.g4.338	d6.6	4.34	d2.84	80	41	20½	23-23½	3.5
Grand Rapids..	3.5	4.27	6-6.5	4.27	4.27	65-72	29	15-18	21	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lansing.....	3.5	est.4.12	6.0	4.12	-	-	-	-	23½	3.6
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	3.5	3.82	-	3.82	3.12	72	41	21	24	3.5
Eau Claire....			Not determined			-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Green Bay -F..	3.5	3.65	-	3.65	3.65	70	36.3	18.6	21	3.6
Madison.....			Not determined			77-79	41	20½-21	23-24	3.5
Milwaukee-F...	3.5	g3.78	d7.0	3.78	3.12	64	31½	19	23	3.65
Superior-F....	3.5	4.014	8.1	4.01	4.01	76-78	44	22	23	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	3.5	3.50	7.0	3.50	-	-	36	18	20	3.5
Duluth-F.....	3.5	4.014	8.1	4.01	4.01	76-78	44	22	23	3.5
Minneapolis-F.	3.5	4.023	7.98	4.02	4.02	-	-	-	22	-
St. Paul-F....	3.5	4.023	7.98	4.02	4.02	-	-	-	m20	-
Winona.....	3.5	3.55	7.0	3.55	3.55	-	-	i18½	21	-
IOWA										
Burlington....	3.5	4.00	7.0	4.00	-	68	36	18	22	3.7-3.8
Des Moines -F.	3.5	3.92	7.0	3.92	3.92	74	37	19	22	-
Ft. Dodge-F...	3.5	3.72	7.0	3.72	3.72	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux City-F..			Not determined			78	38	19	22	3.5-3.6
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	g4.407	7.5	4.18	4.18	78	39	20	23	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	3.5	4.24	7.0	4.24	4.24	-	-	-	-	-
Springfield...	3.5	3.97	7.0	3.97	3.97	80	39	20	23	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor....	-	-	-	-	-	68	34-35	17	-	-
Grand Forks...	-	D.R.	10.7	3.74	-	70	36	18	k20	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	3.5	4.31	7.0	4.31	4.31	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux Falls...	3.5	4.22	9.2	4.22	4.22	76	41	21	21	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....			Not determined			82	37	19.8	-	4.0
Omaha-F.....			Not determined			72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Scottsbluff...	3.5	4.95	7.0	4.95	-	78	41	21	24	-

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
July 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville.....	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	22-24	39-43	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F...	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21	36-38	71	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	HVD	29½		46-51	82-88	HVD	23-25	43-46	81-83	3.5
Indianapolis...	H	24		42	76	H	23	40-42	72	3.5
South Bend-F...	HVD	24		m41	76	HVD	23-24	39-42	69-76	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	HVD	22		43	-	HVD	22	39-43	-	-
Chicago-F.....	HVD	27-30½		48-59	84-103	HVD	22-25	43-47	80-88	3.5
Peoria.....	HVD	25	(D)1¢-4+	45	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rock Island-F..	H	24		47	87	H	23-24	43-45	81-85	3.5-3.6
Springfield....	HVD	23	(W) 1¢-20+; 2¢-30+	k45	-	HVD	23	39-42	-	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek...	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.6
Detroit-F.....	HVD	23-23½	Varied discount plans	46	-	HVD	20-23	36-46	-	3.5
Grand Rapids...	H	21	(M) 1¢-60; 2¢-61+	w37	-	H	-	-	-	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	H	-		-	-	H	-	-	-	-
Lansing.....	HVD	23½		42	-	HVD	20½-23½	-	-	-
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	HVD	24		44	79	HVD	21-24	41-44	75-79	3.5
Eau Claire.....	HVD	22	(D) 6¢-6+ (½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Green Bay -F...	HVD	21	(D) 1¢-3+ (½ gal. 2¢-2+)	42	-	HVD	20½-21	39½-42	-	3.6
Madison.....	HVD	23-24		44	85	HVD	20½-21	41	79	3.5
Milwaukee F....	HVD	23		42	76	HVD	21	35-36	65-68	3.6
Superior F.....	HVD	24	(D) 3¢-2+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	HVD	20		k40	-	HVD	20	39-40	-	3.5
Duluth-F.....	HVD	23	(D) ½¢-2-5+, all if 6+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
Minneapolis-F..	HVD	22		39	-	HVD	18-20	34½	-	3.5
St. Paul-F.....	HVD	20-21		35-37	-	HVD	20	j32-33	-	3.5
Winona.....	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	i20-21	i39-41	-	-
IOWA										
Burlington.....	HVD	22		41-43	-	HVD	20-21	39-43	-	3.3-3.5
Des Moines -F...	HVD	m22		w45	-	HVD	j23	-	-	-
Ft. Dodge-F....	HVD	-		-	-	H	-	-	-	-
Sioux City-F...	HVD	22		k44	84	HVD	21-22	42-44	-	3.4-3.5
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	23		44	-	HVD	20	39-41	75	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Springfield....	HVD	23		46	-	HVD	22-23	41-43	-	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor.....	HVD	k20		k40	-	HVD	19-20	37-38	-	-
Grand Forks....	HVD	k20		k40	-	HVD	20	40	-	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	H	-		-	-	H	-	-	-	-
Sioux Falls....	HVD	m22		w43	-	HVD	j23	43-45	-	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....	HVD	24		k45	t86	HVD	23	43	t82	3.6
Omaha-F.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	75	3.4-4.0
Scottsbluff....	HVD	k24	(D) 1¢-3+ (2nd ½ gal. 45¢)	k47	-	HVD	24	46-47	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
July 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	g4.407	7.5	4.18	4.18	78	39	20	23	3.3-3.5
Topeka.....	3.8	g4.407	7.5	4.18	4.18	73	37	19	-	-
Wichita.....	3.8	4.707	7.0	4.50	4.50	71	38-41	19½	25	3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington....	4	5.69	5.0	5.44	d2.96	88	39	22	26	3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	3.5	uy est.5.56	7.0	5.56	d3.02	90	42	25½	27	3.7
Cumberland....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL. -F										
Washington....	3.5	g5.94	-	5.94	5.94	95	41	24	26½	4.0
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-FS*.	3.5	g5.94	-	5.94	5.94	-	46	23	26	4.0
N'flk.-Ports.-S	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	5.25	-	50	25	26	4.0
Richmond -S....	3.5	6.10	6.0	6.10	6.10	88	47	23½	26½	3.7
Roanoke -S.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	88	49	24-25	25	3.8
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston....	-	D.R.	16.44	5.75	3.94	-	52	26½	28½	3.5-3.8
Huntington-F..			Not determined			90	46	23½	25	3.5
Wheeling-F....	3.5	4.25	7.5	4.25	4.25	69	44	23	26	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	49	25	27	4.1-4.2
Charlotte.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	47	24	27	3.8-4.1
Durham.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	89	49	25	27	-
Wins.-Salem...	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston....	4	6.40	7.0	6.05	6.05	-	47	24	26	4.0
Columbia.....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	92	47	24	26	4.0
Greenville....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	-	-	-	26	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	4	6.93	7.0	6.58	6.58	-	48	24½	m26	4.0
Augusta.....	4	6.82	7.0	6.47	6.47	-	48	24½	m26	4.0
Savannah.....	4	7.20	7.0	6.85	6.85	-	50	25½	m27	4.0
FLORIDA....										
Jacksonville..	4	7.08	d7.5	6.70	-	102	53	27	k29	4.0
Miami-F.....	4	7.00	7.5	6.62	-	-	-	-	27	4.1
Tampa.....	4	7.08	7.6	6.70	-	-	-	-	-	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F..	3.8	4.476	7.2	4.26	4.26	78	40	21	24	3.7
Paducah-F.....	3.5	3.61	7.0	3.61	3.61	-	36	20	22	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga...	4	g5.28	7.5	4.90	4.90	79	43	22	25	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	4	g5.25	7.5	4.88	4.88	-	41	21	25	3.8
Memphis.....	4	5.11	7.0	4.76	4.76	-	35-36	20	24	4.0
Nashville.....	4	4.55	7.0	4.20	4.20	64	32	16½	20	3.6
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham....	4	6.43	5.0	6.18	6.18	-	48½	24¾	26	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	4	6.43	5.0	6.18	-	-	48½	24¾	26	3.5-4.0
Montgomery....	4	6.33	5.0	6.08	-	92	-	22¾	k25	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
July 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD - homogenized vitamin D; H - homogenized; CL - creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	23	(M) 1¢-50+	44	-	HVD	20	39-41	75	3.2-3.5
Topeka.....	HVD	k22		43	82	HVD	21	39	73	3.4-3.5
Wichita.....	HVD	25		46-51	85	HVD	23-25	43-45	79-83	3.3-3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington.....	HVD	27		47	-	HVD	26	47	77	3.5-3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	HVD	28		46	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.5-3.6
Cumberland.....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL. -F										
Washington.....	H	26½-27½	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	48	100	H	23½-27½	40-53	82-100	3.5
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-FS*.	H	26	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;2½¢-10+	k52	-	H	24-27	47-51	94-98	3.9
N'flk-Ports.-S.	HVD	27	(M) 1¢-78+	54	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.0
Richmond -S....	H	26½	(D) 1¢-6+	k53	-	H	25½	49	-	3.7
Roanoke -S.....	HVD	26		k52	-	HVD	27-28	49-53	-	3.7
WEST VIRGINIA-S										
Charleston.....	r	28½		w55	99	r	28½	57	93-99	3.5-3.6
Huntington-F...	HVD	26		51	88	HVD	26	51	88	3.5
Wheeling-F.....	r	26		50-51	87	r	25-26	47-50	81	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	HVD	27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8-4.0
Charlotte.....	HVD	27		51	-	HVD	26-27	51-52	-	3.8-3.9
Durham.....	HVD	27		m53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8
Wins.-Salem....	HVD	k27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston.....	HVD	26		51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
Columbia.....	HVD	26	(W) 1¢-24+	51	-	HVD	26	49-51	-	4.0
Greenville.....	HVD	26	(W) 2¢-24+	51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	H	m26		w51	-	H	j27	53	-	4.0
Augusta.....	H	m26		w51	-	H	j27	53	-	4.0
Savannah.....	H	m27		w53	-	H	j28	55	-	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville...	HVD	k30		k59	-	HVD	29	57	-	4.0
Miami-F.....	HVD	28-29		55	-	HVD	27-28	55	-	4.0
Tampa.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	i25	39	69-85	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F...	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	23-25	41-43	-	3.7
Paducah-F.....	H	22		k41	-	H	22	39-42	-	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga....	HVD	25		45-48	85-87	HVD	25	39-48	84-87	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.8
Memphis.....	HVD	24		41-42	-	HVD	22-24	38	-	3.5-4.0
Nashville.....	HVD	20		k39	-	HVD	19-20	37-39	-	3.5
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.5-4.0
Montgomery.....	HVD	k26		51	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
July 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI -F										
Columbus.....	4	5.04	7.0	4.69	4.69	-	-	-	-	-
Greenwood.....	4	5.04	7.0	4.69	4.69	-	-	-	-	-
Gulfport-Biloxi..	4	5.30	7.0	4.95	4.95	85	47	24	m26	3.7-3.8
Jackson.....	4	5.20	7.0	4.85	4.85	84-88	45-47	23-24	26-27	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	4	5.173	7.2	4.81	4.81	-	37	20½	24	3.5
Little Rock....	4	v5.11	7.0	4.76	4.76	88	43	22	26	3.53
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	4	6.05	6.0	5.75	5.75	88	46	23	26	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	4	eg6.00	7.0	5.65	5.65	94	49	25	27	3.95
Shreveport-F...	4	5.723	7.0	5.37	5.37	-	-	-	28	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	4	g5.55	7.2	5.19	5.19	94	48	25	27	3.6
Tulsa.....	4	5.173	7.2	4.81	4.81	96	37	23	-	-
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	4	5.658	7.0	5.31	5.31	-	-	-	-	-
Dallas-F.....	4	5.353	7.2	4.99	4.99	85	45	22½-24½	27	4.0
El Paso.....	4	f6.00	7up;9dn.	5.55	5.55	90	44	23	26	3.5
Galveston.....	4	f6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	83	47½	25	m27	4.0
Houston.....	4	6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	90	47	24	27	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	-	D.R.	15.3	5.36	3.50	-	-	21½	24	3.5
Great Falls....	3.7	5.66	7.0	5.52	4.02	80	42½	21¼	24	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	4	5.10	8.5	4.68	4.68	75	39	19½	23	3.5
Idaho Falls....	3.5	4.75	10.0	4.75	-	75	40	20	23	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	-	D.R.	16.0	5.60	5.60	72	42	21	22	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo. Spgs. -F.	3.5	5.108	8.1	5.11	5.11	87	36	21½	25	3.5
Denver.....	-	D.R.	16.4	5.74	5.46	-	-	-	25	3.4-3.6
Pueblo -F.....	3.5	5.108	8.1	5.11	5.11	-	36	21½	25	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	3.5	5.75	6.8	5.75	5.75	91	46	25	27	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	3.8	5.45	7.8	5.22	5.22	81	-	-	25	-
Tucson.....	3.8	5.75	7.8	5.52	5.52	-	-	-	26	3.75
UTAH										
Salt Lake City.	3.6	5.724	7.7up 15.9dn.	5.56	5.56	86	42.8	21.4	25	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	3.5	5.35	-	5.35	-	80	44	22	26	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	4	5.53	7.0	5.18	5.18	85	43	22½	24½	3.6
Everett-F.....	4	gz5.43	7.3	5.06	5.06	85	42½	21½	24	3.5
Seattle-F.....	4	gz5.43	7.3	5.06	5.06	85	42½	21½	24	3.6
Spokane-F.....	4	vA 5.22	7.5	4.84	4.84	82	42	21	24	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
July 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI -F										
Columbus.....	HVD	k25		k49	-	HVD	24-25	47-49	-	4.0
Greenwood.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Gulfport-Biloxi.	HVD	m26		w51	-	HVD	j26	52	-	3.5
Jackson.....	HVD	26-27		51-52	-	HVD	25-27	48-52	-	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	HVD	k24		k42	80	HVD	24	42	-	3.5
Little Rock....	H	26		50	-	H	24	48	-	3.44
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	HVD	26		51	88	HVD	25-26	50-51	88	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	HVD	28		54	-	HVD	27-28	52-54	-	4.0
Shreveport-F...	HVD	28		53	-	HVD	27	51	-	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	HVD	27		50	98	HVD	25	48	95	3.6
Tulsa.....	HVD	m26		m42	68	HVD	25	39	66	3.6
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	H	-		-	-	H	-	-	-	-
Dallas-F.....	HVD	24		48	-	HVD	23	45	-	-
El Paso.....	HVD	26	(W) 1½¢-6+	w49	-	HVD	25-26	45-48	-	3.5
Galveston.....	HVD	m28		w55	89	HVD	j27-28	j51½-53½	89	4.0
Houston.....	H	27		m53	-	H	j25	j48	94	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	HVD	25	(D) ½¢-2+;1¢-4+	-	-	HVD	25	-	-	3.5
Great Falls....	HVD	24		48	-	HVD	24	48	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	H	23		k46	88	H	23	46	q79-88	3.5
Idaho Falls....	HVD	23		46	88	HVD	23	46	-	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	H	m22		k46	-	H	22-23	45-46	-	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo. Spgs. -F.	HVD	25		k41	79	HVD	24	39-47	-	3.5-3.6
Denver.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.4-3.6
Pueblo -F.....	HVD	25		k41	-	HVD	24	39	-	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	H	27		53	-	H	27	53	-	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	-
Tucson.....	H	26		52	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
UTAH										
Salt Lake City.	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	H	26		k51	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	H	24½		k47	-	H	24	45	-	3.6
Everett-F.....	H	24	(M)1¢-52+;1½¢-78+;2¢-100+	k48	-	H	24	45-46	-	3.5
Seattle-F.....	HVD	24	(D) 1¢-4+; 1½¢-6+	-	-	HVD	23-23½	44-46	-	3.6
Spokane-F.....	HVD	24		k50	94	HVD	24	48	93	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

July 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
PACIFIC CONT.:										
OREGON										
Medford.....	4	6.09	7.6	5.71	-	-	44	22	k25	3.8
Portland.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
Salem.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	3.8	5.14	9.7	4.85	4.85	68-78	40	20½	h26	3.55
Los Angeles....	3.8	5.48	9.8	5.19	5.19	69-77	39	20	25	3.55
Sacramento.....	3.8	5.29	9.6	5.00	5.00	66½-76	39½	20	h26	3.55
San Diego.....	3.8	5.58	9.8	5.29	5.29	72-82	41½	21	24	3.55
San Francisco..	3.8	5.46	9.7	5.17	5.17	75-77	41½	21	h26½	3.55
Santa Barbara..	3.8	5.48	9.8	5.19	5.19	66-76	39½	19¾	24	3.55

June 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)

Bellows Falls, Vt.	3.7	4.08	7.3	3.93	-	68	35-37	18	21	4.0
Burlington, Vt....	3.7	4.08	7.3	3.93	-	68	37	19	21-23	3.7-4.0
Akron, Ohio.....	3.5	4.178	7.5	4.18	4.18	-	36	19	22	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	3.5	4.178	7.5	4.18	4.18	-	36	19	23	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio...	3.5	4.178	7.5	4.18	4.18	-	37	19	23	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.5	4.158	7.2	4.16	4.16	-	40	20	23	3.5
Evansville, Ind...	4	4.628	6.5	4.30	4.30	80	39	20½-21	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind...	3.5	3.711	7.5	3.71	3.71	71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	3.5	3.93	6.7	3.93	-	74	37	21	23	3.5
EauClaire,Wis.(May)	3.5	3.312	7.0	3.31	-	-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	3.5	3.72	6.0	3.72	3.22	73-75	39	20½-21	23-24	3.5
Sioux City, Iowa..	3.5	4.37	9.08	4.37	4.37	78	38	19	22	3.5-3.6
Lincoln, Nebr.....	3.8	4.62	9.08	4.35	4.35	82	37	-	23	4.0
Omaha, Nebr.....	3.8	4.62	9.08	4.35	4.35	72	39.4	17.9	22	3.5-3.8
Huntington, W. Va.	3.5	4.218	7.5	4.22	4.22	90	46	23½	25	3.5

Table 1(a).--Minimum order Class I prices at Basic Test in Federal Order markets where higher than Federal Order prices prevail

Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.
Chicago-Gary.....	July 1959	3.57	District of Col..	July 1959	5.55	New Orleans.....	July 1959	5.72
Rock Island.....	July 1959	3.77	Alex.-Arl.....	July 1959	5.55	Oklahoma City.....	July 1959	5.273
Detroit.....	June 1959	3.688	Chattanooga.....	July 1959	5.10	Everett.....	July 1959	4.974
Milwaukee.....	July 1959	3.53	Knoxville.....	July 1959	4.89	Seattle.....	July 1959	4.974
Kans. City,Mo.-Kans.	July1959	4.307						

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

July 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
			(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)							
PACIFIC CONT.:		Cents		Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
OREGON										
Medford.....	H	k25		k49	98	H	24	47	94	3.8
Portland.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
Salem.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	HVD	h26		h48	h93	HVD	22½	44	88	3.55
Los Angeles....	HVD	25	(D)1¢-3+;1½¢-5+;2½¢-8+	49	98	HVD	22	43	86	3.55
Sacramento.....	HVD	h26		h47½	h91	HVD	22	43½	87	3.55
San Diego.....	HVD	24		47½	95	HVD	23	45½	91	3.55
San Francisco..	HVD	h26½		h49½	h96	HVD	23	45½	91	3.55
Santa Barbara..	HVD	24		48	96	HVD	22½	45	90	3.55

June 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)

Bellows Falls,Vt.	HVD	22	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	21	41	-	4.0
Burlington, Vt..	CL	21-23	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	21-22	41	-	3.7-4.0
Akron, Ohio.....	HVD	22		40	-	HVD	22	38	t64	3.5
Canton, Ohio....	HVD	23	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	41	-	HVD	22	39	64	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio.	HVD	23	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	41	-	HVD	19-21	37-41	64	3.5
Toledo, Ohio....	HVD	23		43	-	HVD	22-23	37-39	-	3.5
Evansville, Ind.	HVD	24		44	-	HVD	22-24	33-41	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind.	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21	36-38	71	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis,Ind.	H	24		42	76	H	23	40-42	72	3.5
EauClaire,Wis.(May)	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+(½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Madison, Wis....	HVD	23-24		42-43	80	HVD	20½-21	39	75	3.5
Sioux City,Iowa.	HVD	22		k44	84	HVD	22	42-44	-	3.4-3.5
Lincoln, Nebr...	HVD	24		k47	t87	HVD	23	45	t83	3.6
Omaha, Nebr.....	HVD	22		44	82	HVD	21-22	42-44	-	3.4-4.0
Huntington, W. Va.	HVD	26		51	88	HVD	26	51	88	3.5

S. All prices shown under State Control. * Retail prices only under State Control. ** Producer prices only under State Control. F. Federal Order market. If State marked, applies all cities shown. D.R. Payment in direct ratio to milkfat. a. Class or base price unless otherwise noted. Bulk tank premiums appear in table 4, page 14. b. List prices which may be subject to discounts. d. June price; cream price at Manchester $2.79. e. NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY: 200-mile zone price plus estimated freight (31½-41¢) and country station handling charges (20-50¢). CHICAGO: 55-70 mile zone; NEW ORLEANS: 61-70 mile zone. f. Flat price. g. Negotiated prices. The F.O.M. prices are shown in table 1(a). h. Includes following service charge for first unit each single delivery: BUFFALO, 5¢; FRESNO AND SAN FRANCISCO, 3¢; SACRAMENTO, 4¢. i. In glass. j. Glass 1¢ lower; ½ gal. 2¢ at Galveston and Houston. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. n. Second half-gallon: Lowell-Lawrence 45½; Springfield 51¢, Worcester 50¢. q. Creamline or regular. r. The most common grade of milk not reported; prices shown are for Homogenized Vitamin D. t. Homogenized. u. Estimated average Class I price paid by handlers. v. F.O.M. price. w. Paper 2¢ higher. x. Purchased in store, single quart paper HVD 25½¢ for February 1959. y. Class I $5.58 for May at Baltimore. z. Producer assn. negotiated price. A. Weighted average premium for May 5¢ on Class I milk.

Table 2.--Dealers' average buying prices for milk for fluid milk use (f.o.b. city) United States, by regions, July 1959 1/
(Per hundredweight - 3.5% fat; weighted by population of individual markets)

Region	Markets	July 1958	June 1959	July 1959 Range	July 1959 Average
	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England	17	5.95	5.58	4.30-6.49	6.03
Middle Atlantic	17	6.00	5.67	5.44-6.40	6.02
East North Central	27	4.07	*3.98	3.35-4.70	4.08
West North Central	20	4.19	3.93	3.36-4.60	4.17
South Atlantic	26	6.20	*6.09	4.30-6.85	6.07
East South Central	13	5.05	4.95	3.61-6.18	5.01
West South Central	15	5.43	5.26	4.76-5.81	5.35
Mountain	14	5.63	5.52	4.68-5.75	5.51
Pacific	13	5.00	5.18	4.84-5.71	5.19
United States	162	5.26	5.09	3.35-6.85	5.28

1/ In some markets the prices paid for fluid milk and fluid cream are the same. Includes Class I prices, base or basic price and flat prices where effective.
* Revised.

Table 3.--Prevailing prices of standard grade milk per single quart delivered to homes in 25 cities, July 1959 1/

(Cents per single quart)

Markets	1953-57 July av.	July 1958	June 1959	July 1959	Markets	1953-57 July av.	July 1958	June 1959	July 1959
Boston, Mass.	23.8	26	25-25½	25-26	Baltimore, Md.	24.0	27	27	27
Hartford, Conn.	25.2	27½	27½	28½	Washington, D. C.	24.9	26-27	26½-27½	26½-27½
New York, N. Y.	25.4	29½-30	29-30	30-31	Jacksonville, Fla.	27.0	29	29	29
Philadelphia, Pa.	23.8	27	26	27	Louisville, Ky.	24.0	26	24	24
Pittsburgh, Pa.	24.2	26	26	26	New Orleans, La.	26.5	26	27	27
Cleveland, Ohio	20.2	23	23	23	Dallas, Texas	24.0	26	27	27
Indianapolis, Ind.	21.6	23	23	23	Denver, Colo.	24.4	25	25	25
Chicago, Ill.	26.0	26-29½	26-29½	27-30½	Salt Lake City	21.8	24	24	25
Detroit, Mich.	22.6	23½	23½	23-23½	Seattle, Wash.	22.0	23½	24	24
Milwaukee, Wis.	20.6	22-23	23	23	Portland, Ore.	22.8	25	25	26
Minneapolis, Minn.	19.9	22	22	22	San Francisco	22.1	24½	2/25	2/25
Kansas City, Mo.	21.4	21	23	23	Los Angeles	22.2	24½	25	25
Omaha, Nebr.	20.6	22	22	23	25 cities average	23.2	25.1	25.2	25.5

1/ "Standard grade" is grade commonly sold in each market. Grades vary between markets due to differences in determining factors. The prices shown are the latest reported up to and including the 10th of the month.
2/ In markets having a service charge on the first unit at each single delivery location, the charge has been divided between 2 quarts. Since the single quart concept used historically is based on every day delivery, it is assumed that under every other day delivery the charge represents a two quart purchase.

Table 4.--Premiums for bulk tank delivered milk not included in dealers' buying prices in table 1
July 1959

Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.
Augusta, Me.	5	Albany, N. Y.	20	Battle Cr., Mich.	10	Duluth, Minn.	15-25	Louisville, Ky.	15
Manchester, N.H.	5	Binghamton, N.Y.	10-11	Detroit, Mich.	8	Burlington, Ia.	15	Memphis, Tenn.	5
Boston, Mass.	5-15	Buffalo, N. Y.	10	GRapids, Mich.	8-12	Kansas City, Mo.	10	Nashville, Tenn.	5
Fall River, Mass.	10	Rochester, N. Y.	10	Lansing, Mich.	10	St. Louis, Mo.	25	Okla. City, Okla.	10
Low.-Law., Mass.	5	Schenectady, N.Y.	10	Beloit, Wis.	20	Spgfld., Mo.	15-25	Colo.Spgs., Colo.	6
Spgfld., Mass.	5-15	Syracuse, N. Y.	20	EauClaire, Wis.	20	Sioux Falls, S.D.	10	Denver, Colo.	5-10
Worcester, Mass.	5-15	Gary, Ind.	25	Green Bay, Wis.	10	Topeka, Kans.	10-15	Albuq., N. M.	10
Providence, R.I.	5	So. Bend, Ind.	15	Madison, Wis.	16	Omaha, Nebr.	14	Everett, Wash.	10
Hartford, Conn.	5	Chicago, Ill.	15-25	Milwaukee, Wis.	5-15	Huntington, W.Va.	10	Seattle, Wash.	12
New Haven, Conn.	5	RockIsland, Ill.	15	Durham, N. C.	10	Lexington, Ky.	15	Spokane, Wash.	10-20
Burlington, Vt.	7	Spgfld., Ill.	15						

Table 5.--Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between June and July 1959
(Changes effective July 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	June	July	June	July	June	July	June	July	June	July
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:										
Augusta, Maine.....	5.61	6.49	24½	b25½-27½	24½	b25½-27	46-48	b50-52	-	-
Portland, Maine.....	5.61	6.49	24½-25½	b25½-27½	24½-25	25½-27½	46-48	b50-52	-	-
Concord, N. H.....	5.58	6.02	24½	25½	24½	25½	-	-	-	-
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth, N. H....	5.58	6.02	25½-26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellows Falls, Vt....	3.93	Not det.	22	24	21	23	41	45	-	-
Burlington, Vt.....	3.93	Not det.	21-23	22-24	21-22	22-23	41	43	-	-
Boston, Mass.....	5.58	6.02	26-26½	26-26½	24-25	24-25	46-47	38	81-83	73
Fall River, Mass.....	5.65	6.09	27	-	26-29	-	-	-	-	-
Lowell-Lawr., Mass...	5.58	6.02	25½	25½	-	26	-	-	-	-
Springfield, Mass....	5.58	6.02	30	31	25½	26½	41-42	40-43	76-77	76
Worcester, Mass.....	5.58	6.02	27½	28½	23½	24½	41-43	43-45	78-83	82-87
Newport, R. I.....	5.65	6.09	m26	27	j25	26	49	51	-	-
Providence, R. I.....	5.65	6.09	m26	m28	j25	j26	48-49	50-51	94	98
Hartford, Conn.....	5.58	6.02	28½	b29½	26	b26½-27	50	b53	-	-
New Haven, Conn.....	5.58	6.02	28-28½	b28½-29	j29½	bj30	i56	-	-	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
Albany, N. Y.....	5.19	5.63	28	-	25	-	56	-	-	-
Binghamton, N. Y.....	5.06	5.50	28	28	24-26	b25-27	45-51	b46-52	-	-
New York, N. Y.....	5.51-5.90	5.95-6.34	30	31	22-27½	23-28½	-	-	-	-
Schenectady, N. Y.-d.	5.17	5.61	28	29	27-28	28-29	47-51	51-55	-	-
Syracuse, N. Y.....	5.00	5.44	28	28	25-28	24-28	47-55	47-55	-	-
Atlantic City, N. J.-d	5.47	5.87	29	30	-	-	51-57	52-57	-	-
Camden, N. J.....-d...	5.47	5.87	27	28	27	28	-	-	-	-
N'eastern New Jersey	5.51-5.90	5.95-6.34	29	30	26½-27	28½-29	-	-	-	-
Trenton, N. J...-d...	5.47	5.87	26½	27½-28	-	25	-	49	-	-
Philadlephia, Pa.....	5.15	5.55	27	b28	24½	24½	48	48	-	-
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Akron, Ohio.....	4.18	Not det.	22	22	22	22	38	b39	t64	t64
Evansville, Ind.....	4.30	Not det.	24	24	22-24	22-24	33-41	b39-43	-	-
Gary, Ind.....	3.63	3.82	28½	b29½	22-24	b23-25	41-44	b43-46	77-79	b81-83
South Bend, Ind.....	3.88	4.05	24	24	23-24	23-24	39	39	69	69
Alton, Ill.....	3.62	4.02	22	22	22	22	39-43	39-43	-	-
Chicago, Ill..-d.....	3.63	3.82	26-29½	27-30½	21-24	22-25	41-46	43-47	71-86	80-88
Rock Island, Ill. -d.	3.73	3.92	23	24	21-23	23-24	41-45	43-45	76-79	81-85
Grand Rapids, Mich...	4.12-4.27	4.27	22	b21	16-24	-	33-43	-	-	-
Lansing, Mich.....	4.19	b4.12	23½	23½	23½	b20½-23½	-	-	-	-
Beloit, Wis.....	3.63	3.82	23	b24	21-23	b21-24	39-43	b41-44	71-75	b75-79
Green Bay, Wis.....	3.46	3.65	21	21	20½-21	20½-21	39½-42	39½-42	-	-
Madison, Wis.....	3.72	Not det.	23-24	23-24	20½-21	20½-21	39	d41	75	d79
Milwaukee, Wis.....	3.59	3.78	23	23	21	21	35-36	35-36	66-69	b65-68
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Minneapolis, Minn....	3.60	4.02	22	22	19	b18-20	34	b34½	-	-
St. Paul, Minn.....	3.60	4.02	20-21	20-21	20	20	j32	bj32-33	-	-
Des Moines, Ia.....	3.73	3.92	m22	m22	j23	j23	-	-	-	-
Fort Dodge, Ia.....	3.53	3.72	23	-	23	-	45	-	-	-
St. Louis, Mo.....	3.84	4.24	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Springfield, Mo.....	3.57	3.97	23	23	22-23	22-23	41-43	41-43	-	-
Fargo-Moorhead, N. D.	-	-	k20	k20	20-21	b19-20	38	b37-38	-	-
Lincoln, Nebr.....	4.35	Not det.	24	24	23	23	45	43	t83	t82
Omaha, Nebr.....	4.35	Not det.	22	23	21-22	22-23	42-44	44-46	-	75
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
Wilmington, Del.....	5.04	5.44	26	b27	26	26	45	b47	-	77
Washington, D. C.....	5.95	5.96	26½-27½	26½-27½	24½-27½	23½-27½	40-48	40-53	80-96	82-100
Alex.-Arlington, Va..	6.62	5.96	26	26	24-27	24-27	47-51	47-51	94-98	94-98
Richmond, Va.....	6.25	b6.10	26½	26½	25½	25½	49	49	-	-
Tampa-St.Petersburg,Fla.	6.71	6.70	m27	-	25	i25	45	b39	77-85	b69-85

(Continued on page 28)

Table 6 .--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, July 1959

Market	Whole milk per quart					Cream and mixtures			
	Homo. vit. mineral		High fat milk		Certified to homes	Half & half per pt.		Table cream (½ pt.)	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper		To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:									
Boston, Mass.....	-	-	a30-31	-	31	-	-	24	23-27
Providence, R. I...	-	-	a28-29	-	m30-34½	-	-	22½-23½	21½-22½
Hartford, Conn.....	-	-	31½	-	39	w23	w21-23	r57	r55-57
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:									
Buffalo, N. Y.....	-	-	ng33½	-	-	42	40-41	30	28-31
New York City.....	-	-	-	-	41½	31	-	24½	d18½-25
Rochester, N. Y....	-	-	g29	g28-29	-	34	28	27	24-27
Philadelphia, Pa...	-	-	a30	-	37-38	-	-	28	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	-	-	28	27	-	f36	35	f28	27
E. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Cincinnati, Ohio...	v25	-	-	-	-	32	32	29	28
Cleveland, Ohio....	-	-	26	-	-	f33	e30	f25	21-23
Indianapolis, Ind..	25	d24	-	-	-	f32	30	24	23
Chicago, Ill.....	30½	22-25	g33½	g29½-31	37½	42-44	34-38	36-38½	30-33
Detroit, Mich.....	24½	-	j25½	-	-	32½	-	29	-
Milwaukee, Wis.....	-	-	-	-	29	f38	35	f31	29-30
W. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Minneapolis, Minn..	-	-	f25	20-21	-	32	27-28	24	23-24
Des Moines, Ia.....	h22	e23	g24	-	-	f31	-	-	-
Kans. C., Mo-Kans..	24	-	-	-	-	31	29	27	24
St. Louis, Mo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	24	23-24	g24	qg23	-	29-30	28-30	25-27	24-27
SOUTH ATLANTIC:									
Baltimore, Md.....	-	-	g30	d30	-	30½	d30½	30	d30
Washington, D. C...	-	-	g28½	-	-	f32	33	25	26
Richmond, Va.....	-	-	g29½	g28½	-	33	-	28	30
Charlotte, N. C....	-	-	j28	-	-	f27	27	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	-	-	-	-	h28	h29	e30	24	24½
Miami, Fla.....	29	-	g30	-	-	37	32-37	28	24-28
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
Louisville, Ky.....	-	-	34	-	-	f33	30-31	f26	25
Nashville, Tenn....	-	-	g23	dg22	-	f29	28-29	-	-
Birmingham, Ala....	-	-	-	-	-	27	27	23	23
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
New Orleans, La....	-	-	-	-	-	f34	34-35	f25	25
Oklahoma City.....	28	-	-	-	-	f36	33	f26	25
Dallas, Tex.....	-	-	-	-	-	w16	-	23	d22
El Paso, Tex.....	26	25-26	g29	-	30	31	30-32	24	23-25
MOUNTAIN:									
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	-	-	g24	-	-	f29	29	22	22
Denver, Colo.....	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	f28	25-26
Salt Lake City.....	-	-	gj26	gj25	-	37;qt.69	36;qt.59	-	r54
PACIFIC:									
Seattle, Wash.....	-	-	g26	-	-	29½	28½-29½	f25½	25-25½
Portland, Ore.....	-	-	-	-	-	30	29	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	26½	-	g28½	-	29-30	28	-	27	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	n28½	25	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 6.--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, July 1959--Continued

Market	Cream and mixtures		Creamed cottage cheese					
	Whip. cream (½ pt.)		Pound pkg.		12 Oz. pkg.		Other	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	37-39	35-37	42	23-31	-	-	b22	b18
Providence, R. I...	36-38	34-37	35	-	-	-	b22	-
Hartford, Conn.....	39	35-39	33	32	25-29	24	k115	k115
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Buffalo, N. Y.....	f38	32-38	31-32	28-29	t34	t31-32	-	-
New York City.....	35½	d31-38	35	-	31	-	b20½	-
Rochester, N. Y....	43	40-42	29	24-31	-	-	-	-
Philadelphia, Pa...	49	-	35	-	-	-	b20	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	f40	39	29	29	-	-	b18	b18
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Cincinnati, Ohio...	39	38	30-35	35	26-28	28	-	-
Cleveland, Ohio....	f50	42-48	29	-	-	-	s33 st33	s29 st27
Indianapolis, Ind..	43	d41	-	-	25	24	i53 k125	i51 k125
Chicago, Ill.....	45-47½	35-38	38	30-34	34	26½-28½	-	-
Detroit, Mich.....	58	-	28	-	-	-	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.....	f36	32-34	27	25	24	24	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Minneapolis, Minn..	30	28-29	-	-	26	24	i55 u59	i49-55 u55
Des Moines, Ia.....	39	d39	25	-	24	-	i58	-
Kans. C., Mo.-Kans.	38	34	-	-	23	20	-	-
St. Louis, Mo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	31-35	30-35	30	29	25	24-25	i57	i55-57
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Baltimore, Md.....	47	d47	-	-	29	29	i63	i63
Washington, D. C...	46	47	-	-	27-28	22-28	i57	i49-58
Richmond, Va.....	46	46	p29	p22	27	22	-	-
Charlotte, N. C....	f40	40	-	-	26-27	26-27	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	34	34½	-	-	25	25	-	-
Miami, Fla.....	42	38-42	31	-	25	20	i54	i49
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	f29	29	32	28	-	-	b18	b17
Nashville, Tenn.....	f33	32-33	29	28-29	-	-	b18	b18
Birmingham, Ala....	31	31	-	-	26	23-26	k100	k100
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
New Orleans, La....	f32	32-33	-	-	26	24-25	-	-
Oklahoma City.....	f34	32	-	-	25	23	i51	i49
Dallas, Tex.....	29	28	-	-	24	22	-	-
El Paso, Tex.....	44	42-44	33	33	26-27	26-28	-	i55-57
MOUNTAIN:								
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	38	38	-	-	23	23	i51	i51
Denver, Colo.....	f49	47	-	-	27	25	-	-
Salt Lake City.....	39	38	32	31	x27	x26	b22 i61	b22 i57
PACIFIC:								
Seattle, Wash.....	f32½	30½-32½	-	30	32	32	i61	i61
Portland, Ore.....	35-38	34-36	35	32-35	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	39	-	29-31	-	-	-	b19	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

a. Boston: g31-32¢; Providence: g30-31¢; Philadelphia: Golden Guernsey and Vitamin D Grade A, 1¢ higher.
b. 8-ounce package. d. In glass. e. Glass 1¢ lower. f. In paper. g. Guernsey.
h. Paper 1¢ higher. i. 2-pound package. j. Jersey.
k. 5-pound package. m. In glass; paper 31¢.
n. Includes following service charge for first unit only, each single delivery: Buffalo: 5¢; San Francisco: 3¢. p. 3 and 5-pound package. q. Glass 1¢ higher. r. Pint price.
s. 14½-ounce package. t. Whipped cream type.
u. 36-ounce package. v. Multi-vitamin. w. Half-pint price.
x. Low fat.

Table 7. --MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, MAY 1959

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England:							
Boston, 201-210 mile zone	3.7	3.97	7.4	3.82	---	---	5.04
Merrimack Valley, Mass.	3.7	4.86	7.4	4.71	---	---	5.58
Southeastern New England	3.7	5.21	7.4	5.06	---	---	5.65
Springfield, Mass.	3.7	4.87	7.4	4.72	---	---	5.58
Worcester, Mass.	3.7	5.06	7.4	4.91	---	---	5.58
Connecticut	3.7	5/ 4.97	7.0	4.83	---	---	5.59
Middle Atlantic:							
New York-N.J., 201-210 mile zone	3.5	3.74	5.6	3.74	---	---	4.93
Philadelphia, Pa.	3.7	* 4.60	7.0	4.46	---	---	5.15
E. North Central:							
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	3.5	3.81	7.2	3.81	3.99	2.92	4/ 4.19
Cincinnati, Ohio, Grade A	3.5	5/ 3.48	7.4	3.48	---	---	4.54
Cleveland, Ohio	3.5	3.77	7.2	3.77	3.99	2.92	4/ 4.19
Columbus, Ohio	3.5	5/ 3.58	7.2	3.58	---	---	4.29
Dayton-Spfld., Ohio, Grade A	3.5	5/ 3.62	6.9	3.62	---	---	4.43
North Central Ohio	3.5	* 3.65	7.3	3.65	3.83	2.85	3.85
Toledo, Ohio	3.5	* 3.83	7.0	3.83	---	---	4.17
Fort Wayne, Ind.	3.5	3.31	6.7	3.31	---	---	3.73
South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart, Ind.	3.5	3.59	6.9	3.59	3.76	2.92	3.89
Chicago, Gr. A 55-70 mile zone	3.5	3.16	6.9	3.16	3.25	2.85	4/ 3.41
Quad Cities, Ill.-Iowa	3.5	3.30	6.8	3.30	---	---	4/ 3.61
Rockford-Freeport, Ill. Gr. A	3.5	3.33	6.9	3.33	---	---	1/ 3.43
Detroit, Mich.	3.5	3.40	6.5	3.40	3.48	3.01	4/ 3.70
Michigan Upper Peninsula	3.5	3.46	7.0	3.46	---	---	3.90
Muskegon, Mich.	3.5	3.62	6.5	3.62	3.73	2.85	3.97
Upstate Michigan	3.5	3.50	6.5	3.50	---	---	3.97
Milwaukee, Wis.	3.5	3.26	6.9	3.26	3.32	2.96	4/ 3.37
Northeastern Wisconsin	3.5	3.27	7.0	3.27	---	---	3.49
W. North Central:							
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis.	3.5	5/ 3.12	7.2	3.12	---	---	4.01
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	3.5	3.37	7.2	3.37	3.51	2.93	3.62
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	3.5	3.41	6.8	3.41	---	---	3.56
Des Moines, Iowa	3.5	3.48	6.8	3.48	---	---	3.76
Dubuque, Iowa	3.5	3.20	6.6	3.20	---	---	3.51
Sioux City, Iowa	3.5	5/ 3.62	7.0	3.62	---	---	4.41
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	3.8	3.88	6.2	3.69	4.07	3.08	4/ 4.13
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	4.0	3.87	6.9	3.53	4.11	3.05	4.01
St. Louis, Mo.	3.5	3.55	6.7	3.55	---	---	3.85
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.	3.5	3.20	6.7	3.20	---	---	3.56
Black Hills, S.D.	3.5	4.44	7.0	4.44	4.69	2.74	5.10
Eastern South Dakota	3.5	3.85	6.7	3.85	---	---	4.35
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	3.5	3.64	7.0	3.64	---	---	4.21
Omaha-Lin.-Coun. Bluffs, Nebr.-Ia.	3.8	5/ 3.99	7.0	3.78	---	---	4.40
Southwest Kansas	3.8	5/ 3.77	6.9	3.56	---	---	4.64
Wichita, Kans.	3.8	4.25	6.8	4.04	4.45	3.10	4.65
Platte Valley, Nebr.	3.5	5/ 3.30	8.0	3.30	---	---	4.40
North Central Iowa	3.5	* 3.45	6.8	3.45	---	---	3.56
South Atlantic:							
Wilmington, Del.	4.0	* 4.95	5.5	4.68	---	---	5.04
Clarksburg, W. Va.	3.5	4.14	7.2	4.14	4.38	2.88	4.67
Tri-State area, Ky.-Ohio-W. Va.	3.5	* 3.77	6.9	3.77	---	---	2/ 4.17
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.	4.0	* 4.57	6.8	4.23	4.74	4.12	4.45
Wheeling, W. Va.	3.5	3.87	7.2	3.87	4.14	2.88	4.37
Southeastern Florida	4.0	6.55	7.5	6.17	6.84	4.41	6.63
E. South Central:							
Louisville, Ky.	3.8	5/ 3.54	6.5	3.35	---	---	4.26
Paducah, Ky.	3.5	3.56	6.5	3.56	---	---	3.65
Appalachian, Tenn., Va. & Ky.	4.0	* 4.66	6.8	4.32	4.90	3.34	4.70
Chattanooga, Tenn.	4.0	4.36	7.2	4.00	4.59	2.99	4/ 4.60
Knoxville, Tenn.	4.0	4.52	6.9	4.18	4.76	3.16	4/ 4.60
Memphis, Tenn.	4.0	* 4.51	6.5	4.19	4.65	3.81	4.37
Nashville, Tenn.	4.0	* 4.17	7.0	3.82	4.41	3.27	4.23
Central Mississippi	4.0	4.54	6.5	4.22	4.87	3.06	4.88
Mississippi Delta	4.0	4.40	6.7	4.07	5.07	3.16	4.72
Mississippi Gulf Coast	4.0	4.80	6.8	4.46	5.07	3.10	4.98

Table 7.--MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, MAY 1959--CONTINUED

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
W. South Central:							
Fort Smith, Ark.	4.0	* 4.22	6.9	3.88	4.46	3.14	4.46
Central Arkansas	4.0	4.33	6.8	3.99	4.63	2.98	4/ 4.37
New Orleans, La., 61-70 mile zone	4.0	4.75	6.7	4.41	5.00	3.15	4/ 5.26
Northern Louisiana	4.0	* 5.13	6.8	4.79	5.38	4.13	5.03
Oklahoma Metropolitan Area	4.0	4.28	6.9	3.94	4.53	3.15	4/ 4.54
Red River Valley, Okla.-Texas	4.0	4.60	7.1	4.25	4.92	3.15	4.69
Austin-Waco, Texas, Zone 1	4.0	* 5.42	6.4	5.10	---	---	3/ 5.36
Central West Texas, Abilene zone	4.0	5.00	6.9	4.66	---	---	5.21
Corpus Christi, Texas	4.0	* 5.57	6.8	5.23	---	---	5.61
North Texas	4.0	4.40	6.0	4.10	4.64	3.08	4.96
San Antonio, Texas	4.0	5.30	6.4	4.98	---	---	5.38
Texas Panhandle	4.0	4.90	6.8	4.56	5.16	3.04	4.88
Mountain:							
Colorado Springs-Pueblo, Colo.	3.5	4.53	7.8	4.53	---	---	5.15
Western Colorado	3.5	* 4.72	7.7	4.72	---	---	5.00
Central Arizona	3.8	5.38	7.6	5.15	5.63	3.10	5.70
Pacific:							
Puget Sound, Wash.	4.0	4.13	7.2	3.77	4.32	3.42	4/ 4.66
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	4.0	4.56	7.3	4.20	4.82	3.17	4.90

* Individual-handler pool. Weighted average of handlers' prices.
1/ Freeport plants: Class I $3.39.
2/ Huntington plants.
3/ Austin 7.5¢ and Waco 22.5¢ less, respectively.
4/ Negotiated price (does not include bulk-tank pickup premiums):

Akron-Canton-Cleveland	$4.56	Detroit	$4.38	Chattanooga	$4.84	New Orleans	$5.66
Chicago	3.66	Milwaukee	3.62	Knoxville	4.88	Oklahoma City	5.19
Rock Island	3.76	Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	4.28	Little Rock	4.76	Puget Sound	5.06

5/ The following deductions have been made for fall production incentive payments:

Connecticut	15¢	Duluth-Superior	28¢	Southwest Kansas	50¢
Cincinnati	35¢	Sioux City	31¢	Platte Valley	35¢
Columbus	35¢	Omaha-Linc.	33¢	Louisville	40¢
Dayton-Springfield	35¢				

Table 8.--NET PRICES PAID MEMBERS OF PRODUCERS' ASSOCIATIONS, MAY - JUNE 1959 1/

City	Net blended price paid for 3.5% milk per cwt. 2/	Month	Dealers' buying price for Class I milk per cwt.
	Dollars		Dollars
Pittsburgh, Pa.	3.795	May	5.88
Evansville, Ind.	3.845	May	4.27
Baltimore, Md.	4.46	June	5.56
Washington, D. C.	3/ 4.55	June	6/ 5.95
Richmond, Va.	5/ 5.99	May	6.25
Richmond, Va.	5/ 5.83	June	6.25
Charleston, W. Va.	4.76	May	5.75
South Carolina	4/ 5.68	May	6.05
Denver, Colo.	---	June	5.74
Salt Lake City, Utah	4.22	May	5.56

1/ Prices usually specified as follows:
Class I - Milk for fluid consumption.
Class II - Milk from which cream is obtained for fluid consumption.
Class III - Milk used in manufactured dairy
and IV - products.

2/ F.O.B. CITY unless indicated otherwise.
3/ Includes 39¢ per cwt. average premium.
4/ Average blend price paid by all plants in the State.
5/ Base price; surplus price $2.80 for May and June.
6/ Flat price to fluid dealers.

SALES OF MILK AND CREAM AND RECEIPTS FROM PRODUCERS IN CERTAIN FEDERAL ORDER AND STATE MARKETING AREAS, APRIL, MAY 1959

April sales above last year: whole milk, 2 percent; skim items, 9 percent

Sales of whole milk on an average daily basis in 50 Federal Order and 4 State marketing areas during April were 2 percent higher than both March of this year and April a year earlier. Of the 12 largest markets, which account for over half of the 54-market total, sales were above last year in 10 but about the same in 2. Cumulative sales for the entire 54 marketing areas for the period January-April 1959 were 2 percent greater than last year. The average milkfat test of whole milk sales for April was 3.56 percent, the same as in March but for April 1958 was 3.57 percent.

Average daily sales of skim milk items in 53 of the 54 marketing areas (Connecticut excluded) were 6 percent above March and 9 percent above April last year. Of the 10 most important areas, sales were higher than last year in 8 and lower in 2. Cumulative daily sales of skim milk items in these 53 areas for January through April of this year were 7 percent over the same period a year earlier. Tests of milkfat in 48 of these markets averaged 1.07 percent during April. This compared with 1.08 percent in March 1959 and 1.04 percent in April 1958.

Half and half up 6 percent, cream down 3 percent from April 1958

Daily average sales of milk and cream mixtures (half and half in most areas) in 52 marketing areas in April were larger than in the previous month by 1 percent and the previous year by 6 percent. In the important Chicago market, which makes up about a third of the sales in the 52 marketing areas, sales were unchanged from last year. Sales were also above April 1958 in 9 other important markets. Cumulative daily sales of milk and cream mixtures in the 52 markets for January-April 1959 were 5 percent more than for 1958. The average milkfat test of milk and cream mixtures in April was 11.7 percent, which was the same percent as in both March of this year and April of last year.

Average daily sales of all fluid cream items in 46 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas (4 Massachusetts markets excluded) during April were unchanged from March but were 3 percent below April a year earlier. Of the 11 largest fluid cream markets reporting, 8 showed sales less than in April last year; in 3, sales were higher. Cumulative daily sales for the first 4 months of the year were 3 percent less than for the same period last year. The average milkfat test of fluid cream items in the 49 markets for April was 23.0 percent as compared with 22.9 percent in March and 22.8 percent in April last year.

Total April fluid product sales advance 3 percent

Total fluid item sales on an average daily basis in the 53 marketing areas during April increased 2 percent from March and 3 percent from April 1958. Cumulative daily sales of all products in the 53 marketing areas for the first four months of 1959 were 2 percent higher than for the same period in 1958. The average milkfat test of all fluid items during April was 3.71 percent, compared with 3.73 percent in March and 3.74 percent in April a year earlier.

(Continued on page 27)

Table 9.--WHOLE MILK: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for April 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ Apr. 1958		3/ Mar. 1959		3/ Apr. 1959		4/Apr. 1959 as%		Jan.-Apr. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Apr. 1958	Mar. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.	2,145	3.81	2,103	-	2,135	-	100	102	100
Merrimack Valley, Mass.	299	3.79	298	-	300	-	100	101	100
Springfield, Mass.	447	3.66	435	-	446	-	100	102	100
Worcester, Mass.	293	3.69	287	-	293	-	100	102	100
Connecticut 5/	2,083	-	2,107	-	2,124	3.72	102	101	102
Niagara Frontier -S	1,021	3.56	1,017	3.63	1,045	3.59	102	103	100
Rochester, N. Y. -S	453	3.60	448	3.66	460	3.64	101	103	100
Philadelphia, Pa.	2,148	3.74	2,131	3.72	2,204	3.71	103	104	101
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	768	3.51	748	3.51	763	3.51	99	102	98
Cincinnati, Ohio	610	3.53	621	3.53	639	3.53	105	103	103
Cleveland, Ohio	1,806	3.55	1,787	3.55	1,820	3.55	101	102	100
Columbus, Ohio	541	3.53	551	3.51	574	3.51	106	104	102
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	572	3.56	591	3.53	617	3.53	108	104	105
Toledo, Ohio	514	3.53	510	3.54	523	3.54	102	102	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.	126	3.54	128	3.54	130	3.52	104	102	103
Chicago, Ill.	5,177	3.50	5,118	3.50	5,214	3.49	101	102	100
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	220	3.40	216	3.44	225	3.43	102	104	101
Detroit, Mich.	3,288	3.52	3,207	3.53	3,310	3.52	101	103	99
Milwaukee, Wis.	906	3.50	890	3.52	907	3.51	100	102	99
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,181	3.53	1,190	3.50	1,231	3.51	104	104	102
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	120	3.34	122	3.36	124	3.34	103	102	100
Sioux City, Iowa	79	3.43	82	3.44	83	3.43	105	101	105
Kansas City-Mo.-Kans.	1,020	3.46	1,020	3.45	1,023	3.45	100	100	101
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	206	3.54	201	3.55	210	3.53	102	104	100
St. Louis, Mo.	1,143	3.37	1,117	3.36	1,152	3.35	101	103	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	67	3.40	68	3.38	73	3.35	109	108	103
Omaha-Linc.-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	413	3.60	431	3.55	437	3.54	106	101	106
Southwest Kansas	101	3.41	109	3.40	110	3.39	110	101	109
Wichita, Kansas	256	3.29	250	3.31	252	3.32	99	101	99
Wilmington, Del.	238	3.63	243	3.61	254	3.60	107	104	105
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.	78	3.71	77	3.70	79	3.67	102	102	102
Clarksburg, W. Va.	161	3.69	164	3.68	169	3.65	104	103	104
Wheeling, W. Va.	324	3.59	320	3.57	331	3.55	102	104	100
South Carolina -S 6/	810	-	809	-	840	-	104	104	103
Southeastern Florida	983	3.79	1,069	3.78	1,010	3.75	103	94	108
Louisville, Ky.	591	3.69	607	3.68	614	3.67	104	101	102
Paducah, Ky.	80	3.55	78	3.64	82	3.61	103	105	99
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	173	3.66	175	3.66	180	3.64	104	103	105
Chattanooga, Tenn.	205	4.00	202	3.95	205	3.92	100	102	100
Knoxville, Tenn.	205	3.70	207	3.71	215	3.68	105	104	102
Memphis, Tenn.	349	3.78	363	3.75	369	3.74	106	102	105
Nashville, Tenn.	275	3.57	295	3.57	298	3.57	108	101	107
Central Mississippi	301	3.92	326	3.94	334	3.93	111	102	108
Central Arkansas	285	3.75	300	3.68	308	3.68	108	103	107
New Orleans, La.	579	3.91	584	3.90	600	3.89	104	103	101
Oklahoma Metropolitan	740	3.65	751	3.65	770	3.65	104	103	104
Austin-Waco, Texas	378	3.61	397	3.53	395	3.54	104	99	108
Corpus Christi, Texas	346	3.52	350	3.57	357	3.59	103	102	103
North Texas	1,202	3.62	1,236	3.53	1,226	3.52	102	99	102
San Antonio, Texas	403	3.54	431	3.44	439	3.45	109	102	108
Texas Panhandle	204	3.53	209	3.54	210	3.54	103	101	104
Central Arizona	721	3.54	776	3.54	784	3.53	109	101	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	241	3.60	241	3.59	243	3.59	101	101	101
Puget Sound, Wash.	1,205	3.53	1,215	3.54	1,241	3.53	103	102	103
Total (54 markets) 4/	39,077	7/3.57	39,208	7/3.56	39,979	7/3.56	102.3	102.0	101.6

1/ Quantity includes plain and flavored whole milk with milkfat content meeting minimum standards sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales include creamed buttermilk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised.
3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959, all data from Federal sources.
6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, Connecticut and South Carolina for purposes of comparison.
Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 10.--SKIM MILK PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for April 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ Apr. 1958		3/ Mar. 1959		3/ Apr. 1959		4/Apr. 1959 as %		Jan.-Apr. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Apr. 1958	Mar. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	74.5	.77	71.8	-	76.8	-	103	107	101
Merrimack Valley, Mass.....	7.9	.90	7.7	-	9.1	-	115	118	109
Springfield, Mass.....	19.5	.50	19.5	-	21.3	-	110	109	109
Worcester, Mass.....	10.1	1.12	9.3	-	10.2	-	102	110	101
Connecticut 5/.....	101.7	-	-	-	103.3	.66	101	-	-
Niagara Frontier -S.....	25.6	.75	23.4	.72	27.1	.75	106	115	104
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	42.6	.51	40.6	.49	43.2	.48	101	107	99
Philadelphia, Pa.....	112.1	.86	112.2	.72	122.4	.74	109	109	105
Akron-Stark County, Ohio...	74.6	1.57	83.9	1.62	89.9	1.61	120	107	119
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	63.1	1.38	69.5	1.57	67.7	1.59	107	98	101
Cleveland, Ohio.....	107.1	1.08	110.2	.97	119.5	.95	112	108	108
Columbus, Ohio.....	43.2	.99	42.7	.92	47.4	.90	110	111	101
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio...	62.4	1.47	59.3	1.51	66.1	1.62	106	112	108
Toledo, Ohio.....	22.7	.57	24.6	.70	26.1	.68	115	106	113
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	11.9	1.36	11.9	1.44	12.7	1.35	107	107	102
Chicago, Ill.....	382.9	1.36	398.3	1.44	420.1	1.40	110	106	109
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	44.0	1.51	44.2	1.54	46.2	1.43	105	104	106
Detroit, Mich.....	150.7	.80	150.1	.73	159.2	.74	106	106	104
Milwaukee, Wis.....	71.2	1.01	83.1	1.12	87.2	1.11	122	105	122
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	105.2	.89	121.4	.85	133.8	.82	127	110	126
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	21.6	1.18	22.0	1.23	23.1	1.12	107	105	121
Sioux City, Iowa.....	11.5	1.05	10.6	.99	11.1	.98	97	104	95
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	61.0	.68	94.4	1.18	98.8	1.21	162	105	155
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo....	9.3	.87	8.9	.84	10.1	.89	109	114	110
St. Louis, Mo.....	117.3	1.65	109.0	1.60	114.3	1.60	98	105	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	11.2	1.69	11.5	1.50	12.3	1.52	109	106	108
Omaha-Linc.-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	46.4	.65	45.8	.56	47.5	.57	102	104	102
Southwest Kansas.....	3.1	1.04	3.5	.93	3.9	.91	126	112	117
Wichita, Kans.....	14.7	.56	12.9	.60	13.7	.54	93	106	85
Wilmington, Del.....	11.4	.90	10.7	.74	11.9	.76	104	112	100
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	8.7	.38	8.0	.47	8.4	.47	96	105	92
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	9.0	1.01	8.2	1.02	9.0	1.01	100	109	93
Wheeling, W. Va.....	12.5	1.00	11.3	.96	12.7	.97	102	112	95
South Carolina -S 6/.....	136.4	-	144.0	-	151.1	-	111	105	99
Southeastern Florida.....	99.8	.74	101.3	.69	103.4	.76	104	102	105
Louisville, Ky.....	54.4	1.27	55.9	1.25	60.8	1.25	112	109	114
Paducah, Ky.....	10.6	.80	18.4	1.47	13.0	1.15	123	70	144
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	26.3	.70	27.3	.72	29.0	.73	110	106	109
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	35.9	.59	34.0	.65	35.2	.65	98	104	98
Knoxville, Tenn.....	35.4	.72	36.5	.85	38.8	.85	110	106	104
Memphis, Tenn.....	44.8	.50	46.4	.53	47.0	.51	105	101	99
Nashville, Tenn.....	53.2	.60	52.2	.61	52.9	.70	99	101	99
Central Mississippi.....	33.2	1.01	36.1	1.20	37.3	1.04	112	103	108
Central Arkansas.....	41.7	1.27	42.9	1.21	43.2	1.23	104	101	103
New Orleans, La.....	31.5	.96	33.1	1.14	35.0	1.17	111	106	107
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	53.4	.98	54.2	1.06	56.9	1.02	107	105	104
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	25.7	.87	25.8	.82	28.6	.94	111	111	101
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	20.1	1.26	19.9	1.25	20.3	1.23	101	102	102
North Texas.....	111.2	1.00	102.0	1.13	104.3	1.02	94	102	93
San Antonio, Texas.....	24.2	1.33	24.6	1.35	25.1	1.29	103	102	102
Texas Panhandle.....	14.9	1.28	14.3	1.33	15.3	1.35	102	107	102
Central Arizona.....	65.0	.92	68.0	.97	71.4	.98	110	105	109
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	21.3	.43	22.0	.50	24.8	.50	116	113	109
Puget Sound, Wash.....	109.9	.68	117.8	.83	126.0	.86	115	107	118
Total (53 markets) 4/	2,818.0	7/1.04	2,917.2	7/1.08	3,082.1	7/1.07	109.4	105.7	107.3

1/ Quantity includes plain and fortified skim and part-skim milk, buttermilk and cultured specialties, and flavored milk drinks sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales exclude creamed buttermilk but include some flavored whole milk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data; Connecticut excluded. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959, all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded.
7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 11.--MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for April 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ Apr. 1958		3/ Mar. 1959		3/ Apr. 1959		4/Apr. 1959 as %		Jan.-Apr. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Apr. 1958	Mar. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England 5/	2.9	11.4	2.6	-	2.9	-	101	110	95
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Niagara Frontier -S	9.3	10.8	8.5	10.8	8.9	10.8	96	105	100
Rochester, N. Y. -S	3.3	10.8	3.6	10.6	3.8	10.6	116	104	112
Philadelphia, Pa	7.0	10.6	10.6	11.5	11.4	11.5	164	107	159
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	12.9	11.2	13.3	11.2	14.0	11.2	108	105	106
Cincinnati, Ohio	7.7	11.1	8.1	11.3	8.5	11.2	111	105	114
Cleveland, Ohio	21.0	11.3	23.9	11.4	23.8	11.5	113	100	112
Columbus, Ohio	9.2	11.4	9.8	11.4	10.7	11.1	116	108	108
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	9.4	11.8	9.9	11.8	10.7	11.8	114	108	109
Toledo, Ohio	14.0	10.5	14.0	10.8	14.4	10.8	103	103	101
Fort Wayne, Ind	2.4	12.1	2.7	12.3	2.8	12.1	113	104	109
Chicago, Ill	215.8	12.0	211.6	12.0	216.7	12.0	100	102	100
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia	8.8	12.2	8.0	12.3	8.3	12.2	94	104	95
Detroit, Mich	64.2	10.6	69.1	10.6	70.9	10.6	104	103	108
Milwaukee, Wis	15.0	12.5	15.5	12.6	16.2	12.5	109	105	107
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	30.6	12.2	30.5	12.1	31.9	12.1	104	105	103
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	4.5	12.2	4.3	12.0	4.5	12.1	100	105	97
Sioux City, Iowa	3.3	11.5	3.1	11.5	3.2	11.4	96	103	95
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans	16.0	12.0	17.3	11.9	17.8	11.8	111	103	112
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo	5.7	12.2	5.5	12.2	5.7	12.2	100	102	100
St. Louis, Mo	16.8	11.9	18.6	11.9	18.9	11.9	113	101	110
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	2.1	13.1	2.0	12.7	2.2	12.7	107	112	100
Omaha-Linc.-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	14.6	11.9	14.6	11.8	14.6	11.9	100	100	102
Southwest Kansas	2.5	12.2	2.5	11.7	2.7	11.7	108	106	104
Wichita, Kans	5.5	11.4	5.6	11.4	5.6	11.4	102	100	102
Wilmington, Del	.5	11.9	.8	11.4	.8	11.3	174	105	183
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va	.1	12.1	.2	12.0	.2	12.0	113	98	110
Clarksburg, W. Va	1.8	12.0	1.9	12.0	1.9	12.0	104	101	106
Wheeling, W. Va	2.2	11.2	2.3	11.9	2.5	11.8	110	107	112
South Carolina -S 6/	1.5	-	2.9	-	2.4	-	157	82	139
Southeastern Florida	20.2	9.6	28.0	9.7	23.3	9.5	115	83	115
Louisville, Ky	6.0	11.9	6.3	12.1	6.3	12.1	104	100	103
Paducah, Ky	.4	12.5	.5	12.1	.5	12.6	108	99	112
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky	.4	13.4	.4	12.7	.4	12.4	106	99	104
Chattanooga, Tenn	.1	12.0	.4	12.0	.4	12.0	508	102	458
Knoxville, Tenn	1.2	12.0	1.2	12.7	1.3	12.0	103	106	103
Memphis, Tenn	2.4	11.9	2.7	12.0	2.7	12.0	116	102	114
Nashville, Tenn	3.3	11.8	3.3	12.1	3.3	12.1	100	100	98
Central Mississippi	3.6	12.7	4.0	12.8	4.3	13.0	118	105	112
Central Arkansas	3.8	11.4	4.4	11.8	4.2	11.9	109	95	108
New Orleans, La	4.6	12.3	5.1	12.1	5.2	12.0	115	103	110
Oklahoma Metropolitan	11.7	11.9	12.2	12.0	12.1	12.1	103	99	105
Austin-Waco, Texas	5.8	12.7	6.3	12.3	6.3	12.6	108	101	106
Corpus Christi, Texas	5.9	12.1	6.2	12.3	6.0	12.3	101	97	100
North Texas	20.4	12.0	21.6	11.8	20.8	11.9	102	96	104
San Antonio, Texas	7.9	12.2	7.9	12.1	8.0	12.1	102	102	100
Texas Panhandle	3.1	13.0	3.0	12.8	3.1	12.7	99	101	103
Central Arizona	14.3	11.7	15.9	11.8	14.9	11.7	104	94	105
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	5.3	12.1	5.3	12.1	5.5	12.3	102	103	102
Puget Sound, Wash	30.7	11.7	31.6	11.7	32.3	11.7	105	102	105
Total (53 markets) 4/	658.8	7/11.7	703.3	7/11.7	696.6	7/11.7	105.7	101.4	104.7

1/ Quantity includes products such as milk and cream mixtures with milkfat content higher than whole milk and lower than the minimum for fluid cream; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. 5/ Combined for Boston, Merrimack Valley and Springfield. S- Under State control. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all New England markets and South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 12.--FLUID CREAM PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for April 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ Apr. 1958		3/ Mar. 1959		3/ Apr. 1959		4/Apr. 1959 as%		Jan.-Apr. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Apr. 1958	Mar. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Niagara Frontier -S	11.3	24.2	13.4	25.0	10.8	24.2	96	81	102
Rochester, N. Y. -S	5.1	24.7	5.1	24.8	5.2	24.8	101	102	100
Philadelphia, Pa	42.8	22.3	38.3	22.5	39.1	22.5	91	102	90
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	5.2	21.9	5.3	21.9	5.3	21.6	102	99	102
Cincinnati, Ohio	7.1	21.1	6.5	21.1	6.6	21.0	93	101	92
Cleveland, Ohio	31.2	19.6	29.6	19.7	29.5	19.6	94	100	95
Columbus, Ohio	6.1	19.9	6.5	20.0	6.6	20.1	108	102	104
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	4.7	22.5	5.1	23.7	4.4	21.7	94	88	102
Toledo, Ohio	3.8	28.2	3.4	26.3	3.2	25.2	84	94	88
Fort Wayne, Ind	1.0	24.1	.9	23.7	.9	23.2	98	103	96
Chicago, Ill	55.9	21.7	53.9	21.5	55.3	21.2	99	103	99
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia	.9	30.3	1.2	27.1	1.2	26.5	142	104	131
Detroit, Mich	47.8	19.7	43.8	19.8	44.2	19.7	92	101	91
Milwaukee, Wis	11.6	25.2	11.1	25.5	10.9	24.8	93	98	96
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	17.3	28.0	16.3	28.8	15.7	28.4	91	97	96
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	.5	29.8	.5	30.3	.5	28.3	111	106	108
Sioux City, Iowa	.4	29.3	.4	30.1	.4	29.5	96	95	101
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans	8.5	23.2	7.8	23.6	8.2	23.0	97	105	100
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo	.7	32.5	.7	31.1	.7	31.1	98	100	101
St. Louis, Mo	14.2	20.0	14.2	20.4	13.5	19.0	96	96	100
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	.5	35.4	.5	34.0	.5	33.8	104	105	101
Omaha-Linc.-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	3.3	24.9	3.1	25.4	3.1	25.2	93	100	99
Southwest Kansas	.3	34.0	.2	33.3	.2	34.0	81	103	98
Wichita, Kans	1.5	23.1	1.2	23.2	1.2	23.4	80	100	83
Wilmington, Del	3.7	23.2	3.3	23.4	3.4	23.2	92	103	94
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va	.2	26.4	.2	26.4	.2	26.0	103	102	96
Clarksburg, W. Va	.5	24.7	.6	28.8	.5	22.5	99	111	102
Wheeling, W. Va	2.2	21.0	2.1	21.3	2.1	21.1	94	101	94
South Carolina -S 5/	4.6	-	3.6	-	4.2	-	92	117	94
Southeastern Florida	27.8	20.2	32.7	22.2	29.2	24.5	105	89	104
Louisville, Ky	3.6	23.6	3.5	23.4	3.7	23.3	104	104	106
Paducah, Ky	.2	36.0	.2	36.5	.2	36.5	99	97	95
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	.7	24.5	.6	24.6	.6	24.9	89	98	96
Chattanooga, Tenn	1.7	25.7	1.5	26.1	1.5	26.3	88	100	87
Knoxville, Tenn	1.0	28.7	1.0	29.3	1.0	29.5	101	101	96
Memphis, Tenn	4.5	22.4	4.4	22.2	4.7	23.0	103	105	101
Nashville, Tenn	1.1	33.0	.9	32.3	1.1	32.4	101	120	94
Central Mississippi	1.7	30.1	1.4	29.4	1.8	30.6	106	126	101
Central Arkansas	1.5	29.0	1.5	28.2	1.6	30.5	105	107	98
New Orleans, La	5.5	23.5	4.8	22.2	5.5	23.1	101	115	98
Oklahoma Metropolitan	5.2	26.1	4.6	26.4	4.9	26.7	93	106	93
Austin-Waco, Texas	2.5	30.1	2.2	29.9	2.4	30.0	94	109	98
Corpus Christi, Texas	1.1	30.2	1.0	29.3	1.0	29.4	98	105	102
North Texas	10.1	28.4	9.2	28.3	10.6	28.7	105	116	100
San Antonio, Texas	1.7	28.4	1.8	29.6	1.7	28.0	94	93	100
Texas Panhandle	.8	29.2	.8	28.6	.8	27.3	100	97	107
Central Arizona	4.2	24.2	4.5	22.6	4.4	23.5	106	99	110
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	3.0	27.3	2.7	27.6	2.7	27.4	89	98	92
Puget Sound, Wash	15.1	27.3	15.9	26.6	16.9	26.6	112	106	106
Total (49 markets) 4/	385.9	6/22.8	373.9	6/22.9	373.9	6/23.0	96.9	100.0	97.2

1/ Quantity includes sweet and soured cream meeting minimum milkfat standards sold within the marketing area; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ South Carolina excluded for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 13.--TOTAL FLUID ITEMS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for April 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ Apr. 1958		3/ Mar. 1959		3/ Apr. 1959		4/Apr. 1959 as %		Jan.-Apr. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Apr. 1958	Mar. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.	2,221	3.71	2,175	-	2,212	-	100	102	100
Merrimack Valley, Mass.	308	3.74	307	-	310	-	101	101	100
Springfield, Mass.	468	3.55	456	-	468	-	100	103	100
Worcester, Mass.	303	3.61	297	-	304	-	100	102	100
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Niagara Frontier -S.	1,067	3.77	1,063	3.89	1,091	3.78	102	103	100
Rochester, N. Y. -S.	504	3.60	497	3.67	512	3.64	102	103	100
Philadelphia, Pa.	2,319	3.99	2,309	3.95	2,377	3.91	102	103	102
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	860	3.57	851	3.56	872	3.55	101	102	100
Cincinnati, Ohio	688	3.60	705	3.59	722	3.60	105	102	102
Cleveland, Ohio	1,965	3.76	1,951	3.74	1,993	3.72	101	102	100
Columbus, Ohio	599	3.63	610	3.63	639	3.62	107	105	102
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	649	3.62	665	3.63	699	3.59	108	105	105
Toledo, Ohio	554	3.75	552	3.74	566	3.71	102	102	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.	141	3.64	143	3.65	147	3.62	104	102	103
Chicago, Ill.	5,832	3.85	5,782	3.84	5,907	3.82	101	102	101
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	273	3.46	270	3.49	281	3.46	103	104	101
Detroit, Mich.	3,551	3.75	3,470	3.75	3,584	3.74	101	103	100
Milwaukee, Wis.	1,003	3.71	1,000	3.71	1,022	3.68	102	102	101
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,334	3.83	1,358	3.76	1,413	3.72	106	104	104
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	146	3.38	149	3.39	152	3.35	104	102	102
Sioux City, Iowa	95	3.52	96	3.52	98	3.50	103	102	104
Kansas City-Mo.-Kans.	1,106	3.58	1,140	3.53	1,148	3.52	104	101	104
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	221	3.74	216	3.74	227	3.71	102	105	100
St. Louis, Mo.	1,291	3.50	1,259	3.53	1,299	3.49	101	103	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	81	3.61	82	3.54	88	3.52	109	108	104
Omaha-Linc.-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	478	3.71	494	3.65	502	3.64	105	102	106
Southwest Kansas	107	3.63	116	3.56	117	3.56	110	102	109
Wichita, Kans.	278	3.42	270	3.44	273	3.44	98	101	99
Wilmington, Del.	254	3.81	259	3.78	270	3.75	106	104	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.	87	3.44	86	3.47	88	3.44	101	102	100
Clarksburg, W. Va.	173	3.69	175	3.69	180	3.66	104	103	104
Wheeling, W. Va.	340	3.66	336	3.65	349	3.62	102	104	100
South Carolina 5/	952	-	959	-	998	-	105	104	102
Southeastern Florida	1,131	4.03	1,232	4.15	1,165	4.12	103	95	107
Louisville, Ky.	655	3.68	672	3.66	685	3.64	104	102	103
Paducah, Ky.	91	3.35	97	3.35	95	3.40	105	98	104
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	200	3.36	204	3.35	210	3.32	105	103	106
Chattanooga, Tenn.	243	3.65	237	3.62	242	3.60	99	102	100
Knoxville, Tenn.	242	3.41	246	3.43	256	3.39	106	104	102
Memphis, Tenn.	401	3.67	416	3.64	423	3.65	106	102	104
Nashville, Tenn.	333	3.27	351	3.29	356	3.31	107	101	106
Central Mississippi	340	3.86	368	3.87	378	3.88	111	103	108
Central Arkansas	332	3.64	348	3.58	357	3.60	108	102	107
New Orleans, La.	620	4.00	627	3.96	646	3.97	104	103	101
Oklahoma Metropolitan	811	3.74	822	3.73	844	3.73	104	103	104
Austin-Waco, Texas	412	3.73	431	3.62	432	3.65	105	100	107
Corpus Christi, Texas	373	3.61	377	3.66	385	3.67	103	102	103
North Texas	1,343	3.71	1,369	3.65	1,362	3.65	101	99	101
San Antonio, Texas	437	3.68	465	3.58	473	3.57	108	102	107
Texas Panhandle	223	3.61	227	3.62	229	3.60	103	101	104
Central Arizona	804	3.58	865	3.59	875	3.56	109	101	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	270	3.78	271	3.75	276	3.72	102	102	102
Puget Sound, Wash.	1,361	3.75	1,380	3.76	1,417	3.76	104	103	104
Total (53 markets) 4/	40,857	6/3.74	41,100	6/3.73	42,008	6/3.71	102.8	102.2	102.0

1/ Quantity includes all items shown in prior tables except fluid cream for Massachusetts markets which has been excluded for purposes of comparison; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, May 1959

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	May 1958 2/	Apr. 1959 3/	May 1959 3/	May 1959 as % of 1958	May 1958 2/	Apr. 1959 3/	May 1959 3/	May 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	6,129	5,240	5,781	94	563	531	588	104
Merrimack Valley, Mass.....	502	393	415	83	505	460	491	97
Springfield, Mass.....	642	699	660	103	626	625	628	100
Worcester, Mass.....	465	448	478	103	667	625	670	100
Connecticut 4/.....	2,697	2,879	2,995	-	-	875	904	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Niagara Frontier -S.....	2,338	2,273	2,370	101	669	693	729	109
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	1,031	1,003	1,045	101	812	817	855	105
New York-New Jersey.....	33,931	31,251	34,055	100	652	620	676	104
Philadelphia, Pa.....	4,751	4,605	4,975	105	612	604	659	108
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Akron-Stark County, Ohio.....	1,150	1,061	1,164	101	502	490	540	108
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	1,455	1,295	1,669	115	382	339	412	108
Cleveland, Ohio.....	3,620	3,295	3,617	100	473	454	502	106
Columbus, Ohio.....	972	901	1,054	108	534	508	596	112
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio.....	1,148	1,024	1,159	101	525	509	575	110
North Central Ohio.....	584	617	678	116	496	496	551	111
Toledo, Ohio.....	856	866	905	106	559	631	671	120
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	369	311	359	97	406	364	420	103
South Bend-LaP.-Elkhart, Ind.	668	707	822	123	665	561	643	97
Chicago, Ill.....	15,918	16,019	16,590	104	798	809	844	106
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	671	577	643	96	694	662	737	106
Rockford-Freeport, Ill.....	166	161	175	105	895	892	951	106
Detroit, Mich.....	5,712	5,751	6,094	107	487	517	550	113
Muskegon, Mich.....	293	286	296	101	523	630	658	126
Upstate Michigan.....	271	368	405	150	528	559	624	118
Milwaukee, Wis.....	1,674	1,747	1,752	105	803	850	862	107
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis...	568	576	590	104	435	455	477	110
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn...	2,598	2,575	2,561	99	751	804	804	107
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia...	596	559	607	102	782	762	835	107
North Central Iowa.....	622	605	605	97	836	915	936	112
Dubuque, Ia.....	188	142	158	84	769	804	907	118
Sioux City, Ia.....	208	214	202	97	566	705	693	122
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	1,724	1,740	1,864	108	519	544	588	113
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.....	337	381	405	120	461	486	522	113
St. Louis, Mo.....	2,151	2,038	2,261	105	574	573	638	111
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.....	656	541	620	94	579	529	605	104
Black Hills, S. D.....	114	113	122	107	798	852	915	115
Eastern South Dakota.....	77	123	114	148	598	799	743	124
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D...	256	292	294	115	778	882	891	114
Omaha-Lincoln-Council Bluffs, Nebr.-Ia.....	831	862	882	106	612	624	637	104
Platte Valley, Nebr.....	234	250	258	110	646	725	749	116
Southwest Kansas.....	155	172	169	109	574	683	678	118
Wichita, Kans.....	508	576	678	134	637	734	692	109
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Wilmington, Del.....	303	260	284	94	675	779	866	128
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	158	147	159	101	593	558	550	93
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	232	189	220	95	438	367	434	99
Tri-State, Ky.-Ohio-W. Va....	721	655	750	104	359	362	411	114
Wheeling, W. Va.....	485	444	513	106	378	359	417	110
Southeastern Florida.....	1,067	1,280	1,224	115	11,001	12,669	11,880	108
South Carolina -S 5/.....	963	1,065	982	102	707	812	756	107

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, May 1959--Continued

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	May 1958 2/	Apr. 1959 3/	May 1959 3/	May 1959 as % of 1958	May 1958 2/	Apr. 1959 3/	May 1959 3/	May 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	1,139	1,107	1,172	103	586	593	626	107
Paducah, Ky.....	136	149	147	108	461	516	504	109
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky....	421	412	416	99	641	590	622	97
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	429	444	434	101	543	596	584	108
Knoxville, Tenn.....	518	508	513	99	518	541	544	105
Memphis, Tenn.....	497	549	529	106	525	606	599	114
Nashville, Tenn.....	741	758	756	102	494	543	545	110
Central Mississippi.....	524	561	546	104	506	568	554	110
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Fort Smith, Ark.....	135	136	142	105	564	530	555	98
Central Arkansas.....	503	563	571	114	561	609	643	115
New Orleans, La.....	1,019	1,080	1,042	102	422	497	477	113
Northern Louisiana.....	466	438	420	90	329	768	739	225
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	1,373	1,395	1,490	108	530	561	601	113
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	346	375	362	105	905	1,083	1,068	118
Central West Texas.....	450	500	507	113	799	965	992	124
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	410	467	459	112	1,039	1,143	1,138	110
North Texas.....	2,429	2,626	2,676	110	798	896	916	115
San Antonio, Texas.....	592	676	660	112	1,192	1,307	1,285	108
Texas Panhandle.....	362	367	377	104	660	724	749	114
MOUNTAIN:								
Central Arizona.....	974	1,153	1,121	115	2,219	2,173	2,649	119
PACIFIC:								
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho...	502	439	481	96	569	549	610	107
Puget Sound, Wash.....	3,233	2,832	3,169	98	893	806	908	102
Total (70 markets) 6/	118,265	114,230	121,670	102.9	628	634	677	107.8

1/ Excludes producer-handlers who purchase no milk from other producers in Federal markets.
2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary.
4/ Receipts through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; beginning with April 1959, all data from Federal sources. Receipts data prior to this change are not strictly comparable with later data. Federal data include total receipts from pooled plants; data from the State Milk Administrator exclude inter-plant shipments of out-of-State plants to other out-of-State plants.
5/ Includes plant receipts of Grade A milk from South Carolina producers.
6/ Excludes Connecticut receipts.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

(Continued from page 20)

May receipts continue above 1958

Average daily receipts of milk from producers in 67 Federal Order and 3 State markets during May were 6 percent above April and 3 percent above May a year earlier. Receipts per producer were 8 percent greater than in May 1958, being higher in 62 of the 70 marketing areas reporting. The average milkfat test of receipts in 63 Federal Order and 3 State markets was 3.64 percent in May, compared with 3.66 percent in April and 3.65 percent in May 1958.

Milk Production in June, 2 percent below a year earlier

Milk cows on farms in the United States produced an estimated 12.1 billion pounds of milk in June--2 percent below last year and 1 percent less than the June 1948-57 average. The seasonal decline this year was 4 percent compared with 3 percent last year and 2 percent on the average. Per capita production in June averaged 2.29 pounds. This was 3 percent less than in June 1958 and 11 percent less than average for the month. For the first 6 months of 1959 milk production was estimated at 65.7 billion pounds, compared with 66.1 billion in the same period last year.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

(Continued from page 15)

Table 5.--Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between June and July 1959
(Changes effective July 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	June	July	June	July	June	July	June	July	June	July
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	4.86	4.90	25	25	25	25	39-45	b39-48	81-85	b84-87
Knoxville, Tenn.....	4.88	4.88	26	b25	26	b25	51	b49	-	-
Memphis, Tenn.....	4.40	4.76	24	24	22-24	22-24	38	38	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Fort Smith, Ark.....	4.42	4.81	k24	k24	24	24	42	42	-	-
Little Rock, Ark.....	4.76	4.76	26	26	24	24	46	b48	-	-
Shreveport, La.....	4.99	5.37	28	28	27	27	51	51	-	-
Tulsa, Okla.....	4.42	4.81	m26	m26	25	25	38	39	72	66
Austin, Texas.....	5.20	5.31	m26	-	26	-	49	-	87	-
Dallas, Texas.....	4.88	4.99	23	b24	23	23	43	b45	-	-
MOUNTAIN:										
Colorado Springs, Colo.	5.12	5.11	25	25	24	24	47	d39-47	83	-
Pueblo, Colo.....	5.12	5.11	25	25	24	24	47	d39	-	-
Salt Lake City, Utah..	5.56	5.56	24	25	23	24	45	47	-	-
PACIFIC:										
Portland, Ore... -d...	5.38	b5.60	25	26	23	24	45	47	-	-
Salem, Ore... -d.....	5.38	b5.60	25	26	23	24	45	47	-	-
San Diego, Calif.....	5.29	5.29	23½	24	22½	23	45	45½	90	91

a. Includes all markets in which changes were at least the equivalent of ½ cent per quart to consumers or were 1¢ or more per hundredweight to producers.
b. Effective date not reported.
d. Effective dates:
June 30 -Atlantic City-Camden-Trenton
July 3 - Rock Island (consumer only)
June 29 - Madison
July 6 - Lincoln
June 26 - Colorado Springs-Pueblo
June 16 - Portland-Salem
June 22 - Chicago (consumer only)
July 12 - Schenectady (consumer only)
i. In glass. j. Glass 1¢ lower. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. t. Homogenized.

FLUID MILK AND CREAM REPORT
AUGUST 1959

LIBRARY
CURRENT SERIAL RECORD
AUG 25 1959
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

August 17, 1959

Reserve
9
34710

SUMMARY

Fluid milk prices throughout the United States in early August continued to advance seasonally at both producer and consumer levels, the Crop Reporting Board reports. Increases from the previous month in fluid milk prices paid by dealers were reported in 46 markets or 1 in about every 3. No significant decreases were shown for any market this month. Home delivered single quart prices were up in 23 markets and down in only 3. Single quart store prices advanced in 29 markets, while declines occurred in 9. More increases than decreases were shown for half-gallons and gallons out-of-stores. Producer prices are not currently determined in a number of markets, but will be reported next month.

Dealer buying prices for fluid use milk in about 160 markets averaged $5.40 per hundredweight (on a 3.5 percent milkfat basis) in early August. This was an increase of 13 cents from July, but a decrease of 1 cent from the August 1958 price. Prices paid producers by dealers in August this year were 11 cents higher than the 1953-57 average for the month. Prices were up from the preceding month in 6 of the 9 regions. The gains varied from 3 cents per hundredweight in the South Atlantic to 21 cents in the New England States. Dealer prices were unchanged from July in the West South Central, Mountain, and Pacific regions. Compared with August 1958, buying prices this month averaged lower in 5 regions and higher in 4.

Single quart prices of milk delivered to homes in 25 major cities throughout the United States in early August averaged 25.7 cents--an increase of 0.2 cent from July and 0.4 cent from August 1958. The average home delivery price this month was 2.3 cents above the 1953-57 August average. Compared with July, milk delivered to homes increased one-half cent per quart in Boston, Hartford, New York, and Chicago. Prices advanced 1 cent per quart in Cleveland, Milwaukee, and Kansas City, Mo. In Detroit, single quart prices were up as much as a cent and one-half. Home delivered prices averaged slightly lower in Jacksonville, where retail milk prices are still unsettled. No changes in single quart prices were reported for the other 16 cities this month.

Average daily whole milk sales in 50 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas this May were down 1 percent from the same month last year. Cumulative daily sales during the first 5 months of 1959, however, were 1 percent larger than the January-May total a year earlier. May skim milk sales were 6 percent above the same month in 1958. Sales of milk and cream mixtures (half-and-half) during May were up 1 percent from the same month last year, while fluid cream sales were off 7 percent. A decrease of 1 percent from the previous year was shown for total fluid product sales during May in 50 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas. Average daily receipts for June in 67 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas were 1 percent above June 1958. A gain of 6 percent from a year earlier in average daily receipts per producer was indicated in these 70 markets.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service — Crop Reporting Board
Da 1-3 (8-59) — Washington, D. C.

Dealers throughout the New England States paid an average price of $6.24 per hundredweight for Class I milk in early August on a 3.5 percent milkfat basis. This was 21 cents higher than in July, but 2 cents lower than the August average last year. Class I prices were up 21 cents per hundredweight from July in all New Hampshire markets reporting and in all Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut Federal Order markets. Both home and store prices increased one-half cent per single quart in Concord, New Hampshire. Prices to consumers in Burlington, Vt. were up 1 cent per quart. Dealer buying prices in this market are not currently determined. In Massachusetts, home delivered and store prices advanced about one-half cent per quart in Boston, Lowell-Lawrence, and Worcester. Home and store single quarts were unchanged in Springfield, but 1 to 2 cent increases per unit were shown for half-gallons and gallons out-of-stores. Retail prices in the Rhode Island markets were one-half cent per quart higher. Prices of milk delivered to homes increased one-half cent per quart in Hartford, Conn., while single quarts out-of-stores were up as much as three and one-half cents. In the New Haven market, single quart store prices advanced one-half cent, while home delivered single quarts were from one-half to 2 cents higher.

In the Middle Atlantic region, prices paid producers for milk for fluid use in early August averaged $6.21 per hundredweight. This was up 19 cents from July and 3 cents from August 1958. In the markets under the New York-New Jersey Federal Order, Class I prices advanced 27 cents per hundredweight from the previous month. Home and store prices were one-half cent higher per single quart in both New York City and Northeastern New Jersey. Some strength was shown for store single quarts in Schenectady and Syracuse. Half-gallons out-of-stores were up 1 to 2 cents per unit in Binghamton.

Class I prices in the East North Central area averaged $4.26 per hundredweight in early August--19 cents higher than for the preceding month and 5 cents more than for August a year earlier. Compared with July, dealer buying prices were up 19 cents per hundredweight in Columbus, Ohio with increases of one-half cent per quart for home delivered milk and 1 cent per single quart out-of-stores. Retail prices advanced about 1 cent per quart for both home and store sales in Akron, Canton, Cleveland, and Toledo, where dealer prices are not currently determined. Producer prices were up 18 cents per hundredweight in Gary, Ind. Store prices were up 1 cent per unit for quarts and half-gallons, while gallon prices went up 2 cents. The average Class I price in South Bend was 20 cents higher this month, but no change was reported in retail prices. In Illinois, producer prices advanced 18 cents per hundredweight in Chicago, 22 cents in Rock Island, and 15 cents in Springfield. Consumer prices in these markets were fairly steady. Store quarts were up 1 to 2 cents and half-gallons were 2 cents higher in Detroit, where Class I prices were about steady. Producer prices advanced 38 cents in Grand Rapids and home delivered milk was 2 cents higher per single quart. Store quarts increased 1 cent in Kalamazoo, where Class I prices were up 30 cents per hundredweight. Dealer prices in Lansing climbed 64 cents, but no changes were reported in consumer prices. In Wisconsin, Class I prices were 22 cents per hundredweight higher in both Beloit and Green Bay. No significant changes occurred in home and store prices, however. Producer prices in Milwaukee were up only 18 cents per hundredweight, but home delivered prices increased 1 cent per single quart. Single quarts out-of-stores were up from 1 to 3 cents in this market, half-gallons were up as much as 3 cents per container, and gallons were 3 to 4 cents higher.

Fluid milk prices paid by dealers in the West North Central region averaged $4.22 per hundredweight during early August. This was 5 cents higher than in July, but 3 cents lower than in August last year. Compared with the previous month, Class I prices increased 22 cents per hundredweight in both Des Moines and Ft. Dodge, Iowa. Consumer prices in these markets were unchanged from July, however. Home and store single quarts were up 1 cent in the Kansas City markets, where producer prices advanced 21 cents per hundredweight. Gallons out-of-stores were off 2 cents per unit in Kansas City, Kansas. In Topeka, producer prices increased 31 cents per hundredweight with no change reported in retail prices.

The average price paid by dealers for fluid use milk in the South Atlantic States, at $6.08 per hundredweight, was up 3 cents from the previous month, but was 14 cents under the August price of 1958. The major change in Class I prices in the region was in Wheeling, W. Va. which showed an increase of 57 cents per hundredweight from the previous month. Home and store prices in this market were unchanged. Huntington, W. Va. reported an increase of 1 cent in the single quart home delivery price. Producer prices in this market are not currently determined. "Price War" conditions were reported in both Jacksonville and Miami, where single quart and half-gallon prices were hard to determine. Class I prices in these markets were unchanged. Higher prices for store milk were reported in the Tampa-St. Petersburg, Fla. market as the price war reported last month had eased. Class I prices in this market were unchanged.

Early August buying prices for Class I milk in the East South Central area averaged $5.17 hundredweight--an increase of 16 cents from July and 2 cents from August a year ago. Paducah, Ky. reported a Class I increase of 92 cents per hundredweight from July. Some single quart prices in the market were 1 cent above July. Class I prices in Memphis and Knoxville, Tenn. were 41 and 45 cents, respectively, higher than July with consumer prices unchanged. In the four markets reporting for Mississippi, Class I prices were 46 cents per hundredweight higher with no changes to consumers.

In the West South Central States, the average buying price for Class I milk, at $5.35 per hundredweight, was unchanged from the preceding month, but was 18 cents under the same month a year earlier. Little Rock, Ark. Class I prices were 41 cents per hundredweight higher than in July. Single quart prices at homes and stores were up 1 cent but half-gallons at stores were unchanged. Small offsetting decreases in Class I prices were shown for other markets. New Orleans reported a decrease of 1 cent in the single quart store price. Three cent declines in Class I prices in Austin and Dallas, Tex. were accompanied by a decrease in Austin, but an increase in Dallas consumer prices.

Prices of milk for fluid use in the Mountain region averaged $5.48 per hundredweight in early August. This was the same as for July, but was 19 cents below the August average in 1958. No significant changes in prices in these markets were reported.

On the Pacific Coast, early August Class I prices averaged $5.19 per hundredweight. This was unchanged from July, but was 19 cents higher than for August a year earlier. The only change in prices reported for this region was in Medford, Ore., where Class I prices were 23 cents per hundredweight above July and store prices were up 1 cent. Home delivery prices were unchanged.

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
August 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices b most common grade			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use a			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
NEW ENGLAND:	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
MAINE -S										
Augusta.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d 2.80	88	47	23-24	25½-26½	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d 2.80	90-92	47	23-24	25½	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	3.7	6.39	est.7.9	6.23	d 3.00	88	46½	22½	26	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth....	3.7	6.39	est.7.9	6.23	d 3.02	-	47	22½-23½	26	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls..			Not determined			76	39-41	20	23	4.0
Burlington.....			Not determined			76	41	20-21	23-25	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	3.7	6.39	est.7.9	6.23	d 3.02	88-92	37	22-23	25½-26	3.7
Fall River.....	3.7	6.46	est.7.9	6.30	d 3.02	-	-	-	-	-
Lowell-Lawr....	3.7	6.39	est.7.9	6.23	d 3.02	-	44	23-24½	25-26	3.7-3.8
Springfield....	3.7	6.39	est.7.9	6.23	d 3.02	88-90	40	23½-24½	28-28½	-
Worcester.....	3.7	6.39	est.7.9	6.23	d 3.02	81-90	43	23-24	27-27½	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport.....	3.7	6.46	est.7.9	6.30	d 3.02	-	48	24½	m26½	3.7
Providence.....	3.7	6.46	est.7.9	6.30	d 3.02	88	46-47	23½-24½	26½	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	3.7	6.39	est.7.9	6.23	d 3.03	104	54	27½	29	3.8
New Haven.....	3.7	6.39	est.7.9	6.23	d 3.03	104	54	27½	29½	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK-S**										
Albany-F.....	3.5	5.898	4.0	5.90	-	-	-	24½	29	3.8
Binghamton-F...	3.5	5.77	4.0	5.77	-	84-90	48	24½	28	3.6-3.8
Buffalo.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d 4.49	92	50	25½	h31½	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	3.5	e6.22-6.61	4.0	6.22-6.61	-	94-102	50	22-27½	30½-31½	-
Rochester.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d 4.56	96	-	24	27	3.6
Schenectady-F..	3.5	5.884	-	5.88	-	-	-	26	28	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	3.5	5.708	-	5.71	-	-	-	-	27	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S.	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d 3.04	100	-	26	29	3.65
Camden-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d 3.04	92	-	24	27	3.8
N'eastern N.J.FS*	3.5	e6.22-6.61	4.0	6.22-6.61	-	-	-	26	29½	-
Trenton-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	-	88	45	23	26½-27	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	4	6.10	5.0	5.85	d 4.30	93	46½	23½	25	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	4	6.08	5.0	5.83	-	89-94	45-47	22½-23½	25	3.7
Johnstown.....	4	6.10	6.0	5.80	-	-	-	-	24½	-
Phila.-F.....	3.7	v5.69	d7.0	5.55	d 2.98	90	45½-46½	23-23½	27	-
Pittsburgh.....	4	6.28	7.0	5.93	d 4.64	91	46½	23½	26	3.5
Reading.....	4	6.10	5.0	5.85	-	90	47	23½	25	3.6
Scranton.....	4	6.00	5.0	5.75	-	90	-	23½	26	3.7
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....			Not determined			-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton.....			Not determined			-	38	20	24	3.5
Cincinnati.....	3.5	4.607	8.03	4.61	4.61	-	42	21-21½	24	3.5
Cleveland.....			Not determined			-	37	19	23-24	3.5
Columbus.....	3.5	4.479	7.7	4.48	4.48	-	40	21½	23	3.5
Dayton.....	3.5	4.473	7.4	4.47	4.47	-	39	22	23	3.5
Toledo.....			Not determined			-	42	21	24-25	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
August 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
NEW ENGLAND:										
MAINE - S										
Augusta	HVD	25½-27½		52	-	HVD	25½-27	50-52	-	3.7-4.0
Portland	HVD	25½-27½		t52	-	HVD	25½-27½	50-52	-	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord	CL	26	(D) 1¢-5+;2¢-9+;3¢-12+	-	-	HVD	26	-	-	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth	HVD	27	(D) 1¢-5+;2¢-10+	55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls	HVD	24	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	23	45	-	4.0
Burlington	CL	23-25	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	23-24	45	-	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston	HVD	26-27		49-52	98-108	HVD	24½-25½	40	77	3.7
Fall River	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Lowell-Lawr.	HVD	26-27	(D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	n50½-53	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
Springfield	HVD	31		n59	-	HVD	26-27	42-44	76-78	3.7
Worcester	HVD	29	(W) ½¢-12+; 1¢-21+	m53-57	-	HVD	26-29	46-47	84-89	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport	H	27½		-	-	H	26½	52	-	3.8
Providence	H	27½-28½	(M) 2¢-90+; 3¢-120+	54	108	H	j26½	51-52	100	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford	HVD	30	(M)1¢-90+; (D) ½ gal. 4¢-2+	59	-	HVD	30	-	-	3.7
New Haven	HVD	30½	(D) ½ gal. 5¢-2+	60	-	HVD	30½	60	-	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK- S**										
Albany-F	HVD	29	(D) ½ gal. 10¢-2+	57	-	HVD	26	49	-	3.8
Binghamton-F	H	28	(D)1¢-2+;2¢-4+;3¢-6+;4¢-12+	-	-	H	25-28	47-54	-	3.6-3.8
Buffalo	HVD	h32½		h58	-	H	25-30	48-53	-	3.6-3.7
New York-F	HVD	31½		-	-	H	23½-29	-	-	-
Rochester	HVD	28	(W)1¢-8+;1½¢-13+;2¢-19+;2½¢-25+	-	-	HVD	26-27	49-51	-	3.6
Schenectady-F	HVD	29	(M)1¢-34+;2¢-54+;3¢-74+;4¢-94+	t55	-	HVD	29	51-55	-	3.7
Syracuse-F	HVD	28	(½ gal. 10¢-2+)	k55	-	HVD	25-28	47-55	-	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S.	HVD	30		k57	-	H	q27-31	52-57	-	-
Camden-S	HVD	28		55	-	HVD	28	-	-	3.5-3.8
N'eastern N.J.-S*	r	30½		-	-	r	29-29½	-	-	3.5
Trenton-S	HVD	27½-28		54-55	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie	HVD	26		51	102	HVD	25-26	49-51	-	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg	H	25		50	100	H	24	48	96	3.7
Johnstown	HVD	25½		50	100	HVD	24½	48-49	98	-
Phila.-F	HVD	28		54	-	H	24½	48	-	-
Pittsburgh	H	26		51	-	H	25	49	-	3.5
Reading	HVD	26		52	104	HVD	25	50	-	3.6
Scranton	CL	26		-	-	H	25	-	-	3.6
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t68	3.5
Canton	HVD	24	(M) 1¢-60+; 2¢-90+	43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cincinnati	HVD	24	(M)1½¢-39+;2½¢-74+;3¢-108+	46	-	HVD	24	46	-	3.5
Cleveland	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	41-43	-	HVD	19	37	t68	3.5
Columbus	HVD	23½		44	-	HVD	23	39-41	-	3.5
Dayton	HVD	24		m43	63-73	HVD	22-24	39-44	54-59	3.5
Toledo	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

August 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville....			Not determined			80	39	21	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F..			Not determined			71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	3.5	g4.00	d7.0	4.00	-	87	44	22½	-	-
Indianapolis..			Not determined			74	37	21	23	3.5
South Bend-F..	3.5	4.25	d7.6	4.25	4.25	69	39	22	24	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chicago-F.....	3.5	eg4.00	d7.0	4.00	3.39	-	44	22½	27-31	3.5
Peoria.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rock Island-F.	3.5	g4.14	7.3	4.14	4.14	77	40-42	20½-21½	23-24	3.5-3.6
Springfield...	3.5	f3.70	7.0	3.70	3.70	76	38	21	23	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek..	3.5	4.35	6.5	4.35	-	-	33	18	21	3.8
Detroit-F.....	3.5	g4.363	d6.5	4.36	d2.84	-	43	21½	24½	3.5
Grand Rapids..	3.5	4.65	6.5	4.65	4.65	68-71	36-38	18-19	23	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	3.5	4.70	6.5	4.70	4.70	70	35	19	k23	3.6
Lansing.....	3.5	est.4.76	6.5	4.76	-	-	-	-	23½	3.6
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	3.5	4.04	-	4.04	3.39	72	41	21	24	3.5
Eau Claire....			Not determined			-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Green Bay-F...	3.5	3.87	7.3	3.87	3.87	70	36.3-39	18.6-20	21-22	3.6
Madison.....			Not determined			77-79	41	20½-21	23-24	3.5
Milwaukee-F...	3.5	g3.96	d7.0	3.96	3.12	68-73	33½-36½	20-21	24	3.65
Superior-F....	3.5	4.015	8.1	4.02	4.02	76-78	44	22	23	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	3.5	3.50	7.0	3.50	-	-	36	18	20	3.5
Duluth-F.....	3.5	4.015	8.1	4.02	4.02	76-78	44	22	23	3.5
Minneapolis-F.	3.5	4.048	8.05	4.05	4.05	-	-	-	22	-
St. Paul-F....	3.5	4.048	8.05	4.05	4.05	-	-	-	m20	-
Winona.....	3.5	3.55	7.0	3.55	3.55	-	-	i18½	21	-
IOWA										
Burlington....	3.5	4.00	8.0	4.00	-	-	-	-	22	3.7-3.8
Des Moines-F..	3.5	4.14	7.0	4.14	4.14	74	37	19	22	-
Ft. Dodge-F...	3.5	3.94	7.0	3.94	3.94	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux City-F..			Not determined			78	38	19	22	3.5-3.6
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	v4.614	7.5	4.39	4.39	82	41	21	24	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	3.5	4.25	7.0	4.25	4.25	-	-	-	28	-
Springfield...	3.5	3.98	7.0	3.98	3.98	80	39	20	23	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor....	-	-	-	-	-	68	34-35	17	-	-
Grand Forks...	-	D.R.	10.7	3.74	-	70	36	18	k20	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	3.5	4.33	7.0	4.33	4.33	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux Falls...	3.5	4.22	9.3	4.22	4.22	76	41	21	21	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....			Not determined			82	37	19.8	-	4.0
Omaha-F.....			Not determined			72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Scottsbluff...	3.5	4.95	7.0	4.95	-	78	41	21	24	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
August 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville.....	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	22-24	39-43	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F...	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21	36-38	71	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	HVD	29½		51	88	HVD	24	44-47	83-85	3.5
Indianapolis...	H	24		42	76	H	23	40-42	72	3.5
South Bend-F...	HVD	24		41-42	76	HVD	23-24	39-42	69-76	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Chicago-F.....	HVD	27-31		48-58	86-99	HVD	22½-27	-	-	3.5
Peoria.....	HVD	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rock Island-F..	H	23-24		45-47	83-87	H	21-23	41-45	79-83	3.5-3.6
Springfield....	HVD	23	(W)1¢-20+; 2¢-30+	k45	-	HVD	21-23	37-40	-	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek...	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.6
Detroit-F.....	HVD	24½	Varied discount plans	48	-	HVD	21-25	37-48	-	3.5
Grand Rapids...	H	23	(M)1¢-60; 2¢-61+	45	-	H	-	-	-	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	H	k23	(M)1¢-60+; 2¢-120+	45	-	H	21	-	-	3.6
Lansing.....	HVD	23½		42	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	HVD	24		44	79	HVD	22-24	41-44	75-79	3.5
Eau Claire.....	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+ (½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Green Bay-F....	HVD	21-22	(D)1¢-3+ (½ gal. 2¢-2+)	42-43	-	HVD	20½-21	39½-42	-	3.6
Madison.....	HVD	23-24		45	87	HVD	20½-21	41	79	3.5
Milwaukee F....	HVD	24		42-44	78-80	HVD	22-24	36½-39	69-71	3.6
Superior F.....	HVD	24	(D)3¢-2+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	HVD	20		k40	-	HVD	20	39-40	-	3.5
Duluth-F.....	HVD	23	(D)½¢-2-5+, all if 6+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
Minneapolis-F..	HVD	22		39	-	HVD	18-20	34½	63	3.5
St. Paul-F.....	HVD	20-21		35-37	-	HVD	20	j32-33	-	3.5
Winona.....	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	i20-21	i39-41	-	-
IOWA										
Burlington.....	HVD	22		41-43	-	HVD	19-20	39-42	-	3.3-3.5
Des Moines-F...	HVD	m22		w45	-	HVD	j23	-	-	-
Ft. Dodge-F....	HVD	-		-	-	H	-	-	-	-
Sioux City-F...	HVD	22		k44	80	HVD	22	42	-	3.4-3.5
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24		46	t87	HVD	21	41	t75	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	HVD	28		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Springfield....	HVD	23		46	-	HVD	22-23	41-43	-	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor.....	HVD	k20		k40	-	HVD	19-20	37-38	-	-
Grand Forks....	HVD	k20		k40	-	HVD	20	40	-	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	H	k21		k44	-	H	21	40-42	-	-
Sioux Falls....	HVD	m22		w43	-	HVD	j23	43-45	-	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....	HVD	24		k45	t86	HVD	23	43	t82	3.6
Omaha-F.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	75	3.4-4.0
Scottsbluff....	HVD	k24	(D)1¢-3+ (2nd ½ gal. 45¢)	k47	-	HVD	24	46-47	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

August 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	v4.614	7.5	4.39	4.39	82	41	21	24	3.3-3.5
Topeka.....	3.8	vA4.614	7.5	4.39	4.39	73	37	19	-	-
Wichita.....	3.8	4.644	7.0	4.43	4.43	71	38	19½	25	3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington....	4	5.69	5.0	5.44	-	88	39	22	26	3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	3.5	u est.5.56	7.0	5.56	d 3.01	90	45	25½	27	3.7
Cumberland....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington....	3.5	gy5.98	d7.7	5.98	5.98	95	41	24	26½	4.0
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-FS*.	3.5	gy5.98	d7.7	5.98	5.98	-	46	23	26	4.0
N'flk.-Ports.-S	x3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	-	49	24½	26	4.0
Richmond -S....	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	47	23½	26½	3.7
Roanoke -S.....	x3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	49	25	25	3.8
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston....	-	D. R.	16.44	5.75	3.94	-	52	26½	28½	3.5-3.8
Huntington-F..			Not determined			-	48	24½	26	3.5
Wheeling-F....	3.5	4.82	7.5	4.82	4.82	69	44	23	26	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	49	25	27	4.1-4.2
Charlotte.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	47	24	27	3.8-4.1
Durham.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	89	49	25	27	-
Wins.-Salem...	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston....	4	6.40	7.0	6.05	6.05	-	47	24	26	4.0
Columbia.....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	92	47	24	26	4.0
Greenville....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	-	-	-	26	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	4	6.93	7.0	6.58	6.58	-	48	24½	m26	4.0
Augusta.....	4	6.82	7.0	6.47	6.47	-	48	24½	m26	4.0
Savannah.....	4	7.20	7.0	6.85	6.85	-	50	25½	m27	4.0
FLORIDA....										
Jacksonville..	4	7.08	d6.5	6.76	-	-	-	-	-	4.0
Miami-F.....	4	7.00	7.5	6.62	-	-	-	-	27	4.1
Tampa.....	4	7.08	7.6	6.70	-	-	-	-	-	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F..	3.8	4.482	7.3	4.26	4.26	78	40	21	24	3.7
Paducah-F.....	3.5	4.53	7.0	4.53	4.53	-	36	20	22	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga...	4	g5.28	7.5	4.90	4.90	79	43	22	25	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	4	g5.25	7.5	4.88	4.88	-	41	21	25	3.8
Memphis.....	4	5.52	7.0	5.17	5.17	-	35-36	20	24	4.0
Nashville.....	4	g5.00	7.0	4.65	4.65	64	32	16½	20	3.6
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham....	4	6.43	5.0	6.18	6.18	-	48½	24 3/4	26	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	4	6.43	5.0	6.18	-	-	48½	24 3/4	26	3.5-4.0
Montgomery....	4	6.33	5.0	6.08	-	92	46½	22 3/4	k25	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

August 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD - homogenized vitamin D; H - homogenized; CL - creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-50+	46	-	HVD	21	41	t73	3.2-3.5
Topeka.....	HVD	k22		43	82	HVD	21	39	73	3.4-3.5
Wichita.....	HVD	25		46	85	HVD	23-24	43-44	79-82	3.3-3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington.....	HVD	27		47	-	HVD	27	47	77	3.5-3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	HVD	28		46	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.5-3.6
Cumberland.....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington.....	H	26½-27½	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	48	100	H	23½-27½	40-53	82-100	3.5
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-FS*	H	26	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;2½¢-10+	k52	-	H	24-27	47-51	94-98	3.9
N'flk-Ports-S	HVD	27	(M)1¢-78+	k54	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.0
Richmond-S	H	26½	(D)1¢-6+	53	-	H	24½	49	-	3.7
Roanoke-S	HVD	26		k52	-	HVD	25-28	49-53	t94	3.7
WEST VIRGINIA-S										
Charleston.....	r	28½		57	99	r	28½	55-57	92-99	3.5-3.6
Huntington-F...	HVD	27		53	96	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Wheeling-F.....	r	26		50-51	87	r	25-26	47-50	81	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	HVD	27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8-4.0
Charlotte.....	HVD	27		51	-	HVD	26-27	51-52	-	3.8-3.9
Durham.....	HVD	27		m53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8
Wins.-Salem....	HVD	k27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston.....	HVD	26		51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
Columbia.....	HVD	26	(W)1¢-24+	51	-	HVD	26	49-51	-	4.0
Greenville.....	HVD	26	(W)2¢-24+	51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	H	m26		w51	-	H	j27	53	-	4.0
Augusta.....	H	m26		w51	-	H	j27	53	-	4.0
Savannah.....	H	m27		w53	-	H	j28	55	-	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville...	HVD	t25-30		t37-53	t74-89	HVD	25-30	37-53	74-89	4.0
Miami-F.....	HVD	28		55	103	HVD	-	25-33	-	4.0
Tampa.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	28	49	89	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F...	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	23-25	41-43	-	3.7
Paducah-F.....	H	22		k41	-	H	22-23	39-42	-	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga....	HVD	25		48	87-89	HVD	25	39-48	84-89	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.8
Memphis.....	HVD	24		41-42	-	HVD	22-24	38	-	3.5-4.0
Nashville.....	HVD	20		39	-	HVD	19-20	37-39	-	3.5
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.5-4.0
Montgomery.....	HVD	k26		k51	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

August 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city): Basic fat test	For fluid milk use [a]: At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.	For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Wholesale prices most common grade [b]: Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--: Half gallon	Quart	Creamline or regular whole milk: Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI -F										
Columbus.....	4	5.50	7.0	5.15	5.15	-	-	-	-	-
Greenwood.....	4	5.50	7.0	5.15	5.15	88	43	22	25	4.0
Gulfport-Biloxi.	4	5.76	7.0	5.41	5.41	-	-	24	m26	3.7-3.8
Jackson.....	4	5.66	7.0	5.31	5.31	84-88	45-47	23-24	26-27	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	4	5.202	7.3	4.84	4.84	78	37	20½	24	3.5
Little Rock....	4	gx5.71	7.0	5.36	5.36	86	45	23	27	3.53
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	4	6.05	6.0	5.75	5.75	88	46	23	26	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	4	eg6.00	7.0	5.65	5.65	94	49	25	27	3.95
Shreveport-F...	4	5.752	7.0	5.40	5.40	-	47	25	28	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	4	g5.55	7.3	5.18	5.18	94	48	25	27	3.6
Tulsa.....	4	5.102	7.3	4.74	4.74	96	37	23	-	-
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	4	5.627	7.0	5.28	5.28	88	45	23½	m26	3.7
Dallas-F.....	4	5.322	7.3	4.96	4.96	85	45	22½	27	4.0
El Paso.....	4	f6.00	7up;9dn.	5.55	5.55	90	44	23	26	3.5
Galveston.....	4	f6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	83	47½	25	m27	4.0
Houston.....	4	6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	90	47	24	27	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	3.7	5.66	7.0	5.52	4.02	80	42½	21¼	24	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	4	5.10	8.5	4.68	4.68	75	39	19½	23	3.5
Idaho Falls....	3.5	4.75	10.0	4.75	-	75	40	20	23	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	-	D. R.	16.0	5.60	5.60	72	42	21	22	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo. Spgs.-F..	3.5	5.133	8.1	5.13	5.13	-	-	-	-	-
Denver.....	-	D. R.	16.2	x5.67	5.46	-	-	-	25	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	3.5	5.133	8.1	5.13	5.13	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	3.5	5.75	7.0	5.75	5.75	91	46	25	27	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	3.8	5.44	7.8	5.21	5.21	81	-	-	25	-
Tucson.....	3.8	5.74	7.8	5.51	5.51					3.75
UTAH										
Salt Lake City.	3.6	5.724	7.7up 15.9dn.	5.56	5.56	86	42.8	21.4	25	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	3.5	5.35	-	5.35	-	80	44	22	26	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	4	5.53	7.0	5.18	5.18	85	43	22½	24½	3.6
Everett-F.....	4	v5.002	7.3	4.64	4.64	85	42½	21½	24	3.5
Seattle-F.....	4	v5.002	7.3	4.64	4.64	85	42½	21½	24	3.6
Spokane-F.....	4	z5.25	7.5	4.88	4.88	82	42	21	24	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
August 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI-F										
Columbus.....	HVD	k25		k49	-	HVD	24-25	47-49	-	4.0
Greenwood.....	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	25	48	-	4.0
Gulfport-Biloxi	HVD	m26		w51	-	HVD	j26	52	-	3.5
Jackson.....	HVD	26-27		51-52	-	HVD	25-27	48-52	-	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	HVD	k24		k42	80	HVD	24	42	-	3.5
Little Rock....	H	27		k52	-	H	25	48	-	3.44
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	HVD	26		51	88	HVD	25-26	50-51	85-88	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	HVD	28		54	-	HVD	26-27	-	-	4.0
Shreveport-F...	HVD	28		53	-	HVD	27	51	-	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	HVD	27-28		52	t98	HVD	25	48	95	3.6
Tulsa.....	HVD	m26		m42	68	HVD	25	39	66	3.6
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	H	m26		w50	-	H	26	49	87	3.6-3.8
Dallas-F.....	HVD	27		52	-	HVD	27	51	-	4.0
El Paso.....	HVD	26	(W)1½¢-6+	w49	-	HVD	25-26	45-48	-	3.5
Galveston.....	HVD	m28		w55	89	HVD	j27-28	j51½-53½	89	4.0
Houston.....	H	27		m53	-	H	j25	j48	94	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	HVD	-	(D)½¢-2+;1¢-4+	-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	HVD	24		48	-	HVD	24	48	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	H	23		k46	88	H	23	46	q79-88	3.5
Idaho Falls....	HVD	23		46	88	HVD	23	46	-	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	H	m22		k46	-	H	22-23	45-46	-	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo. Spgs.-F..	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Denver.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.4-3.6
Pueblo -F.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	H	27		53	106	H	27	53	-	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	-
Tucson.....	H	26		52	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
UTAH										
Salt Lake City.	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	H	26		k51	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	H	24½		k47	-	H	24	45	-	3.6
Everett-F.....	H	24	(M)1¢-52+;1½¢-78+;2¢-100+	k48	-	H	24	45-46	-	3.5
Seattle-F.....	HVD	24	(D)1¢-4+;1½¢-6+	-	-	HVD	23-23½	44-46	-	3.6
Spokane-F.....	HVD	24		k50	94	HVD	24	48	93	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
August 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
PACIFIC CONT.:										
OREGON										
Medford.....	4	6.32	7.7	5.94	-	-	46	23	k25	3.8
Portland.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
Salem.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	3.8	5.14	9.7	4.85	4.85	68-78	40	20½	h26	3.55
Los Angeles....	3.8	5.48	9.8	5.19	5.19	69-77	39	20	25	3.55
Sacramento.....	3.8	5.29	9.6	5.00	5.00	66½-76	39½	20	h26	3.55
San Diego.....	3.8	5.58	9.8	5.29	5.29	72-82	41½	21	24	3.55
San Francisco..	3.8	5.46	9.7	5.17	5.17	75-77	41½	21	h26½	3.55
Santa Barbara..	3.8	5.48	9.8	5.19	5.19	66-76	39½	19¾	24	3.55

July 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)

Bellows Falls,Vt..	3.7	4.73	7.4	4.58	-	76	39-41	20	23	4.0
Burlington, Vt....	3.7	4.73	7.4	4.58	-	-	39	20	22-24	3.7-4.0
Albany,N.Y.....	3.5	5.628	4.0	5.63	-	-	47	25	28	3.8
Akron, Ohio.....	3.5	4.203	7.5	4.20	4.20	-	36	19	22	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	3.5	4.203	7.5	4.20	4.20	-	36	19	23	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio...	3.5	4.203	7.5	4.20	4.20	-	37	19	23	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.5	4.183	7.3	4.18	4.18	-	40	20	23-24	3.5
Fort Wayne, Ind...	3.5	4.117	7.5	4.12	4.12	71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	3.5	3.92	6.7	3.92	-	74	37	21	23	3.5
Kalamazoo, Mich...	3.5	4.40	6.5	4.40	4.40	70	35	19	k23	3.6
Eau Claire, Wis.(June)	3.5	3.25-3.32	7.0	3.25-3.32	-	-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	3.5	3.90	6.0	3.90	3.25	77-79	41	20½-21	23-24	3.5
Fort Dodge, Iowa..	3.5	3.72	7.0	3.72	3.72	72	38	19½	23	-
Sioux City, Iowa..	3.5	4.37	9.08	4.37	4.37	78	38	19	22	3.5-3.6
Lincoln, Nebr.....	3.8	g4.87	9.08	4.60	4.60	82	37	19.8	-	4.0
Omaha, Nebr.....	3.8	g4.87	9.08	4.60	4.60	72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Huntington, W.Va..	3.5	4.213	7.5	4.21	4.21	90	46	23½	25	3.5
Austin, Texas.....	4	5.658	7.0	5.31	5.31	-	45	23½	m26	3.7

Table 1(a).--Minimum order Class I prices at Basic Test in Federal Order markets where higher than Federal Order prices prevail

Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.
Chicago-Gary.....	Aug.1959	3.79	Dist. of Col.....	Aug.1959	5.55	Nashville.....	Aug.1959	4.56
Rock Island.....	Aug.1959	3.99	Alex.-Arl.....	Aug.1959	5.55	Little Rock.....	Aug.1959	5.52
Detroit.....	July 1959	3.713	Chattanooga.....	Aug.1959	5.10	New Orleans.....	Aug.1959	5.73
Milwaukee.....	Aug.1959	3.75	Knoxville.....	Aug.1959	4.88	Oklahoma City.....	Aug.1959	5.202
Omaha-Lin.....	July 1959	4.62						

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
August 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
			(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)							
PACIFIC CONT.:		Cents		Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
OREGON										
Medford.....	H	k25		k49	98	H	25	49	98	3.8
Portland.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
Salem.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	HVD	h26		h48	h93	HVD	22½	44	88	3.55
Los Angeles....	HVD	25	(D)1¢-3+;1½¢-5+;2½¢-8+	49	98	HVD	22	43	86	3.55
Sacramento.....	HVD	h26		h47½	h91	HVD	22	43½	87	3.55
San Diego.....	HVD	24		47½	95	HVD	23	45½	91	3.55
San Francisco..	HVD	h26½		h49½	h96	HVD	23	45½	91	3.55
Santa Barbara..	HVD	24		48	96	HVD	22½	45	90	3.55
July 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)										
Bellows Falls,Vt..	HVD	24	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	23	45	-	4.0
Burlington, Vt....	CL	22-24	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	22-23	43	-	3.7-4.0
Albany, N. Y.....	HVD	29	(D)½gal. 10¢-2+	58	-	HVD	26-28	47-51	-	3.8
Akron, Ohio.....	HVD	22		40	-	HVD	22	39	t64	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	HVD	23	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	41	-	HVD	22	39	64	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio...	HVD	23	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	41	-	HVD	19	37	64	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	HVD	23		43	-	HVD	22-23	37-39	-	3.5
Fort Wayne,Ind....	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21	36-38	71	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	H	24		42	76	H	23	40-42	72	3.5
Kalamazoo, Mich...	H	k23	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-120+	45	-	H	20	37	-	3.6
EauClaire,Wis.(June)	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+(½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	HVD	23-24		44	85	HVD	20½-21	41	79	3.5
Fort Dodge, Iowa..	HVD	23		45	-	H	23	45	-	-
Sioux City, Iowa..	HVD	22		k44	84	HVD	21-22	42-44	-	3.4-3.5
Lincoln, Nebr.....	HVD	24		k45	t86	HVD	23	43	t82	3.6
Omaha, Nebr.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	75	3.4-4.9
Huntington, W.Va..	HVD	26		51	88	HVD	26	51	88	3.5
Austin, Texas.....	H	m27		w52	87	H	27	52	87	3.6-3.8

S. All prices shown under State Control. * Retail prices only under State Control. ** Producer prices only under State Control. F. Federal Order market. If State marked, applies all cities shown. D.R. payment in direct ratio to milkfat. a. Class or base price unless otherwise noted. Bulk tank premiums appear in table 4, page 14. b. List prices which may be subject to discounts. d. July price; cream price at Manchester $3.00. e. NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY: 200-mile zone price plus estimated freight (31½-41¢) and country station handling charges (20-50¢). CHICAGO: 55-70 mile zone; NEW ORLEANS: 61-70 mile zone. f. Flat price. g. Negotiated prices. The F.O.M. prices are shown in table 1(a). h. Includes following service charge for first unit each single delivery: BUFFALO, 5¢; FRESNO AND SAN FRANCISCO, 3¢; SACRAMENTO, 4¢. i. In glass. j. Glass 1¢ lower; ½ gal. 2¢ at Galveston and Houston. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. n. Second half-gallon: Lowell-Lawrence 46½ to 49¢; Springfield and Worcester 51¢. q. Creamline or regular. r. The most common grade of milk not reported; prices shown are for Homogenized Vitamin D. t. Homogenized. u. Estimated average Class I price paid by handlers. v. F.O.M. price. w. Paper 2¢ higher. x. Effective July 1 at Denver, N'flk.-Ports., Roanoke and Little Rock. y. Estimated average negotiated Class I premiun paid by handlers 43¢. z. F.O.M. price. June weighted average premium 7¢. A. Topeka: 3.8% Class I F.O.M. $4.307 not Neg. Class I $4.407.

Table 2.--Dealers' average buying prices for milk for fluid milk use (f.o.b. city) United States, by regions, August 1959 1/
(Per hundredweight - 3.5% fat; weighted by population of individual markets)

Region	Markets	August 1958	July 1959	August 1959 Range	August 1959 Average
	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England	17	6.26	6.03	4.87 - 6.49	6.24
Middle Atlantic	17	6.18	6.02	5.55 - 6.42	6.21
East North Central	27	4.31	* 4.07	3.58 - 4.70	4.26
West North Central	20	4.25	4.17	3.40 - 4.50	4.22
South Atlantic	26	6.22	* 6.05	4.70 - 6.85	6.08
East South Central	13	5.15	5.01	4.15 - 6.18	5.17
West South Central	15	5.53	5.35	4.74 - 5.81	5.35
Mountain	14	5.67	* 5.48	4.68 - 5.75	5.48
Pacific	13	5.00	5.19	4.64 - 5.94	5.19
United States	162	5.41	* 5.27	3.40 - 6.85	5.40

1/ In some markets the prices paid for fluid milk and fluid cream are the same. Includes Class I prices, base or basic price and flat prices where effective.
* Revised.

Table 3.--Prevailing prices of standard grade milk per single quart delivered to homes in 25 cities, August 1959 1/

(Cents per single quart)

Markets	1953-57 Aug. av.	Aug. 1958	July 1959	Aug. 1959	Markets	1953-57 Aug. av.	Aug. 1958	July 1959	Aug. 1959
Boston, Mass.	24.3	27	25-26	25½-26	Baltimore, Md.	24.0	27	27	27
Hartford, Conn.	25.6	27½	28½	29	Washington, D. C.	25.0	26½-27½	26½-27½	26½-27½
New York, N. Y.	26.1	30-30½	30-31	30½-31½	Jacksonville, Fla.	27.0	29	29	25-30
Philadelphia, Pa.	23.8	27	27	27	Louisville, Ky.	24.0	26	24	24
Pittsburgh, Pa.	24.2	26	26	26	New Orleans, La.	26.6	27	27	27
Cleveland, Ohio	20.8	24	23	24	Dallas, Texas	24.0	26	27	27
Indianapolis, Ind.	22.0	23	23	23	Denver, Colo.	24.4	25	25	25
Chicago, Ill.	26.3	26-29½	27-30½	27-31	Salt Lake City	21.8	24	25	25
Detroit, Mich.	22.8	24½	23-23½	24½	Seattle, Wash.	22.0	23½	24	24
Milwaukee, Wis.	21.2	23	23	24	Portland, Ore.	22.8	25	26	26
Minneapolis, Minn.	20.3	22	22	22	San Francisco	22.1	24½	2/ 25	2/25
Kansas City, Mo.	21.8	21	23	24	Los Angeles	22.2	24½	25	25
Omaha, Nebr.	20.8	22	23	23	25 cities average	23.4	25.3	25.5	25.7

1/ "Standard grade" is grade commonly sold in each market. Grades vary between markets due to differences in determining factors. The prices shown are the latest reported up to and including the 10th of the month.

2/ In markets having a service charge on the first unit at each single delivery location, the charge has been divided between 2 quarts. Since the single quart concept used historically is based on every day delivery, it is assumed that under every other day delivery the charge represents a two quart purchase.

Table 4.--Premiums for bulk tank delivered milk not included in dealers' buying prices in table 1

August 1959

Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.
Augusta, Me.	5	Albany, N. Y.	20	Battle Cr., Mich.	10	Duluth, Minn.	15-25	Louisville, Ky.	15
Manchester, N.H.	5	Binghamton, N.Y.	10-11	Detroit, Mich.	8	Burlington, Ia.	15	Memphis, Tenn.	5
Boston, Mass.	5-15	Buffalo, N.Y.	10	G. Rapids, Mich.	8-12	Kansas City, Mo.	10	Nashville, Tenn.	5
Fall River, Mass.	10	Rochester, N.Y.	10	Lansing, Mich.	10	St. Louis, Mo.	25	Okla. City, Okla.	10
Low.-Law., Mass.	5	Schenectady, N.Y.	10	Beloit, Wis.	20	Spgfld., Mo.	15-25	Colo. Spgs., Colo.	6
Spgfld., Mass.	5-15	Syracuse, N.Y.	20	EauClaire, Wis.	20	Sioux Falls, S.D.	10	Denver, Colo.	5-10
Worchester, Mass.	5-15	Gary, Ind.	25	Green Bay, Wis.	10	Topeka, Kans.	10-15	Albuq., N.M.	10
Providence, R.I.	5	So. Bend, Ind.	15	Madison, Wis.	16	Omaha, Nebr.	14	Everett, Wash.	10
Hartford, Conn.	5	Chicago, Ill.	15-25	Milwaukee, Wis.	5-15	Huntington, W.Va.	10	Seattle, Wash.	12
New Haven, Conn.	5	RockIsland, Ill.	15	Durham, N.C.	10	Lexington, Ky.	15	Spokane, Wash.	10-20
Burlington, Vt.	7	Spgfld., Ill.	15						

Table 5.--Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between July and August 1959
(Changes effective August 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	July	Aug.	July	Aug.	July	Aug.	July	Aug.	July	Aug.
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:										
Concord, N. H.....	6.02	6.23	25½	26	25½	26	-	-	-	-
Man.-Nashua-Ptsmth,N.H.	6.02	6.23	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burlington, Vt.....	4.58	Not det.	22-24	23-25	22-23	23-24	43	45	-	-
Boston, Mass.....	6.02	6.23	26-26½	b26-27	24-25	b24½-25½	38	b40	73	b77
Fall River, Mass.....	6.09	6.30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lowell-Lawrence, Mass.	6.02	6.23	25½	26-27	26	-	-	-	-	-
Springfield, Mass....	6.02	6.23	31	31	26½	b26-27	40-43	b42-44	76	b76-78
Worcester, Mass.....	6.02	6.23	28½	29	24½	26-29	43-45	46-47	82-87	84-89
Newport, R. I.....	6.09	6.30	27	27½	26	26½	51	52	-	-
Providence, R.I.....	6.09	6.30	m28	27½-28½	j26	j26½	50-51	51-52	98	100
Hartford, Conn.....	6.02	6.23	29½	30	26½-27	30	53	-	-	-
New Haven, Conn.....	6.02	6.23	28½-29	30½	j30	30½	-	60	-	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
Albany, N. Y.....	5.63	5.90	29	29	26-28	26	47-51	49	-	-
Binghamton, N. Y.....	5.50	5.77	28	28	25-27	b25-28	46-52	b47-54	-	-
New York, N. Y.....	5.95-6.34	6.22-6.61	31	b31½	23-28½	b23½-29	-	-	-	-
Schenectady, N. Y....	5.61	5.88	29	29	28-29	b29	51-55	51-55	-	-
Syracuse, N. Y.....	5.44	5.71	28	28	24-28	25-28	47-55	47-55	-	-
N'eastern New Jersey.	5.95-6.34	6.22-6.61	30	30½	28½-29	29-29½	-	-	-	-
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Akron, Ohio.....	4.20	Not det.	22	23	22	23	39	40	t64	t68
Canton, Ohio.....	4.20	Not det.	23	24	22	23	39	40	64	68
Cleveland, Ohio.....	4.20	Not det.	23	24	19	19	37	37	64	t68
Columbus, Ohio.....	4.29	4.48	23	23½	22	23	38-41	39-41	-	-
Dayton, Ohio.....	4.44	4.47	24	24	22-24	22-24	39-44	39-44	54-67	b54-59
Toledo, Ohio.....	4.18	Not det.	23	24	22-23	23-24	37-39	39-42	-	-
Gary, Ind.....	3.82	4.00	29½	29½	23-25	24	43-46	44-47	81-83	83-85
South Bend, Ind.....	4.05	4.25	24	24	23-24	23-24	39-42	39-42	69-76	69-76
Chicago, Ill.....	3.82	4.00	27-30½	27-31	22-25	22½-27	43-47	-	80-88	-
Rock Island, Ill.....	3.92	4.14	24	b23-24	23-24	b21-23	43-45	b41-45	81-85	b79-83
Springfield, Ill.....	3.55	3.70	23	23	23	b21-23	39-42	b37-40	-	-
Detroit, Mich.....	4.34	4.36	23-23½	24½	20-23	21-25	36-46	37-48	-	-
Grand Rapids, Mich...	4.27	4.65	21	b23	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kalamazoo, Mich.....	4.40	4.70	k23	k23	20	b21	37	-	-	-
Lansing, Mich.....	4.12	4.76	23½	23½	20½-23½	-	-	-	-	-
Beloit, Wis.....	3.82	4.04	24	24	21-24	b22-24	41-44	41-44	75-79	75-79
Green Bay, Wis.....	3.65	3.87	21	21-22	20½-21	20½-21	39½-42	39½-42	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.....	3.78	3.96	23	24	21	22-24	35-36	36½-39	65-68	69-71
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Burlington, Iowa.....	4.00	4.00	22	22	20-21	19-20	39-43	b39-42	-	-
Des Moines, Iowa.....	3.92	4.14	m22	m22	j23	j23	-	-	-	-
Fort Dodge, Iowa.....	3.72	3.94	23	-	23	-	45	-	-	-
Kansas City, Mo. d...	4.18	4.39	23	24	20	21	39-41	41	75	t75
Kansas City, Kans. d.	4.18	4.39	23	24	20	21	39-41	41	73	73
Topeka, Kans.....	4.08	4.39	k22	k22	21	21	39	39	73	73
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
Wilmington, Del.....	5.44	5.44	27	27	26	b27	47	47	77	77
Huntington, W. Va....	4.21	Not det.	26	27	26	-	51	-	88	-
Wheeling, W. Va.....	4.25	4.82	26	26	25-26	25-26	47-50	47-50	81	81
Jacksonville, Fla....	6.70	6.76	k30	bt25-30	29	b25-30	57	b37-53	-	74-89
Miami, Fla.....	6.62	6.62	28-29	b28	27-28	-	55	b25-33	-	-
Tampa, Fla.....	6.70	6.70	-	-	i25	b28	39	b49	69-85	b89
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Paducah, Ky.....	3.61	4.53	22	22	22	b22-23	39-42	39-42	-	-
Memphis, Tenn.....	4.76	5.17	24	24	22-24	22-24	38	38	-	-
Nashville, Tenn.....	4.20	4.65	20	20	19-20	19-20	37-39	37-39	-	-
Columbus, Miss.....	4.69	5.15	k25	k25	24-25	24-25	47-49	47-49	-	-
Greenwood, Miss.....	4.69	5.15	25	25	25	25	48	48	-	-
Gulfport-Biloxi,Miss.	4.95	5.41	m26	m26	j26	j26	52	52	-	-
Jackson, Miss.....	4.85	5.31	26-27	26-27	25-27	25-27	48-52	48-52	-	-

(Continued on page 28)

Table 6 .--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, August 1959

Market	Whole milk per quart					Cream and mixtures			
	Homo. vit. mineral		High fat milk		Certified to homes	Half & half per pt.		Table cream (½ pt.)	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper		To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:									
Boston, Mass.....	-	-	a31-31½	-	32	-	-	24	23-27
Providence, R. I...	-	-	28½-29½	-	30½-35	-	-	22½-23½	22-22½
Hartford, Conn.....	-	-	g32	-	39	-	-	f24	23
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:									
Buffalo, N. Y.....	-	-	ng33½	-	-	42	40-41	30	28-30
New York City.....	-	-	-	-	41½	31½	-	25	d19-25½
Rochester, N. Y....	-	-	g29	g28-29	-	34	28	27	24-27
Philadelphia, Pa...	-	-	a30	-	37-38	-	-	28	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	-	-	28	27	-	f36	35	f28	27
E. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Cincinnati, Ohio...	v25	-	-	-	-	32	32	29	28
Cleveland, Ohio....	-	-	26	-	-	f33-34	28-31	f25-26	21-24
Indianapolis, Ind..	25	d24	-	-	-	f32	30	24	23
Chicago, Ill.....	-	-	g34	-	-	43-44½	-	36½-39	-
Detroit, Mich.....	25½	-	j26½	-	-	33	29-31	30	28-31
Milwaukee, Wis.....	-	-	-	-	30	f38	35	f32	30-32
W. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Minneapolis, Minn..	-	-	f25	20-21	-	32	27-28	24	23-24
Des Moines, Ia.....	h22	e23	g24	-	-	f31	-	-	-
Kans. C., Mo-Kans..	25	-	-	-	-	33	31	28	23
St. Louis, Mo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	24	23-24	g24	qg23	-	29-30	28-30	25-27	24-27
SOUTH ATLANTIC:									
Baltimore, Md.....	-	-	g30	d30	-	30½	d30½	30	d30
Washington, D. C...	-	-	g28½	-	-	f32	33	25	26
Richmond, Va.....	-	-	g29½	g28½	-	33	-	28	-
Charlotte, N. C....	-	-	j28	-	-	f27	27	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	-	-	-	-	h28	h29	e30	24	24½
Miami, Fla.....	v29	-	g30	-	-	37	32-37	28	24-28
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
Louisville, Ky.....	-	-	34	-	-	f33	30-31	f26	25
Nashville, Tenn....	-	-	g23	dg22	-	f29	28-29	-	-
Birmingham, Ala....	-	-	-	-	-	27	27	23	23
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
New Orleans, La....	-	-	-	-	-	f34	34-35	f25	25
Oklahoma City.....	28	-	-	-	-	f36	33	f26	25
Dallas, Tex.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	23	-
El Paso, Tex.....	26	25-26	g29	-	30	31	30-32	24	23-25
MOUNTAIN:									
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	-	-	g24	-	-	f29	29	22	22
Denver, Colo.....	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	f28	25-26
Salt Lake City.....	-	-	gj26	gj25	-	37;qt.69	36;qt.59	-	r54
PACIFIC:									
Seattle, Wash.....	-	-	g26	-	-	29½	28½-29½	f25½	25-25½
Portland, Ore.....	-	-	-	-	-	30	29	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	26½	-	g28½	-	29-30	28	-	27	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	n28½	25	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 6 .--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, August 1959--Continued

Market	Cream and mixtures		Creamed cottage cheese					
	Whip. cream (½ pt.)		Pound pkg.		12 Oz. pkg.		Other	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	37-39	35-37	42	23-31	-	-	b22	b18
Providence, R. I...	35-37	34½-36	35	-	-	-	b22	-
Hartford, Conn.....	f40	38	34	33	-	-	-	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Buffalo, N. Y.....	38	32-38	h31	28-29	t34	t31-32	-	-
New York City.....	36½	d32-39	35	-	31	-	b20½	-
Rochester, N. Y....	43	40-42	29	24-31	-	-	-	-
Philadelphia, Pa...	49	-	35	-	-	-	b20	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	f40	39	29	29	-	-	b18	b18
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Cincinnati, Ohio...	39	38	30-35	35	26-28	28	-	-
Cleveland, Ohio....	f50-52	42-50	31	25	-	-	s32 st31	s30 st25
Indianapolis, Ind..	43	d41	-	-	25	24	i53 k125	i51 k125
Chicago, Ill.....	45½-48	-	38½	-	-	-	-	i49
Detroit, Mich.....	60	-	28	28-29	-	-	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.....	f36	34-35	28	26-28	25	25	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Minneapolis, Minn..	30	28-29	-	-	26	24	i55 u59	i49-55 u55
Des Moines, Ia.....	39	d39	25	-	24	-	i58	-
Kans. C., Mo.-Kans.	35	31	-	-	24	21	i47	i43
St. Louis, Mo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	31-35	30-35	30	29	25	24-25	i57	i55-57
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Baltimore, Md.....	47	d47	-	-	29	29	i63	i63
Washington, D. C...	46	47	-	-	27-28	22-28	i57	i49-58
Richmond, Va.....	46	46	p29	p22	27	22	-	-
Charlotte, N. C....	f40	40	-	-	26-27	26-27	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	34	34½	-	-	25	25	-	-
Miami, Fla.....	42	38-42	31	-	25	20	i54	i49
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	f29	29	32	28	-	-	b18	b17
Nashville, Tenn.....	f33	32-33	29	28-29	-	-	b18	b18
Birmingham, Ala....	31	31	-	-	26	23-26	k100	k100
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
New Orleans, La....	f32	32-33	-	-	26	24-25	-	-
Oklahoma City.....	f34	32	-	-	25	23	i51	i49
Dallas, Tex.....	29	30	-	-	24	-	-	-
El Paso, Tex.....	44	42-44	33	33	26-27	26-28	-	i55-57
MOUNTAIN:								
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	38	38	-	-	23	23	i51	i51
Denver, Colo.....	f49	47	-	-	27	25	-	-
Salt Lake City.....	39	38	32	31	w27	w26	b22 i61	b22 i57
PACIFIC:								
Seattle, Wash.....	f32½	30½-32½	-	30	32	32	i61	i61
Portland, Ore.....	35-38	34-36	35	32-35	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	39	-	30-31	-	-	-	b19	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

a. Boston: g31½-32½¢; Philadelphia: Golden Guernsey and Vitamin D. Grade A, 1¢ higher.
b. 8-ounce package. d. In glass. e. Glass 1¢ lower. f. In paper. g. Guernsey.
h. Paper 1¢ higher. i. 2-pound package. j. Jersey.
k. 5-pound package.
n. Includes following service charge for first unit only, each single delivery: Buffalo: 5¢; San Francisco: 3¢. p. 3 and 5-pound package. q. Glass 1¢ higher. r. Pint price.
s. 14½-ounce package. t. Whipped cream type.
u. 36-ounce package. v. Multi-vitamin. w. Low fat.

Table 7. --MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, JUNE 1959

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England:							
Boston, 201-210 mile zone	3.7	3.91	7.3	3.76	---	---	5.04
Merrimack Valley, Mass.	3.7	4.84	7.3	4.69	---	---	5.58
Southeastern New England	3.7	5.23	7.3	5.08	---	---	5.65
Springfield, Mass.	3.7	4.90	7.3	4.75	---	---	5.58
Worcester, Mass.	3.7	4.93	7.3	4.78	---	---	5.58
Connecticut	3.7	5/ 5.06	7.0	4.92	---	---	5.59
Middle Atlantic:							
New York-N.J., 201-210 mile zone	3.5	3.75	5.6	3.75	---	---	4.99
Philadelphia, Pa.	3.7	* 4.66	7.0	4.52	---	---	5.15
E. North Central:							
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	3.5	3.85	7.3	3.85	4.00	2.91	4.18
Cincinnati, Ohio, Grade A	3.5	5/ 3.50	7.4	3.50	---	---	4.52
Cleveland, Ohio	3.5	3.78	7.2	3.78	3.98	2.91	4.18
Columbus, Ohio	3.5	5/ 3.62	7.2	3.62	---	---	4.31
Dayton-Spfld., Ohio, Grade A	3.5	5/ 3.62	7.0	3.62	---	---	4.44
North Central Ohio	3.5	* 3.67	7.3	3.67	3.84	2.86	3.84
Toledo, Ohio	3.5	* 3.85	7.0	3.85	---	---	4.16
Fort Wayne, Ind.	3.5	3.29	6.7	3.29	---	---	3.71
South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart, Ind.	3.5	3.62	7.0	3.62	3.78	2.91	3.88
Chicago, Grade A, 55-70 mile zone	3.5	3.16	7.0	3.16	3.24	2.84	4/ 3.38
Quad Cities, Ill.-Iowa	3.5	3.30	6.8	3.30	---	---	4/ 3.58
Rockford-Freeport, Ill., Grade A	3.5	3.29	7.0	3.29	---	---	1/ 3.40
Detroit, Mich.	3.5	3.38	6.5	3.38	3.45	3.01	4/ 3.69
Michigan Upper Peninsula	3.5	* 3.39	7.0	3.39	---	---	3.87
Muskegon, Mich.	3.5	3.63	6.5	3.63	3.72	2.85	3.96
Upstate Michigan	3.5	3.61	6.5	3.61	---	---	3.96
Milwaukee, Wis.	3.5	* 3.26	7.0	3.26	3.30	2.96	4/ 3.34
Northeastern Wisconsin	3.5	3.25	7.0	3.25	---	---	3.46
W. North Central:							
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis.	3.5	5/ 3.11	7.2	3.11	---	---	4.01
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	3.5	3.36	7.2	3.36	3.48	2.93	3.60
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	3.5	3.39	6.8	3.39	---	---	3.53
Des Moines, Iowa	3.5	3.46	6.8	3.46	---	---	3.73
Dubuque, Iowa	3.5	3.17	6.6	3.17	---	---	3.48
Sioux City, Ia.	3.5	5/ 3.74	7.0	3.74	---	---	4.37
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	3.8	3.90	6.2	3.71	4.05	3.08	4/ 4.10
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	4.0	3.89	7.0	3.54	4.11	3.05	3.98
St. Louis, Mo.	3.5	3.57	6.7	3.57	---	---	3.84
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.	3.5	3.21	6.7	3.21	---	---	3.57
Black Hills, S. D.	3.5	4.35	7.0	4.35	4.66	2.74	5.07
Eastern South Dakota	3.5	3.77	6.7	3.77	---	---	4.32
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	3.5	3.64	7.0	3.64	---	---	4.21
Omaha-Lin.-Coun. Bluffs, Nebr.-Ia.	3.8	5/ 4.01	7.0	3.80	---	---	4.35
Southwest Kansas	3.8	5/ 3.98	7.0	3.77	---	---	4.60
Wichita, Kans.	3.8	4.25	6.8	4.05	---	---	4.57
Platte Valley, Nebr.	3.5	5/ 3.30	8.1	3.30	---	---	4.35
North Central Iowa	3.5	* 3.43	6.8	3.43	---	---	3.53
South Atlantic:							
Wilmington, Del.	4.0	* 5.08	5.5	4.81	---	---	5.04
Clarksburg, W.Va.	3.5	4.16	7.3	4.16	4.34	2.87	4.60
Tri-State area, Ky.-Ohio-W.Va.	3.5	* 3.82	7.0	3.82	---	---	2/ 4.22
Bluefield, W.Va.-Va.	4.0	* 4.55	6.8	4.21	4.72	4.05	4.45
Wheeling, W.Va.	3.5	3.88	7.2	3.88	4.11	2.87	4.30
Southeastern Florida	4.0	6.57	7.5	6.19	6.74	4.41	6.63
E. South Central:							
Louisville, Ky.	3.8	5/ 3.61	6.5	3.42	---	---	4.27
Paducah, Ky.	3.5	3.53	6.5	3.53	---	---	3.62
Appalachian, Tenn., Va. & Ky.	4.0	* 4.77	6.8	4.43	4.97	3.27	4.70
Chattanooga, Tenn.	4.0	4.46	7.2	4.10	4.67	2.99	4/ 4.68
Knoxville, Tenn.	4.0	4.55	7.0	4.20	4.75	3.16	4/ 4.59
Memphis, Tenn.	4.0	* 4.54	6.5	4.22	4.69	3.66	4.41
Nashville, Tenn.	4.0	* 4.18	7.0	3.83	4.39	3.26	4.22

Table 7. --MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, JUNE 1959--CONTINUED

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
E. South Central Cont'd.:							
Central Mississippi	4.0	4.48	6.5	4.16	4.75	3.06	4.87
Mississippi Delta	4.0	4.36	6.7	4.03	4.97	3.10	4.71
Mississippi Gulf Coast	4.0	4.97	6.8	4.63	5.28	3.10	4.97
W. South Central:							
Fort Smith, Ark.	4.0	*4.19	7.0	3.84	4.39	3.02	4.43
Central Arkansas	4.0	4.45	6.8	4.11	4.71	2.98	4/ 4.41
New Orleans, La., 61-70 mile zone	4.0	4.71	6.7	4.38	4.90	3.15	4/ 5.19
Northern Louisiana	4.0	*5.06	6.8	4.72	5.26	3.85	4.99
Oklahoma Metropolitan Area	4.0	4.30	7.0	3.95	4.54	3.15	4/ 4.53
Red River Valley, Okla.-Texas	4.0	4.65	7.1	4.30	4.96	3.15	4.68
Austin-Waco, Texas, Zone 1	4.0	*5.42	6.4	5.10	---	---	3/ 5.27
Central West Texas, Abilene zone	4.0	4.91	7.0	4.56	---	---	5.13
Corpus Christi, Texas	4.0	*5.42	6.8	5.08	---	---	5.52
North Texas	4.0	4.44	6.0	4.14	4.65	3.08	4.88
San Antonio, Texas	4.0	5.26	6.4	4.94	---	---	5.30
Texas Panhandle	4.0	4.95	6.8	4.61	5.19	3.04	4.84
Mountain:							
Colorado Springs-Pueblo, Colo.	3.5	4.62	7.9	4.62	---	---	5.12
Western Colorado	3.5	*4.75	7.7	4.75	---	---	4.97
Central Arizona	3.8	5.38	7.6	5.15	5.58	3.09	5.61
Pacific:							
Puget Sound, Wash.	4.0	4.12	7.2	3.76	4.28	3.40	4/ 4.62
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	4.0	4.48	7.3	4.12	4.73	3.16	4.86

* Individual-handler pool. Weighted average of handlers' prices.
1/ Freeport plants: Class I $3.36.
2/ Huntington plants.
3/ Austin 7.5¢ and Waco 22.5¢ less, respectively.
4/ Negotiated price (does not include bulk-tank pickup premiums):

Chicago	$3.63	Milwaukee	$3.59	Knoxville	$4.88	Oklahoma City	$5.19
Rock Island	3.73	Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	4.25	Little Rock	4.76	Puget Sound	5.06
Detroit	4.35	Chattanooga	4.86	New Orleans	5.66		

5/ The following deductions have been made for fall production incentive payments:

Conneticut	15¢	Duluth-Superior	27¢	Southwest Kansas	50¢
Cincinnati	35¢	Sioux City	31¢	Platte Valley	35¢
Columbus	35¢	Omaha-Linc.	33¢	Louisville	40¢
Dayton-Springfield	35¢				

Table 8. --NET PRICES PAID MEMBERS OF PRODUCERS' ASSOCIATIONS, JUNE-JULY 1959 1/

City	Net blended price paid for 3.5% milk per cwt. 2/	Month	Dealers' buying price for Class I milk per cwt.
	Dollars		Dollars
Pittsburgh, Pa.	3.89	June	5.93
Evansville, Ind.	3.82	June	4.30
Baltimore, Md.	4.48	July	5.56
Richmond, Va.	4/ ---	July	6.10
Charleston, W. Va.	4.81	June	5.75
South Carolina	3/ 5.59	June	6.15
Denver, Colo.	4/ 4.62	July	5.74
Salt Lake City, Utah	4.24	June	5.56

1/ Prices usually specified as follows:
Class I - Milk for fluid consumption.
Class II - Milk from which cream is obtained for fluid consumption.
Class III and IV - Milk used in manufactured dairy products.

2/ F.O.B. CITY unless indicated otherwise.
3/ Average blend price paid by all plants in the State.
4/ Base price; surplus price $2.59 at Denver; $3.41 at Richmond.

SALES OF MILK AND CREAM AND RECEIPTS FROM
PRODUCERS IN CERTAIN FEDERAL ORDER AND STATE
MARKETING AREAS, MAY, JUNE 1959

Whole milk sales in May drop below last year

Average daily sales of whole milk in 50 Federal Order and 3 State Marketing Areas during May were 1 percent lower than in May last year and 4 percent below April 1959. Of the 10 largest markets reporting, the Niagara Frontier of New York, North Texas and Inland Empire, Washington - Idaho were higher than a year earlier. Puget Sound, Washington sales held about steady. Previous year decreases for the remaining markets ranged from 1 percent in Philadelphia and Cleveland to 4 percent in Detroit and St. Louis. Minneapolis-St. Paul sales were 2 percent lower and Boston sales 3 percent lower than in the same month of 1958. Cumulative sales for the entire 53 markets were 1 percent higher for the same period last year. The average milkfat test of sales of whole milk in 48 of the 53 markets during both April and May, 1959 was 3.56 percent as compared with 3.57 percent in May 1958.

Sales of skim milk products up 6 percent

Skim milk product sales on an average daily basis during May in 52 of the 53 marketing areas were 6 percent higher than in May a year ago but 1 percent lower than in April this year. Of the 11 most important skim milk markets, 8 showed higher sales than last year, the gains ranging from 4 percent in Detroit to 20 percent in Minneapolis-St. Paul. Skim milk product sales were lower in St. Louis, Southeastern Florida and North Texas. Cumulative daily sales of skim milk products in the 52 markets for the first 5 months of this year were 7 percent higher than for the same period last year. The average milkfat test for sales of all skim items during May was 1.07 percent, compared with 1.04 percent in May last year and 1.07 percent in April this year.

Sales of half-and-half 1 percent higher than a year earlier

Sales of milk and cream mixtures (half-and-half in most markets) on an average daily basis in 52 marketing areas during May were 1 percent higher than May last year but 2 percent lower than April 1959. In the important Chicago market, where sales amount to almost 1/3 of the entire group of markets, sales were 5 percent lower than the previous year. Of the other 51 markets, sales were higher in 34 markets; in 2 markets sales were about the same as last year, but in 15 markets sales were lower. Compared with April, average daily sales were lower in 37 of the 52 markets. Sales were about the same in 2 markets, and higher in 13 markets. Cumulative daily sales in the 52 markets for the period January-May 1959 were 4 percent above those of the same period in 1958. The average milkfat test of milk and cream mixtures during May was 11.7 percent. This was the same average fat test as in May 1958 and April this year.

May fluid cream sales 7 percent below last year

Fluid cream sales on an average daily basis in 49 marketing areas (4 Massachusetts markets excepted) during May were 7 percent less than in May last year but were 3 percent higher than in April this year. Of the 11 markets which together make up almost 3/4 of the sales of the entire group, only Puget Sound showed higher sales than in May 1958. North Texas sales were about the same as last year. In the other 9 of this group of markets

(Continued on page 27)

Table 9.--WHOLE MILK: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for May 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ May 1958		3/ Apr. 1959		3/ May 1959		4/ May 1959 as %		Jan.-May 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	May 1958	Apr. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.	2,182	3.80	2,135	-	2,118	-	97	99	99
Merrimack Valley, Mass.	303	3.80	300	-	303	-	100	101	100
Springfield, Mass.	447	3.65	446	-	437	-	98	98	99
Worcester, Mass.	299	3.72	293	-	290	-	97	99	99
Connecticut 5/	2,090	-	2,124	3.72	-	-	-	-	-
Niagara Frontier -S	1,024	3.60	1,045	3.59	1,032	3.61	101	99	100
Rochester, N. Y. -S	456	3.61	460	3.64	441	3.61	97	96	100
Philadelphia, Pa.	2,160	3.75	2,204	3.71	2,130	3.71	99	97	101
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	764	3.51	763	3.51	735	3.51	96	96	98
Cincinnati, Ohio	610	3.52	639	3.53	641	3.52	105	100	103
Cleveland, Ohio	1,804	3.56	1,820	3.55	1,792	3.55	99	98	100
Columbus, Ohio	543	3.53	574	3.51	553	3.51	102	96	102
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	581	3.56	617	3.53	598	3.53	103	97	105
Toledo, Ohio	513	3.52	523	3.54	510	3.53	99	98	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.	123	3.53	130	3.52	124	3.50	100	95	103
Chicago, Ill.	5,221	3.49	5,214	3.49	5,080	3.49	97	97	100
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	210	3.39	225	3.43	213	3.45	102	94	101
Detroit, Mich.	3,341	3.52	3,310	3.52	3,216	3.53	96	97	99
Milwaukee, Wis.	920	3.51	907	3.51	882	3.51	96	97	99
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,168	3.53	1,231	3.51	1,139	3.51	98	93	101
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	118	3.28	124	3.34	118	3.33	100	95	100
Sioux City, Iowa	79	3.43	83	3.43	79	3.43	100	95	104
Kansas City-Mo.-Kans.	994	3.43	1,023	3.45	962	3.44	97	94	100
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	198	3.51	210	3.53	190	3.52	96	91	99
St. Louis, Mo.	1,130	3.37	1,152	3.35	1,087	3.36	96	94	98
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	65	3.39	73	3.35	67	3.35	102	92	103
Omaha-Linc.-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia.	409	3.59	437	3.54	416	3.54	102	95	105
Southwest Kansas	95	3.39	110	3.39	102	3.40	107	92	108
Wichita, Kans.	248	3.29	252	3.32	245	3.35	98	97	99
Wilmington, Del.	240	3.60	254	3.60	244	3.58	102	96	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.	74	3.72	79	3.67	74	3.71	100	93	101
Clarksburg, W. Va.	154	3.68	169	3.65	156	3.65	101	92	104
Wheeling, W. Va.	319	3.57	331	3.55	316	3.56	99	95	100
South Carolina -S 6/	808	-	840	-	810	-	100	96	102
Southeastern Florida	953	3.80	1,010	3.75	935	3.76	98	93	106
Louisville, Ky.	576	3.69	614	3.67	590	3.65	102	96	102
Paducah, Ky.	75	3.57	82	3.61	75	3.62	100	92	99
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	163	3.66	180	3.64	167	3.63	102	92	104
Chattanooga, Tenn.	201	3.99	205	3.92	201	3.94	100	98	100
Knoxville, Tenn.	203	3.69	215	3.68	206	3.66	101	96	102
Memphis, Tenn.	352	3.77	369	3.74	357	3.73	101	97	104
Nashville, Tenn.	272	3.58	298	3.57	280	3.57	103	94	106
Central Mississippi	285	3.98	334	3.93	305	3.96	107	91	108
Central Arkansas	267	3.74	308	3.68	287	3.70	107	93	107
New Orleans, La.	589	3.91	600	3.89	586	3.89	99	98	100
Oklahoma Metropolitan	735	3.65	770	3.65	715	3.63	97	93	102
Austin-Waco, Texas	384	3.63	395	3.54	381	3.54	99	96	106
Corpus Christi, Texas	353	3.56	357	3.59	352	3.62	100	98	103
North Texas	1,197	3.60	1,226	3.52	1,205	3.52	101	98	102
San Antonio, Texas	410	3.56	439	3.45	421	3.45	103	96	107
Texas Panhandle	195	3.51	210	3.54	209	3.53	107	99	104
Central Arizona	710	3.54	784	3.53	744	3.53	105	95	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	235	3.61	243	3.59	236	3.61	101	98	101
Puget Sound, Wash.	1,195	3.52	1,241	3.53	1,191	3.53	100	96	103
Total (54 markets) 4/	36,951	7/3.57	37,855	7/3.56	36,540	7/3.56	98.9	96.5	101.1

1/ Quantity includes plain and flavored whole milk with milkfat content meeting minimum standards sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales include creamed buttermilk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data; excludes Connecticut. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959, all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, and South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 10.--SKIM MILK PRODUCTS: Average Daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for May, 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ May 1958		3/ Apr. 1959		3/ May 1959		4/ May 1959 as %		Jan.-May 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	May 1958	Apr. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	77.3	.79	76.8	-	82.5	-	107	107	102
Merrimack Valley, Mass.....	8.0	.83	9.1	-	9.8	-	122	108	112
Springfield, Mass.....	20.1	.50	21.3	-	21.6	-	107	101	109
Worchester, Mass.....	10.5	1.04	10.2	-	11.5	-	109	112	102
Connecticut 5/.....	104.6	-	103.3	.66	-	-	-	-	-
Niagara Frontier - S.....	27.3	.78	27.1	.75	28.4	.78	104	105	104
Rochester, N. Y. - S.....	42.5	.53	43.2	.48	43.1	.51	102	100	100
Philadelphia, Pa.....	120.9	.90	122.4	.74	128.9	.81	107	105	105
Akron-Stark County, Ohio...	77.4	1.58	89.9	1.61	91.1	1.63	118	101	118
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	65.6	1.37	67.7	1.59	69.6	1.55	106	103	102
Cleveland, Ohio.....	112.4	.95	119.5	.95	123.9	1.00	110	104	108
Columbus, Ohio.....	42.9	.97	47.4	.90	46.8	.94	109	99	103
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio...	65.9	1.51	66.1	1.62	63.0	1.48	96	95	105
Toledo, Ohio.....	22.9	.58	26.1	.68	25.8	.74	113	98	113
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	12.3	1.43	12.7	1.35	13.1	1.35	106	103	103
Chicago, Ill.....	394.4	1.38	420.1	1.40	424.8	1.41	108	101	109
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	44.9	1.49	46.2	1.43	44.0	1.46	98	95	104
Detroit, Mich.....	156.3	.80	159.2	.74	162.8	.77	104	102	104
Milwaukee, Wis.....	74.1	1.04	87.2	1.11	86.2	1.10	116	99	120
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	107.8	.90	133.8	.82	129.4	.81	120	97	125
Ceder Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	22.0	1.03	23.1	1.12	21.9	1.10	99	95	116
Sioux City, Iowa.....	11.4	1.04	11.1	.98	10.6	.98	93	96	95
Kansas City, Mo-Kans.....	66.5	.85	98.8	1.21	93.7	1.20	141	95	152
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo....	9.3	.90	10.1	.89	11.3	1.07	121	112	112
St. Louis, Mo.....	114.8	1.58	114.3	1.60	107.6	1.58	94	94	98
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	11.3	1.70	12.3	1.52	11.9	1.51	105	97	107
Omaha-Linc.-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	46.2	.64	47.5	.57	45.4	.57	98	96	101
Southwest Kansas.....	3.2	1.07	3.9	.91	3.9	.92	120	98	117
Wichita, Kans.....	13.1	.54	13.7	.54	12.9	.44	99	94	87
Wilmington, Del.....	11.7	.86	11.9	.76	11.9	.83	102	100	101
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	8.5	.44	8.4	.47	8.2	.42	96	98	92
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	8.9	.95	9.0	1.01	9.7	1.22	110	108	97
Wheeling, W. Va.....	12.9	.99	12.7	.97	14.5	.97	112	114	98
South Carolina - S 6/.....	142.5	-	151.1	-	151.5	-	106	100	100
Southeastern Florida.....	97.4	.74	103.4	.76	95.4	.77	98	92	104
Louisville, Ky.....	55.2	1.26	60.8	1.25	58.8	1.25	107	97	112
Paducah, Ky.....	11.7	1.01	13.0	1.15	12.2	1.09	104	94	135
Appalachian, Tenn,-Va.-Ky..	25.4	.60	29.0	.73	28.4	.72	112	98	110
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	36.5	.62	35.2	.65	35.4	.68	97	101	98
Knoxville, Tenn.....	36.2	.74	38.8	.85	36.8	.87	102	95	104
Memphis, Tenn.....	45.1	.53	47.0	.51	45.7	.52	101	97	100
Nashville, Tenn.....	53.5	.62	52.9	.70	52.1	.66	97	98	99
Central Mississippi.....	32.8	1.08	37.3	1.04	35.1	1.03	107	94	108
Central Arkansas.....	39.6	1.31	43.2	1.23	41.9	1.23	106	97	104
New Orleans, La.....	32.7	1.06	35.0	1.17	35.4	1.13	108	101	107
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	52.9	.95	56.9	1.02	54.1	1.07	102	95	104
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	25.9	.89	28.6	.94	28.3	.96	110	99	102
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	20.3	1.26	20.3	1.23	20.0	1.24	99	99	102
North Texas.....	108.5	.84	104.3	1.02	100.3	.99	92	96	93
San Antonio, Texas.....	25.2	1.30	25.1	1.29	24.6	1.39	98	98	101
Texas Panhandle.....	14.7	1.29	15.3	1.35	15.3	1.22	104	100	102
Central Arizona.....	68.3	.89	71.4	.98	71.3	1.05	104	100	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	22.7	.45	24.8	.50	24.1	.49	106	97	108
Puget Sound, Wash.....	115.7	.70	126.0	.86	125.8	.86	109	100	116
Total (53 markets) 4/	2,886.4	7/1.04	3,082.1	7/1.07	3,062.3	7/1.07	106.1	99.4	107.0

1/ Quantity includes plain and fortified skim and part-skim milk, buttermilk and cultured specialties and flavored milk drinks sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales exclude creamed buttermilk but include some flavored whole milk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data; Connecticut excluded. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959, all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 11.--MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES: Average daily sales and tests in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for May 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ May 1958		3/ Apr. 1959		3/ May 1959		4/ May 1959 as%		Jan.-May 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	May 1958	Apr. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England 5/	2.8	11.4	2.9	-	2.2	-	77	74	91
Niagara Frontier -S	9.3	10.8	8.9	10.8	8.7	10.8	93	98	99
Rochester, N. Y. -S	3.3	10.8	3.8	10.6	3.6	10.6	107	94	111
Philadelphia, Pa	7.8	11.3	11.4	11.5	10.7	11.7	137	94	154
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	13.2	11.2	14.0	11.2	13.3	11.3	101	96	105
Cincinnati, Ohio	7.2	11.3	8.5	11.2	8.2	11.3	114	99	112
Cleveland, Ohio	21.1	11.3	23.8	11.5	23.6	11.3	112	93	107
Columbus, Ohio	9.6	11.4	10.7	11.1	9.9	11.4	104	93	107
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	9.9	11.8	10.7	11.8	10.1	11.8	102	94	108
Toledo, Ohio	14.3	10.5	14.4	10.8	14.5	10.9	101	101	101
Fort Wayne, Ind	2.5	12.2	2.8	12.1	2.7	12.2	110	99	109
Chicago, Ill	222.2	12.1	216.7	12.0	211.0	12.0	95	97	99
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia	8.8	12.2	8.3	12.2	8.2	11.7	94	100	94
Detroit, Mich	65.3	10.6	70.9	10.6	69.2	10.5	106	98	107
Milwaukee, Wis	15.9	12.6	16.2	12.5	16.5	12.6	104	101	106
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	31.5	12.2	31.9	12.1	30.3	11.9	96	95	98
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	4.9	11.9	4.5	12.1	4.5	12.1	92	99	96
Sioux City, Iowa	3.4	11.5	3.2	11.4	3.1	11.5	91	98	94
Kansas City, Mo-Kans	16.5	11.9	17.8	11.8	18.6	11.9	112	104	112
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo	6.2	12.1	5.7	12.2	6.2	12.3	99	109	99
St. Louis, Mo	17.5	11.7	18.9	11.9	17.8	11.9	102	94	108
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	2.2	13.1	2.2	12.7	2.1	12.7	95	94	99
Omaha-Linc.-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	15.0	11.9	14.6	11.9	14.8	11.9	99	102	101
Southwest Kansas	2.6	11.9	2.7	11.7	2.6	11.7	101	99	103
Wichita, Kans	5.7	11.4	5.6	11.4	5.8	11.4	100	103	102
Wilmington, Del	.7	11.9	.8	11.3	.9	11.3	133	106	169
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va	.2	12.1	.2	12.0	.2	12.0	106	104	109
Clarksburg, W. Va	1.9	12.0	1.9	12.0	1.9	11.8	101	100	104
Wheeling, W. Va	2.2	11.1	2.5	11.8	2.4	11.7	112	98	112
South Carolina -S 6/	2.1	-	2.4	-	2.8	-	132	115	138
Southeastern Florida	17.3	9.5	23.3	9.5	20.3	9.3	117	87	115
Louisville, Ky	6.1	12.0	6.3	12.1	6.2	12.1	102	98	103
Paducah, Ky	.5	12.1	.5	12.6	.5	12.7	102	104	110
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	.4	13.1	.4	12.4	.4	12.8	103	97	104
Chattanooga, Tenn	.1	12.0	.4	12.0	.4	12.0	534	99	472
Knoxville, Tenn	1.2	12.4	1.3	12.0	1.2	12.0	100	97	102
Memphis, Tenn	2.4	11.9	2.7	12.0	2.7	12.0	113	99	113
Nashville, Tenn	3.3	12.2	3.3	12.1	3.2	12.1	97	98	98
Central Mississippi	3.6	12.8	4.3	13.0	3.9	13.0	109	92	111
Central Arkansas	3.9	11.7	4.2	11.9	4.1	12.0	106	98	108
New Orleans, La	4.5	12.4	5.2	12.0	5.0	12.3	110	95	110
Oklahoma Metropolitan	12.2	11.8	12.1	12.1	12.6	12.1	103	104	104
Austin-Waco, Texas	5.9	12.6	6.3	12.6	6.1	12.4	103	97	105
Corpus Christi, Texas	5.9	12.1	6.0	12.3	5.7	12.4	96	94	99
North Texas	20.3	12.1	20.8	11.9	21.2	11.9	105	102	104
San Antonio, Texas	7.7	12.4	8.0	12.1	7.4	12.2	96	92	99
Texas Panhandle	3.1	13.0	3.1	12.7	3.2	12.8	104	105	104
Central Arizona	13.2	11.4	14.9	11.7	13.6	11.7	104	91	105
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	5.4	12.1	5.5	12.3	5.4	12.3	101	99	101
Puget Sound, Wash	30.9	11.7	32.3	11.7	32.0	11.7	104	99	104
Total (52 markets) 4/	670.9	7/11.7	696.6	7/11.7	679.2	7/11.7	101.2	97.5	104.0

1/ Quantity includes products such as milk and cream mixtures with milkfat content higher than whole milk and lower than the minimum for fluid cream; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. 5/ Combined for Boston, Merrimack Valley and Springfield. S- Under State control. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all New England markets and South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 12.--FLUID CREAM PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for May 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ May 1958		3/ Apr. 1959		3/ May 1959		4/May 1959 as %		Jan.-May 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	May 1958	Apr. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Niagara Frontier -S.....	15.3	24.3	10.8	24.2	14.2	24.3	93	131	100
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	5.6	24.6	5.2	24.8	5.3	25.0	95	103	99
Philadelphia, Pa.....	44.3	22.5	39.1	22.5	39.2	22.7	88	100	90
Akron-Stark County, Ohio..	5.9	21.9	5.3	21.6	5.7	22.0	96	107	101
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	7.4	21.2	6.6	21.0	6.9	21.6	94	105	92
Cleveland, Ohio.....	33.4	19.7	29.5	19.6	30.9	19.8	93	105	95
Columbus, Ohio.....	6.6	20.6	6.6	20.1	6.5	20.4	98	99	103
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	5.0	22.6	4.4	21.7	4.9	22.9	99	111	101
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.9	26.5	3.2	25.2	3.5	26.0	88	108	88
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	1.0	24.2	.9	23.2	.9	25.5	87	92	94
Chicago, Ill.....	63.6	21.6	55.3	21.2	59.0	21.6	93	107	97
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	1.0	29.6	1.2	26.5	1.4	25.7	150	118	135
Detroit, Mich.....	51.3	19.8	44.2	19.7	45.6	19.9	89	103	91
Milwaukee, Wis.....	13.2	25.1	10.9	24.8	12.1	25.3	92	111	95
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	17.9	28.1	15.7	28.4	16.7	28.3	94	106	95
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	.5	30.1	.5	28.3	.5	28.2	103	101	107
Sioux City, Iowa.....	.4	29.4	.4	29.5	.4	29.9	100	108	101
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	9.8	24.2	8.2	23.0	9.2	24.6	95	112	99
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	.8	33.1	.7	31.1	.9	32.1	104	132	102
St. Louis, Mo.....	14.9	20.5	13.5	19.0	14.2	20.9	95	105	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	.6	35.3	.5	33.8	.5	34.0	96	99	100
Omaha-Linc.-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia.	3.6	25.1	3.1	25.2	3.2	26.0	90	104	97
Southwest Kansas.....	.3	33.9	.2	34.0	.3	34.2	90	114	96
Wichita, Kans.....	1.6	24.1	1.2	23.4	1.4	24.1	83	112	83
Wilmington, Del.....	3.8	23.4	3.4	23.2	3.5	23.8	91	102	94
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	.2	28.0	.2	26.0	.2	27.6	95	108	96
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	.5	26.0	.5	22.5	.4	23.9	87	95	99
Wheeling, W. Va.....	2.4	21.1	2.1	21.1	2.3	21.4	96	109	95
South Carolina -S 5/.....	5.3	-	4.2	-	4.0	-	76	96	90
Southeastern Florida.....	21.6	20.7	29.2	24.5	19.7	20.6	91	67	102
Louisville, Ky.....	3.8	24.1	3.7	23.3	3.7	23.7	98	101	104
Paducah, Ky.....	.4	37.4	.2	36.5	.4	37.7	102	191	97
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	.7	26.8	.6	24.9	.7	26.3	98	117	96
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	2.1	27.5	1.5	26.3	1.8	28.0	89	125	88
Knoxville, Tenn.....	1.3	30.8	1.0	29.5	1.2	31.0	96	122	96
Memphis, Tenn.....	5.3	24.1	4.7	23.0	5.0	24.1	94	107	99
Nashville, Tenn.	2.0	34.5	1.1	32.4	1.8	33.2	90	162	93
Central Mississippi.....	2.0	32.2	1.8	30.6	1.7	31.4	85	92	97
Central Arkansas.....	2.2	31.0	1.6	30.5	2.1	31.9	95	130	97
New Orleans, La.....	5.6	23.5	5.5	23.1	5.1	23.4	91	93	96
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	6.5	28.0	4.9	26.7	6.1	28.1	95	126	94
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	2.4	30.6	2.4	30.0	2.4	30.8	100	103	98
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	1.0	29.7	1.0	29.4	1.0	29.7	100	94	101
North Texas.....	11.4	29.2	10.6	28.7	11.3	29.6	100	107	100
San Antonio, Texas.....	1.8	27.9	1.7	28.0	1.8	26.2	102	109	101
Texas Panhandle.....	1.0	29.3	.8	27.3	1.0	29.5	104	125	106
Central Arizona.....	3.8	24.1	4.4	23.5	3.9	25.0	104	88	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	3.2	27.7	2.7	27.4	2.9	27.9	91	108	92
Puget Sound, Wash.....	16.2	27.5	16.9	26.6	17.4	27.1	108	103	106
Total (49 markets) 4/	413.9	6/23.1	373.9	6/23.0	385.1	6/23.2	93.0	103.0	96.3

1/ Quantity includes sweet and soured cream meeting minimum milkfat standards sold within the marketing area; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ South Carolina excluded for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 13.--TOTAL FLUID ITEMS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for May 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ May 1958		3/ Apr. 1959		3/ May 1959		4/ May 1959 as %		Jan.-May 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	May 1958	Apr. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.	2,260	3.70	2,212	-	2,201	-	97	100	99
Merrimack Valley, Mass.	312	3.75	310	-	314	-	101	101	100
Springfield, Mass.	469	3.54	468	-	460	-	98	98	100
Worchester, Mass.	310	3.64	304	-	302	-	97	99	100
Niagara Frontier -S.	1,076	3.88	1,091	3.78	1,083	3.86	101	99	100
Rochester, N. Y. -S.	507	3.63	512	3.64	493	3.62	97	96	100
Philadelphia, Pa.	2,333	3.98	2,377	3.91	2,308	3.91	99	97	101
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	861	3.58	872	3.55	845	3.55	98	97	100
Cincinnati, Ohio	690	3.59	722	3.60	725	3.60	105	101	103
Cleveland, Ohio	1,971	3.77	1,993	3.72	1,970	3.73	100	99	100
Columbus, Ohio	602	3.66	639	3.62	616	3.63	102	96	102
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	662	3.62	699	3.59	676	3.60	102	97	105
Toledo, Ohio	554	3.74	566	3.71	554	3.73	100	98	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.	139	3.64	147	3.62	141	3.60	101	96	103
Chicago, Ill.	5,901	3.87	5,907	3.82	5,775	3.84	98	98	100
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	264	3.45	281	3.46	266	3.50	101	95	101
Detroit, Mich.	3,614	3.76	3,584	3.74	3,493	3.75	97	98	99
Milwaukee, Wis.	1,023	3.75	1,022	3.68	997	3.71	97	98	100
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,325	3.85	1,413	3.72	1,316	3.75	99	93	103
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	146	3.32	152	3.35	145	3.35	99	95	102
Sioux City, Iowa	94	3.54	98	3.50	93	3.52	99	95	102
Kansas City-Mo.-Kans.	1,087	3.58	1,148	3.52	1,084	3.58	100	94	103
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	214	3.77	227	3.71	209	3.77	98	92	99
St. Louis, Mo.	1,277	3.52	1,299	3.49	1,227	3.53	96	94	98
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	80	3.64	88	3.52	81	3.52	102	92	104
Omaha-Linc.-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	474	3.72	502	3.64	480	3.67	101	96	105
Southwest Kansas	101	3.63	117	3.56	109	3.59	108	93	108
Wichita, Kans.	269	3.46	273	3.44	265	3.49	98	97	99
Wilmington, Del.	256	3.79	270	3.75	260	3.75	102	96	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.	83	3.47	88	3.44	82	3.47	99	94	100
Clarksburg, W. Va.	165	3.70	180	3.66	168	3.65	102	93	103
Wheeling, W. Va.	336	3.64	349	3.62	335	3.63	100	96	100
South Carolina -S. 5/	957	-	998	-	968	-	101	97	102
Southeastern Florida	1,089	3.95	1,165	4.12	1,070	3.91	98	92	106
Louisville, Ky.	641	3.68	685	3.64	659	3.63	103	96	103
Paducah, Ky.	87	3.44	95	3.40	88	3.49	101	92	104
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	190	3.36	210	3.32	196	3.31	103	93	105
Chattanooga, Tenn.	240	3.68	242	3.60	238	3.66	99	99	100
Knoxville, Tenn.	242	3.43	256	3.39	245	3.42	101	96	102
Memphis, Tenn.	405	3.73	423	3.65	410	3.67	101	97	104
Nashville, Tenn.	331	3.38	356	3.31	337	3.36	102	95	105
Central Mississippi	323	3.95	378	3.88	346	3.89	107	92	108
Central Arkansas	313	3.72	357	3.60	335	3.67	107	94	107
New Orleans, La.	632	3.99	646	3.97	631	3.96	100	98	101
Oklahoma Metropolitan	807	3.79	844	3.73	788	3.78	98	93	102
Austin-Waco, Texas	418	3.74	432	3.65	417	3.66	100	97	106
Corpus Christi, Texas	380	3.64	385	3.67	379	3.69	100	98	102
North Texas	1,337	3.72	1,362	3.65	1,338	3.68	100	98	101
San Antonio, Texas	445	3.68	473	3.57	454	3.57	102	96	106
Texas Panhandle	214	3.61	229	3.60	228	3.62	107	100	104
Central Arizona	796	3.54	875	3.56	833	3.55	105	95	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	266	3.79	276	3.72	269	3.77	101	98	101
Puget Sound, Wash.	1,358	3.75	1,417	3.76	1,366	3.78	101	96	104
Total (53 markets) 4/	40,926	6/3.75	42,008	6/3.71	40,669	6/3.72	99.4	96.8	101.5

1/ Quantity includes all items shown in prior tables except fluid cream for Massachusetts markets which has been excluded for purposes of comparison; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, June 1959

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	June 1958 2/	May 1959 3/	June 1959 3/	June 1959 as % of 1958	June 1958 2/	May 1959 3/	June 1959 3/	June 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	6,510	5,781	5,966	92	600	588	608	101
Merrimack Valley, Mass.....	508	415	411	81	506	491	496	98
Springfield, Mass.....	626	660	633	101	614	628	623	102
Worchester, Mass.....	450	478	477	106	642	670	668	104
Connecticut 4/.....	2,613	2,995	2,829	-	-	904	861	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Niagara Frontier -S.....	2,412	2,370	2,335	97	690	729	724	105
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	1,023	1,045	1,016	99	808	855	839	104
New York-New Jersey.....	34,180	34,055	33,654	98	656	676	669	102
Philadelphia, Pa.....	4,278	4,975	4,614	108	566	659	611	108
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Akron-Stark County, Ohio....	1,101	1,164	1,077	98	483	540	502	104
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	1,396	1,669	1,584	113	369	412	391	106
Cleveland, Ohio.....	3,493	3,617	3,417	98	458	502	476	104
Columbus, Ohio.....	930	1,054	993	107	512	596	564	110
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio....	1,086	1,159	1,121	103	502	575	557	111
North Central Ohio.....	573	678	639	112	486	551	518	107
Toledo, Ohio.....	841	905	865	103	558	671	650	116
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	368	359	355	97	393	420	417	106
South Bend-LaP.-Elkhart,Ind.	653	822	774	119	645	643	612	95
Chicago, Ill.....	15,941	16,590	15,677	98	796	844	806	101
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	664	643	624	94	683	737	727	106
Rockford-Freeport, Ill.....	163	175	168	103	875	951	912	104
Detroit, Mich.....	5,874	6,094	5,933	101	503	550	541	108
Muskegon, Mich.....	296	296	283	96	529	658	626	118
Upstate Michigan.....	290	405	391	135	583	624	661	113
Milwaukee, Wis.....	1,703	1,752	1,654	97	820	862	819	100
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis..	614	590	604	98	474	477	501	106
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn..	2,459	2,561	2,475	101	751	804	776	103
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia..	585	607	607	104	784	835	835	106
North Central Iowa.....	600	605	558	93	813	936	892	110
Dubuque, Ia.....	184	158	153	83	756	907	872	115
Sioux City, Ia.....	203	202	176	87	561	693	713	127
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	1,528	1,864	1,678	110	463	588	532	115
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.....	293	405	372	127	409	522	487	119
St. Louis, Mo.....	2,072	2,261	2,161	104	555	638	614	111
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.....	638	620	619	97	565	605	608	108
Black Hills, S. D.....	123	122	128	104	858	915	964	112
Eastern South Dakota.....	74	114	106	143	597	743	713	119
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D..	250	294	282	113	765	891	854	112
Omaha-Lincoln-Council Bluffs, Nebr.-Ia.....	764	882	811	106	561	637	588	105
Platte Valley, Nebr.....	225	258	246	109	616	749	704	114
Southwest Kansas.....	136	169	156	115	510	678	629	123
Wichita, Kans.....	455	678	625	137	580	692	641	110
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Wilmington, Del.....	348	284	257	74	568	866	786	138
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	147	159	148	101	554	550	529	96
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	210	220	202	96	400	434	401	100
Tri-State, Ky.-Ohio-W.Va....	686	750	719	105	345	411	397	115
Wheeling, W. Va.....	439	513	480	109	344	417	394	114
Southeastern Florida.....	989	1,224	1,137	115	10,305	11,880	11,039	107
South Carolina -S 5/.....	857	982	921	108	631	756	710	113

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, June 1959--Continued

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	June 1958 2/	May 1959 3/	June 1959 3/	June 1959 as % of 1958	June 1958 2/	May 1959 3/	June 1959 3/	June 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.	1,026	1,172	1,069	104	528	626	570	108
Paducah, Ky.	135	147	140	104	462	504	481	104
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	381	416	400	105	580	622	596	103
Chattanooga, Tenn.	383	434	404	106	486	584	547	113
Knoxville, Tenn.	467	513	477	102	467	544	508	109
Memphis, Tenn.	478	529	508	106	508	599	576	113
Nashville, Tenn.	665	756	715	108	448	545	519	116
Central Mississippi	499	546	519	104	487	554	531	109
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Fort Smith, Ark.	120	142	135	113	497	555	536	108
Central Arkansas	481	571	528	110	529	643	608	115
New Orleans, La.	976	1,042	1,008	103	414	477	450	109
Northern Louisiana	363	420	394	108	299	739	695	232
Oklahoma Metropolitan	1,208	1,490	1,388	115	470	601	566	120
Austin-Waco, Texas	337	362	339	100	898	1,068	1,015	113
Central West Texas	424	507	494	116	767	992	974	127
Corpus Christi, Texas	398	459	456	115	1,015	1,138	1,119	110
North Texas	2,301	2,676	2,547	111	759	916	873	115
San Antonio, Texas	568	660	647	114	1,148	1,285	1,317	115
Texas Panhandle	320	377	353	110	589	749	704	120
MOUNTAIN:								
Central Arizona	939	1,121	1,058	113	2,153	2,649	2,525	117
PACIFIC:								
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	470	481	486	103	554	610	618	112
Puget Sound, Wash.	2,934	3,169	3,006	102	810	908	860	106
Total (70 markets) 6/	116,107	121,670	117,352	101.1	620	677	656	105.8

1/ Excludes producer-handlers who purchase no milk from other producers in Federal markets.
2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary.
4/ Receipts through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; beginning with April 1959, all data from Federal sources. Receipts data prior to this change are not strictly comparable with later data. Federal data include total receipts from pooled plants; data from the State Milk Administrator exclude inter-plant shipments of out-of-State plants to other out-of-State plants.
5/ Includes plant receipts of Grade A milk from South Carolina producers.
6/ Excludes Connecticut receipts.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

(Continued from page 20)

decreases ranged from 5 percent in St. Louis to 12 percent in Philadelphia. Cumulative daily sales of fluid cream products in the 49 marketing areas for the first five months of 1959 were 4 percent less than for the same period of 1958. The average milkfat test of all fluid cream items in May was 23.2 percent, compared with 23.0 in April and 23.1 in May last year.

Total fluid product sales off 1 percent

Average daily sales of all fluid items in 53 markets during May were 1 percent less than in May last year and 4 percent less than in April this year. Of the entire 53 market group, 26 showed higher total sales than a year earlier. In 8 of the markets total sales were about the same but in 19 sales were lower. Compared with the previous month, sales were down in 49 of the 53 markets, about the same in 2 and up in 2. Cumulative daily sales of all fluid products for the first 5 months of the year were 2 percent above the same period a year ago. Thirty-six of the 53 markets reported higher sales. In 12 markets, sales were about the same, but in 5 sales were lower. The average milkfat test of total sales of all fluid products in May was 3.72 percent compared with 3.71 percent in April and 3.75 in May last year.

June receipts continue above 1958

Average daily receipts of milk in 67 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas during June were 117.4 million pounds. This was 4 percent lower than in May but 1 percent higher than in June last year. Of these 70 markets, receipts were higher in 50, about the same in 1, but lower in 19. Average daily receipts per producer in these 70 markets during June were 656 pounds. This was 36 pounds, or 6 percent more than in June 1958,

(Continued on page 28)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
AGRICULTURAL ESTIMATES DIVISION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

(Continued from page 15)

Table 5.--Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between July and August 1959
(Changes effective August 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	July	August	July	August	July	August	July	August	July	August
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Little Rock, Ark....	4.95	5.36	26	27	24	25	48	48	-	-
New Orleans, La.....	5.65	5.65	28	28	27-28	26-27	52-54	-	-	-
Austin, Texas.....	5.31	5.28	m27	bm26	27	b26	52	b49	87	87
Dallas, Texas.....	4.99	4.96	24	b27	23	b27	45	b51	-	-
PACIFIC:										
Medford, Ore.....	5.71	5.94	k25	k25	24	b25	47	b49	94	b98

a. Includes all markets in which changes were at least the equivalent of ½ cent per quart to consumers or were 11¢ or more per hundredweight to producers.
b. Effective date not reported.
d. Effective dates:
August 3 - Toledo
August 10 - Kansas City-Mo.-Kans. (Consumer only)
j. Glass 1¢ lower. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. t. Homogenized.

(Continued from page 27)

but 21 pounds per producer less than in May this year. Compared with June a year earlier, receipts per producer were larger in 65 of the 70 markets. In 2 markets receipts per producer were about the same as May, but in 3 markets they were lower. Cumulative receipts for the 70 markets for the first 6 months of the year were 3 percent higher than for the same period a year ago. The milkfat test of total receipts for the entire 70 market group averaged 3.60 percent in June, compared with 3.64 percent in May and 3.61 percent in June 1958.

July milk production 2 percent less than a year earlier

Milk production on farms in the United States during July, estimated at 11.2 billion pounds, was 2 percent below July last year and 1 percent under the 1948-57 average for the month. Production in July declined 8 percent from June, compared with seasonal decreases of 7 percent both for last year and the 10-year average. Per capita production during July was 2.04 pounds per day--4 percent less than for July a year earlier. For the first 7 months of the year, U.S. output totaled 76.9 billion pounds, about 2 percent less than for the similar period of 1958.

FLUID MILK AND CREAM REPORT
SEPTEMBER 1959

LIBRARY
CURRENT SERIAL RECORD
OCT 20 1959
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

September 17, 1959

SUMMARY

Early September fluid milk prices throughout the United States were up seasonally for the third consecutive month at both producer and consumer levels, the Crop Reporting Board reports. Prices paid by dealers for fluid use milk were higher than for August in 52 markets or 1 in about every 3. Decreases from early August were shown in only 1 market this month. Prices of single quarts delivered to homes advanced in 41 markets. Store quarts were higher in 37 markets, while increases for half-gallons were reported in 32 markets and gallon prices out-of-stores were up in 14. Only a few declines from the previous month occurred in home and store prices. Producer prices are not currently determined in a number of markets, but will be reported next month.

Prices paid by dealers for fluid use milk in about 160 markets in early September averaged $5.57 per hundredweight (3.5 percent fat basis)--up 15 cents from the preceding month and 6 cents from September 1958. Buying prices this month were 15 cents per hundredweight above the 1953-57 September average. Compared with the previous month, prices were higher in all regions except the West South Central. These increases ranged from 1 cent per hundredweight in the South Atlantic to 42 cents in New England. Other important advances from August were 24 cents in the Pacific, 18 cents in the Middle Atlantic, and 15 cents in both the East South Central and the West North Central. Compared with September last year, region averages were higher in 6 areas and lower in 3.

Prices per single quart of milk delivered to homes in 25 major cities throughout the United States averaged 25.9 cents in early September. This was an increase of 0.2 cent per quart from August and 0.5 cent from September a year earlier. The home delivery price this September was 2.2 cents above the 1953-57 average for the month. Milk delivered to homes advanced 1 cent per quart from the previous month in Hartford, Pittsburgh, and San Francisco. Prices were up as much as 1½ cents per quart in Boston. Increases of one-half cent per quart were reported for New York City and Los Angeles this month. Home delivery single quart prices were unchanged from early August in the other 19 cities.

Average daily sales of whole milk in 50 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas for June were 5 percent larger than a year earlier. Cumulative sales during the first half of 1959 were up 2 percent from the January-June total last year. Skim milk sales in June were 14 percent above the same month in 1958. Sales of milk and cream mixtures (half-and-half) during June were up 6 percent from the same month last year, but fluid cream sales were down 1 percent. Total fluid product sales in 50 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas for June showed a gain of 6 percent from the same month in 1958.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service — Crop Reporting Board
Da 1-3 (9-59) — Washington, D. C.

PRICES IN FLUID MILK MARKETS, SEPTEMBER 1959

Class I prices in the New England States averaged $6.66 per hundredweight (3.5 percent milkfat basis) in early September. This was an increase of 42 cents from the preceding month, and 39 cents from September 1958. Dealer buying prices advanced 44 cents per hundredweight from August in all New Hampshire markets reporting, and in all Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut Federal Order markets. These Class I price increases were reflected, generally, in gains of 1 cent per quart for both home and store sales. Single quarts delivered to homes in Boston were 1½¢ higher than in August, but half-gallons out-of-stores were up 7 cents per unit and gallons were from 8 to 10 cents higher. Store gallons were up as much as 6 cents in Worcester, Mass. Both home and store prices increased 1 cent per quart in Burlington and Bellows Falls, Vt., where dealer buying prices are not currently determined.

Dealers throughout the Middle Atlantic region paid an average price of $6.39 per hundredweight for Class I milk in early September--up 18 cents from August and 7 cents from September a year earlier. Class I prices in the New York-New Jersey marketing area advanced 21 cents per hundredweight from August, but consumer prices were relatively stable. Single quarts purchased in stores were up 1 cent in Binghamton, and milk delivered to homes was one-half cent per quart higher in New York City. Class I prices increased 40 cents per hundredweight in Erie, Harrisburg, Johnstown, Reading, and Scranton, Pa. An increase of 46 cents was reported for Pittsburgh this month. Both home and store prices in these Pennsylvania markets were 1 cent per quart higher than a month earlier.

In the East North Central area, prices of milk for fluid use in early September averaged $4.43 per hundredweight. This was an advance of 7 cents from the previous month and was 8 cents above the September price last year. The average Class I price in Cincinnati was 25 cents higher than for August, but no changes were reported in retail prices. In Cleveland, where producer prices are not currently determined, store prices were up 1 cent per quart and 2 cents per half-gallon. Resale prices were steady in Dayton, although Class I prices increased 19 cents per hundredweight. No significant changes in Class I prices occurred in the Indiana markets reporting, while changes in half-gallon and gallon prices out-of-stores fluctuated considerably. Buying prices were up 40 cents per hundredweight in Alton, with corresponding increases of 1 cent per quart in both home and store prices. Consumer prices were unsteady to up in other Illinois markets, but Class I prices were unchanged. Battle Creek, Michigan producer prices advanced 35 cents per hundredweight; home and store prices were 1 cent per quart higher. Home delivered milk was up 1 cent per quart in Lansing, where Class I prices advanced only slightly. Superior, Wisc. showed a 22 cent gain in producer fluid use prices with no change reported at the retail level.

Milk prices for fluid use in the West North Central States in early September averaged $4.39 per hundredweight--15 cents above the August price this year and 1 cent higher than for September 1958. Class I prices in Duluth, Minn. were 22 cents per hundredweight above August, but home and store prices were unchanged. In the Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn. market, Class I prices were 5 cents per hundredweight higher. Single quart prices in St. Paul were about 1 cent higher, while half-gallon prices in St. Paul and consumer prices in Minneapolis were unchanged to one-half cent per quart higher. Burlington, Iowa reported Class I and home delivery prices unchanged. Single quarts out-of-stores were 1 cent higher, half-gallons unchanged to a cent per unit lower. Des Moines, Iowa prices were higher by 17 cents per hundredweight for Class I milk and 1 cent per quart for both home and store sales. Sioux City, Iowa home and store prices were about 1 cent per quart higher. Producer prices in this market are not currently determined. Class I prices in

St. Louis and Springfield, Mo. were up 40 cents per hundredweight. Single quart home delivery prices in St. Louis were 1 cent per quart higher. Home delivery prices in Springfield were unchanged. Fargo-Moorhead, Minn.-N.D. home and store prices were 1 cent per quart higher. Producer prices in this market were not reported. In Aberdeen, S.D., prices were higher by 3 cents per hundredweight for Class I milk and 1 cent per quart to consumers. Topeka, Kansas Class I and single quart store prices were unchanged, but home delivery and larger container prices were 1 cent per quart higher. Sioux Fall, S.D. Class I prices were 12 cents per hundredweight higher, but home and store prices were virtually unchanged.

In the South Atlantic region, Class I prices averaged $6.09 per hundredweight in early September. This was an increase of 1 cent from the preceding month, but was a decrease of 18 cents from September last year. Store prices decreased in the Greater Washington, D. C. market following the discontinuance of price controls in Northern Virginia by the Virginia Milk Commission on August 27. Class I home delivery and single quart store prices were virtually unchanged. Half-gallon out-of-store prices in Washington and nearby Maryland were about the same as in August; gallons were unchanged to 18 cents per container lower. In the Alexandria-Arlington area, store prices dropped from 2 to 6 cents for half-gallons and 16 cents for gallons. Huntington, W. Va. reported a single quart home delivery price increase of 1 cent per quart. Producer prices in this market are not currently determined.

The early September average Class I price paid by dealers in the East South Central area, at $5.33 per hundredweight, was up 15 cents from both the previous month and September a year earlier. Louisville, Ky. reported a Class I price advance of 11 cents per hundredweight. Single quart prices at homes and stores were unchanged; half-gallons at stores were 4 cents lower. Class I prices in Chattanooga, Knoxville, and Memphis were from 31 to 33 cents per hundredweight above August with home and store prices reported virtually unchanged. In Nashville, Tenn., prices were higher by 39 cents per hundredweight for Class I milk and 1 cent per quart to consumers. The 4 Mississippi markets reporting showed an 11 cent per hundredweight advance in Class I prices. Consumer prices were unchanged in the Gulfport-Biloxi and Jackson markets and unreported in Columbus and Greenwood.

Dealers in the West South Central States paid an average price of $5.33 per hundredweight for fluid use milk in early September. This was 2 cents less than in August and was 30 cents under the September price a year ago. New Orleans Class I and home delivery prices were unchanged, but single quarts at stores were 1 cent higher. Tulsa, Okla. reported a decline of 13 cents per hundredweight in Class I prices, but no changes to homes and stores.

Fluid milk prices in the Mountain region averaged $5.51 per hundredweight in early September--3 cents higher than a month earlier, but 13 cents lower than for September 1958. Great Falls, Mont. prices were 17 cents per hundredweight higher for Class I milk and 2 cents per quart above August at homes and stores. Small Class I increases were also reported for the Colorado Springs-Pueblo and Central Arizona markets.

On the Pacific Coast, Class I prices averaged $5.43 per hundredweight in early September. This was up 24 cents from August and 18 cents from the September price the year before. Medford, Oregon prices were unchanged for Class I and store sales, but were 1 cent per quart higher for home delivery. Price increases in the California markets reported were 45 cents per hundredweight and 1 cent per quart in Fresno, Sacramento, and San Francisco. In Los Angeles, San Diego and Santa Barbara, the increases were 21 cents per hundredweight and one-half cent per quart.

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

September 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices b most common grade			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use a			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
NEW ENGLAND:	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
MAINE -S										
Augusta.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d 2.92	88	47	23-24	25½-26½	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d 2.92	90-92	47	23-24	25½	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	3.7	6.83	est. 7.9	6.67	d 3.12	92	49	23½	27	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth....	3.7	6.83	est. 7.9	6.67	d 3.14	-	49	23½-24½	27	3.7 -4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls..		Not determined				80	43	21	24	4.0
Burlington.....		Not determined				80	43	22	24-26	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	3.7	6.83	est. 7.9	6.67	d 3.14	94-96	44-46	23-23½	26½-27½	3.7
Fall River.....	3.7	6.90	est. 7.9	6.74	d 3.14	-	-	-	-	-
Lowell-Lawr....	3.7	6.83	est. 7.9	6.67	d 3.14	-	46	25-25½	26½-27	3.7-3.8
Springfield....	3.7	6.83	est. 7.9	6.67	d 3.14	96	41-42	24½-25½	28½-29½	-
Worcester.....	3.7	6.83	est. 7.9	6.67	d 3.14	87-94	45	24-25	28-28½	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport.....	3.7	6.90	est. 7.9	6.74	d 3.14	-	-	-	-	-
Providence....	3.7	6.90	est. 7.9	6.74	d 3.14	92	48	23½-24½	27½	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	3.7	6.83	est. 7.9	6.67	d 3.14	108	56	27-28½	30	3.8
New Haven.....	3.7	6.83	est. 7.9	6.67	d 3.14	108	56	28½	30½	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK-S**										
Albany-F.....	3.5	6.094	4.0	6.09	-	-	-	24½	29	3.8
Binghamton-F...	3.5	5.97	4.0	5.97	-	88-94	48	24½	28	3.6-3.8
Buffalo.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d 4.49	92	50	25½	h 31½	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	3.5	e 6.43-6.82	4.0	6.43-6.82	-	96-104	51	22-28	31-32	-
Rochester.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d 4.56	96	-	24	27	3.6
Schenectady-F..	3.5	6.082	-	6.08	-	-	51	26	28	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	3.5	5.924	-	5.92	-	-	-	-	27	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S.	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d 3.10	96-100	49	25-26	29	3.65
Camden-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d 3.10	92	-	24	27	3.8
N'eastern NJ.FS*	3.5	e 6.43-6.82	4.0	6.43-6.82	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trenton-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d 3.10	88	45	23	26½-27½	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	4	6.50	5.0	6.25	d 4.30	97	48½	24½	26	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	4	6.48	5.0	6.23	-	93-98	47-49	23½-24½	26	3.7
Johnstown.....	4	6.50	6.0	6.20	-	-	-	-	25½	-
Phila.-F.....	3.7	v 5.69	d 7.2	5.55	d 3.04	-	45½	23	27	-
Pittsburgh.....	4	6.74	7.0	6.39	d 4.64	94	48	24½	27	3.5
Reading.....	4	6.50	5.0	6.25	-	94	49	24½	26	3.6
Scranton.....	4	6.40	5.0	6.15	-	94	-	24½	27	3.7
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....		Not determined				-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton.....		Not determined				-	38	20	24	3.5
Cincinnati.....	3.5	4.858	8.3	4.86	4.86	-	42	21-21½	24	3.5
Cleveland.....		Not determined				-	39	20	24	3.5
Columbus.....	3.5	4.584	7.9	4.58	4.58	-	40	21½	23	3.5
Dayton.....	3.5	4.658	7.6	4.66	4.66	-	-	22	23	3.5
Toledo.....		Not determined				-	42	21	24-25	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

September 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
NEW ENGLAND:										
MAINE - S										
Augusta.....	HVD	25½-27½		52	-	HVD	25½-27	50-51	-	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	HVD	25½-27½		t 52	-	HVD	25½-27½	50-52	-	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	CL	27	(D)1¢-5+;2¢-9+;3¢-12+	-	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth.....	HVD	28	(D)1¢-5+;2¢-10+	55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls...	HVD	25	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24	47	-	4.0
Burlington.....	CL	24-26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24-25	47	-	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	HVD	27½-28½		52-58	102-112	HVD	25½-26½	47	85-87	3.7
Fall River.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7
Lowell-Lawr.....	HVD	27-28	(D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	n 55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
Springfield.....	HVD	30-31		n 55-59	-	HVD	27-31	43-55	78	3.7
Worcester.....	HVD	30	(W)½¢-12+;1¢-21+	n 55-59	112	HVD	27-28	48	90-93	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport	H	-		-	-	H	-	-	-	-
Providence....	H	28½-29½	(M)2¢-90+;3¢-120+	56	112	H	j 27½	52-54	104	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	HVD	31	(M)1¢-90+; (D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	59-61	-	HVD	29-31	55-61	-	3.7
New Haven.....	HVD	31½	(D)½ gal. 5¢-2+	62	-	HVD	31½	62	-	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK- S**										
Albany-F.....	HVD	29	(D)½ gal. 10¢-2+	57	-	HVD	26	49	-	3.8
Binghamton-F....	H	28	(D)1¢-2+;2¢-4+;3¢-6+;4¢-12+	-	-	H	26-29	49-55	-	3.6-3.8
Buffalo.....	HVD	h 32½		h 58	-	HVD	25-30	48-51	-	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	HVD	32		-	-	H	24-30	-	-	-
Rochester.....	HVD	28	(W)1¢-8+;1½¢-13+;2¢-19+;2½¢-25+	-	-	HVD	26-27	49-51	-	3.6
Schenectady-F...	HVD	29	(M)1¢-34+;2¢-54+;3¢-74+;4¢-94+	55	-	HVD	28-29	51-55	-	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	HVD	28	(½ gal. 10¢-2+)	k 55	-	HVD	25-28	47-55	-	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S	HVD	30		k 57	-	H	q 27-31	52-57	-	-
Camden-S.....	HVD	28		55	-	HVD	28	-	-	3.5-3.8
N'eastern N.J.FS*	r	-		-	-	r	-	-	-	-
Trenton-S.....	HVD	27½-28		54-55	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	HVD	27		53	106	HVD	26-27	51-53	-	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	H	26		52	104	H	25	50	100	3.7
Johnstown.....	HVD	26½		52	104	HVD	25½	50-51	102	-
Phila.-F.....	HVD	28		54	-	H	24½	48	-	-
Pittsburgh.....	H	27		53	-	H	26	51	-	3.5
Reading.....	HVD	27		54	-	HVD	26	52	-	3.6
Scranton.....	CL	27		-	-	H	26	-	-	3.6
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t 68	3.5
Canton.....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cincinnati.....	HVD	24	(M)1½¢-39+;2½¢-74+;3¢-108+	46	-	HVD	24	46	-	3.5
Cleveland.....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	43	-	HVD	20	39	t 68	3.5
Columbus.....	HVD	23½		44	-	HVD	23	39-40	-	3.5
Dayton.....	HVD	24		m 43	69-73	HVD	22-24	39-44	x 60-69	3.5
Toledo.....	HVD	24		43-45	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

September 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville....			Not determined			80-86	39-42	21-21½	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F..			Not determined			71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	3.5	g 4.00	d 7.2	4.00	-	87	44	22½	-	-
Indianapolis..			Not determined			74	37	21	23	3.5
South Bend-F..	3.5	4.34	-	4.34	4.34	-	41	22	24	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	3.5	4.43	-	4.43	-	-	38	21	-	3.5
Chicago-F.....	3.5	eg 4.00	d 7.2	4.00	3.44	85	44	22½	27-31	3.5
Peoria.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rock Island-F.	3.5	g 4.15	7.5	4.15	4.15	77	42	21½	24	3.5-3.6
Springfield...	3.5	f 3.70	7.0	3.70	3.70	80	40	22	24	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek..	3.5	4.70	6.5	4.70	-	-	34	19	22	3.8
Detroit-F.....		x	Not determined			84	43	21½	24½	3.5
Grand Rapids..	3.5	4.63	7.0	4.63	4.63	76	39	20	23	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	3.5	4.70	6.5	4.70	4.70	70	35	19	k 23	3.6
Lansing.....	3.5	est.4.83	6.0	4.83	-	-	-	-	24½	3.6
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	3.5	4.00	-	4.00	3.44	72	41	21	24	3.5
Eau Claire....			Not determined			-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Green Bay-F...	3.5	3.90	7.5	3.90	3.90	70	36.3-39	18.6-20	21-22	3.6
Madison.....			Not determined			77-79	41	21	23-24	3.5
Milwaukee-F...	3.5	g 3.96	d 7.2	3.96	3.44	68-73	33-36	20-21	24	3.65
Superior-F....	3.5	4.245	8.3	4.24	4.24	76-78	44	22	24	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Duluth-F.....	3.5	4.245	8.3	4.24	4.24	76-78	44	22	23	3.5
Minneapolis-F.	3.5	4.095	8.3	4.10	4.10	-	-	-	22	-
St. Paul-F....	3.5	4.095	8.3	4.10	4.10	-	-	-	m 21	-
Winona.....	3.5	3.55	7.0	3.55	3.55	-	-	i 18½	21	-
IOWA										
Burlington....	3.5	4.00	8.0	4.00	-	-	36	18	22	3.7-3.8
Des Moines-F..	3.5	4.31	7.2	4.31	4.31	76-78	39	20-20½	23	-
Ft. Dodge-F...	3.5	3.99	7.2	3.99	3.99	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux City-F..			Not determined			78	40	20	k 23	3.5-3.6
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	4.607	7.8	4.37	4.37	82	41	21	24	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	3.5	4.65	7.2	4.65	4.65	78	-	-	-	-
Springfield...	3.5	4.38	7.2	4.38	4.38	80	39	20	23	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor....	-	-	-	-	-	68	36	18	-	-
Grand Forks...	-	D.R.	10.7	3.74	-	70	36	18	k 20	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	3.5	4.36	7.2	4.36	4.36	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux Falls...	3.5	4.34	9.5	4.34	4.34	76	41	21	21	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....			Not determined			82	37	19.8	-	4.0
Omaha-F.....			Not determined			72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Scottsbluff...	3.5	4.95	7.0	4.95	-	78	41	21	24	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

September 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville.....	HVD	24		44-46	-	HVD	22-24	33-44	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F...	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21	36-38	69-71	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	HVD	29½		51	88	HVD	24	44-47	83-85	3.5
Indianapolis...	H	24		42	76	H	23	40-42	72	3.5
South Bend-F...	HVD	24		m 43	82	HVD	23-24	41-43	75	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	HVD	23		44	82	HVD	23	41-45	82	3.0
Chicago-F.....	HVD	27-31		48-58	86-99	HVD	22½-25	44-48	83-90	3.5
Peoria.....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rock Island-F..	H	24		47	87	H	23	43	81	3.5-3.6
Springfield....	HVD	24	(W)1¢-20+;2¢-30+	k 45	-	HVD	23-24	39-43	-	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek...	HVD	22		42	-	HVD	22	42	-	3.6
Detroit-F.....	HVD	24½	Varied discount plans	48	-	HVD	21-25	37-48	-	3.5
Grand Rapids...	H	23	(M)3¢-30+	45	-	H	20-23	39-45	-	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	H	k 23	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-120+	45	-	H	21	-	-	3.6
Lansing.....	HVD	24½		-	-	HVD	21½-24½	-	-	-
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	HVD	24		44	79	HVD	22-24	41-44	75-79	3.5
Eau Claire.....	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+ (½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Green Bay-F....	HVD	21-22	(D)1¢-3+ (½ gal. 2¢-2+)	42-43	-	HVD	20½-21	39½-42	-	3.6
Madison.....	HVD	23-24		45	84-87	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Milwaukee F....	HVD	24		44	78-80	HVD	22-24	36-38	70-73	3.5
Superior F.....	HVD	24	(D)3¢-2+	k 45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Duluth-F.....	HVD	23	(D)½¢-2-5+: all if 6+	k 45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
Minneapolis-F..	HVD	22		m 39	-	HVD	20	35	65	3.5
St. Paul-F.....	HVD	m 21		w 37	-	HVD	21	j 33-34	-	3.5
Winona.....	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	i 20-21	i 39-41	-	-
IOWA										
Burlington.....	HVD	22		-	-	HVD	20-22	39-40	-	3.3-3.5
Des Moines-F...	HVD	m 23		m 45	-	HVD	j 24	-	-	-
Ft. Dodge-F....	HVD	-		-	-	H	-	-	-	-
Sioux City-F...	HVD	23		k 46	84	HVD	23	44	-	3.4-3.5
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24		46	t 87	HVD	21	41	t 75	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	HVD	29		48	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Springfield....	HVD	23		46	-	HVD	22-23	41-43	-	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor.....	HVD	k 21		k 41	-	HVD	20-21	39-41	-	-
Grand Forks....	HVD	k 20		k 40	k 80	HVD	20	40	k 80	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	H	k 22		k 44	-	H	22	40-42	-	-
Sioux Falls....	HVD	m 22		w 43	-	HVD	22-23	43-45	-	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....	HVD	24		k 45	t 86	HVD	23	43	t 82	3.6
Omaha-F.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	75	3.4-4.0
Scottsbluff....	HVD	k 24	(D)1¢-3+ (2nd ½ gal. 45¢)	k 47	-	HVD	24	46-47	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

September 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city): Basic fat test	For fluid milk use [a]: At basic fat test per cwt.	For fluid milk use [a]: Fat diff. 1/10%	For fluid milk use [a]: Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.	For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Wholesale prices most common grade [b]: Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--: Half gallon	In paper, per--: Quart	Creamline or regular whole milk: Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	4.607	7.8	4.37	4.37	82	41	21	24	3.3-3.5
Topeka.....	3.8	4.607	7.8	4.37	4.37	74	39	20	-	-
Wichita.....	3.8	4.679	7.2	4.46	4.46	71	38	19½	25	3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington....	4	5.69	5.0	5.44	-	88	39	22	26	3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	3.5	ux est.5.56	7.0	5.56	d 2.99	90	45	25½	27	3.7
Cumberland....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington....	3.5	gy 5.96	d 7.7	5.96	5.96	95	41	24	26½-27	4.0
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-F...	3.5	gy 5.96	d 7.7	5.96	5.96	95	41	24	26½-27	4.0
N'flk.-Ports-S	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	-	49	24½	26	4.0
Richmond-S....	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	-	23½	26½	3.7
Roanoke-S.....	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	49	24-25	25	3.8
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston....	-	D.R.	16.44	5.75	3.94	-	52	26½	28½	3.5-3.8
Huntington-F..			Not determined			-	50	25½	27	3.5
Wheeling-F....	3.5	4.83	7.8	4.83	4.83	-	-	-	26	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	49	25	27	4.1-4.2
Charlotte.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	47	24	27	3.8-4.1
Durham.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	89	49	25	27	-
Wins.-Salem...	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston....	4	6.40	7.0	6.05	6.05	92	47	24	26	4.0
Columbia.....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	92	47	24	26	4.0
Greenville-S..	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	-	-	-	26	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	4	6.93	7.0	6.58	6.58	-	48	24½	m 26	4.0
Augusta.....	4	6.82	7.0	6.47	6.47	-	48	24½	m 26	4.0
Savannah.....	4	7.20	7.0	6.85	6.85	-	50	25½	m 27	4.0
FLORIDA....										
Jacksonville..	4	7.08	d 6.5	6.76	-	-	-	-	-	4.0
Miami-F.....	4	7.00	7.5	6.62	-	-	-	-	27	4.1
Tampa.....	4	7.09	7.6	6.71	-	-	-	-	27	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F..	3.8	4.595	7.5	4.37	4.37	78	36	21	24	3.7
Paducah-F.....	3.5	4.56	7.2	4.56	4.56	-	-	-	22	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga...	4	g 5.60	7.8	5.21	5.21	79	43	22	25	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	4	g 5.60	7.8	5.21	5.21	-	41	21	25	3.8
Memphis.....	4	5.76	7.2	5.40	5.40	-	35-36	20	24	4.0
Nashville.....	4	g 5.40	7.2	5.04	5.04	68-76	34	17½	21	3.6
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham....	4	6.43	5.0	6.18	6.18	-	48½	24¾	26	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	4	6.43	5.0	6.18	-	-	48½	24¾	26	3.5-4.0
Montgomery....	4	6.33	5.0	6.08	-	-	-	21¾	k 25	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

September 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD - homogenized vitamin D; H - homogenized; CL - creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-50+	46	-	HVD	21	41	t 71-73	3.2-3.5
Topeka.....	HVD	k 23		45	86	HVD	21	41	77	3.4-3.5
Wichita.....	HVD	25		46	85	HVD	23-24	43-45	79-82	3.3-3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington.....	HVD	27		47	-	HVD	27	47	77	3.5-3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	HVD	28		46	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.5-3.6
Cumberland.....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington.....	H	26½-27	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	48	-	H	23½-26½	41-53	A 82	3.5
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-F	H	26½-27	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+; 3¢-10+	48	-	H	23½-26½	41-53	A 82	3.9
N'flk-Ports-S..	HVD	27	(M)1¢-78+	k 54	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.0
Richmond-S.....	H	26½	(D)1¢-6+	53	-	H	24½	49	-	3.7
Roanoke-S.....	HVD	26		k 52	t 88	HVD	27-28	52-53	-	3.7
WEST VIRGINIA-S										
Charleston.....	r	28½		w 55	k 99	r	28½	57	89-99	3.5-3.6
Huntington-F...	HVD	28		55	100	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Wheeling-F.....	r	26		51	-	r	26	-	-	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	HVD	27		k 53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8-4.0
Charlotte.....	HVD	27		51	-	HVD	26-27	51-52	-	3.8-3.9
Durham.....	HVD	27		k 53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8
Wins.-Salem....	HVD	k 27		k 53	-	HVD	27	53	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston.....	HVD	26		51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
Columbia.....	HVD	26	(W)1¢-24+	51	-	HVD	26	49-51	-	4.0
Greenville-S...	HVD	26	(W)2¢-24+	51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	H	m 26		w 51	-	H	j 27	53	-	4.0
Augusta.....	H	m 26		w 51	-	H	j 27	53	-	4.0
Savannah.....	H	m 27		w 53	-	H	j 28	55	-	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville...	HVD	t 25-30		t 33-58	t 74-89	HVD	25-30	33-38	74-89	4.0
Miami-F.....	HVD	28		55	103	HVD	-	-	-	4.0
Tampa.....	HVD	28		w 53	95	HVD	28	49	89	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F...	HVD	24		41	-	HVD	23-25	37-39	-	3.7
Paducah-F.....	H	22		k 41	-	H	22	39-41	-	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga....	HVD	25		48	83-87	HVD	25	39-48	83-87	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.8
Memphis.....	HVD	24		41-42	-	HVD	22-24	38	-	3.5-4.0
Nashville.....	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	20-21	39-41	-	3.5
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.5-4.0
Montgomery.....	HVD	k 26		k 51	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

September 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI-F										
Columbus.....	4	5.62	7.2	5.26	5.26	-	-	-	-	-
Greenwood.....	4	5.62	7.2	5.62	5.62	-	-	-	-	4.0
Gulfport-Biloxi..	4	5.88	7.2	5.52	5.52	-	47	24	m 26	3.7-3.8
Jackson.....	4	5.78	7.2	5.42	5.42	84-88	45-47	23-24	26-27	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	4	5.239	7.5	4.86	4.86	78	37	20½	24	3.5
Little Rock....	4	v 5.76	7.2	5.40	5.40	86	45	23	27	3.53
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	4	6.05	6.0	5.75	5.75	88	46	23	26	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	4	eg 6.00	7.2	5.64	5.64	94	49	25	27	3.95
Shreveport-F...	4	5.789	7.2	5.43	5.43	-	47	25	28	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	4	g 5.55	7.5	5.18	5.18	94	48	25	27	3.6
Tulsa.....	4	4.989	7.5	4.61	4.61	96	37	23	-	-
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	4	5.604	7.2	5.24	5.24	88	45	23½	m 26	3.7
Dallas-F.....	4	5.299	7.5	4.92	4.92	85	45	22½	27	4.0
El Paso.....	4	f 6.00	7 up;9 dn.	5.55	5.55	90	44	23	26	3.5
Galveston.....	4	f 6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	83	47½	25	m 27	4.0
Houston.....	4	6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	90	47	24	27	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	3.7	5.83	7.0	5.69	4.02	92	46	23	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	4	5.10	8.5	4.68	4.68	75	39	19½	23	3.5
Idaho Falls....	3.5	4.75	10.0	4.75	-	75	40	20	23	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	-	D.R.	16.0	5.60	5.60	72	42	21	22	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo.Springs-F.	3.5	5.202	8.4	5.20	5.20	87	32	21½	25	3.5
Denver.....	-	D.R.	16.2	5.67	5.46	-	-	-	25	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	3.5	5.202	8.4	5.20	5.20	-	41-42	21½	25	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	3.5	5.75	7.0	5.75	5.75	91	46	25	27	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	3.8	5.55	8.1	5.31	5.31	81	-	-	25	-
Tucson.....	3.8	5.85	8.1	5.61	5.61	-	52	26	26	3.75
UTAH										
Salt Lake City.	3.6	5.724	7.7 up 15.9 dn.	5.56	5.56	86	42.8	21.4	25	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	3.5	5.35	-	5.35	-	80	44	22	26	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	4	5.53	7.0	5.18	5.18	85	43	22½	24½	3.6
Everett-F.....	4	gx 5.43	7.6	5.05	5.05	85	42½	21½	24	3.5
Seattle-F.....	4	gx 5.43	7.6	5.05	5.05	85	42½	21½	24	3.6
Spokane-F.....	4	5.29	7.8	4.90	4.90	82	42	21	24	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
September 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI-F										
Columbus.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Greenwood.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Gulfport-Biloxi.	HVD	m 26		w 51	-	HVD	j 26	51-52	-	3.5
Jackson.....	HVD	26-27		51-52	-	HVD	25-27	48-52	-	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	HVD	k 24		k 42	80	HVD	24	42	-	3.5
Little Rock....	H	27		k 52	-	H	25	48	-	3.44
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	HVD	26		51	88	HVD	25-26	50-51	85-88	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	HVD	28		54	-	HVD	27-28	52-54	-	4.0
Shreveport-F...	HVD	28		53	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	HVD	27-28		k 50	98	HVD	25	48	95	3.6
Tulsa.....	HVD	m 26		m 42	68	HVD	25	39	66	3.6
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	H	m 26		w 50	87	H	26	49	87	3.6-3.8
Dallas-F.....	HVD	x 24		48	-	HVD	x 25	x 48	-	4.0
El Paso.....	HVD	26	(W)1½¢-6+	w 49	-	HVD	25-26	45-48	-	3.5
Galveston.....	HVD	m 28		w 55	89	HVD	j 27-28	j 51½-53½	89	4.0
Houston.....	H	27		m 53	-	H	j 25	48	94	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	HVD	26		k 52	-	HVD	26	52	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	H	23		k 46	88	H	23	46	q 79-88	3.5
Idaho Falls....	HVD	23		46	79	HVD	23	46	79	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	H	m 22		k 46	-	H	22-23	45-46	-	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo.Springs-F.	HVD	25		k 36	73	HVD	24	34	69	3.5-3.6
Denver.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	H	27		53	z 106	H	27	53	-	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	-
Tucson.....	H	26		52	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
UTAH										
Salt Lake City.	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	H	26		k 51	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	H	24½		k 47	-	H	24	45	-	3.6
Everett-F.....	H	24	(M)1¢-52+;1½¢-78+;2¢-100+	48	-	H	24	45-46	-	3.5
Seattle-F.....	HVD	24	(D)1¢-4+;1½¢-6+	-	-	HVD	23-23½	44-46	-	3.6
Spokane-F.....	HVD	24		k 50	94	HVD	24	48	93	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

September 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
PACIFIC CONT.:										
OREGON										
Medford.....	4	x 6.09	7.6	5.71	-	-	47	23	k 26	3.8
Portland.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
Salem.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	21½	26	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	3.8	5.60	10.0	5.30	5.30	72-82	42	21½	h 27	3.55
Los Angeles....	3.8	5.71	10.2	5.40	5.40	71-80	40.7	20.6	25½	3.55
Sacramento.....	3.8	5.75	10.0	5.45	5.45	70½-80	41½	21	h 27	3.55
San Diego.....	3.8	5.81	10.2	5.50	5.50	72-82	42½	21½	24½	3.55
San Francisco..	3.8	5.92	10.1	5.62	5.62	79-81	43½	22	h 27½	3.55
Santa Barbara..	3.8	5.71	10.2	5.40	5.40	68-78	40½	20¼	24½	3.55

August 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)

Bellows Falls, Vt.	3.7	5.10	8.0	4.94	-	76	39-41	20	23	4.0
Burlington, Vt....	3.7	5.10	8.0	4.94	-	76	41	20-21	23-25	3.7-4.0
Akron, Ohio.....	3.5	4.732	7.8	4.73	4.73	-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	3.5	4.732	7.8	4.73	4.73	-	38	20	24	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio...	3.5	4.732	7.8	4.73	4.73	-	37	19	23-24	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.5	4.652	7.5	4.65	4.65	-	42	21	24-25	3.5
Evansville, Ind...	4	4.621	6.5	4.30	4.30	80	39	21	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind...	3.5	4.252	7.8	4.25	4.25	71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	3.5	4.28	6.8	4.28	-	74	35-37	20-21	23	3.5
Alton, Ill.....	3.5	4.03	-	4.03	-	-	-	-	21	3.5
Eau Claire,Wis.(July)	3.5	3.35	7.0	3.35	-	-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	3.5	4.09	8.0	4.09	3.48	77-79	41	20½-21	23-24	3.5
Fort Dodge, Iowa..	3.5	3.94	7.0	3.94	3.94	72	38	19½	23	-
Sioux City, Iowa..	3.5	4.44	9.18	4.44	4.44	78	38	19	22	3.5-3.6
Lincoln, Nebr.....	3.8	g 4.95	9.2	4.67	4.67	82	37	19.8	-	4.0
Omaha, Nebr.....	3.8	g 4.95	9.2	4.67	4.67	72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Huntington, W. Va.	3.5	4.822	7.8	4.82	4.82	-	48	24½	26	3.5
Butte, Mont.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20½	24	-
Colorado Spgs.,Colo	3.5	5.133	8.1	5.13	5.13	87	32	21½	25	3.45
Pueblo, Colo.....	3.5	5.133	8.1	5.13	5.13	-	41-42	21½	25	3.5
Wash.,D.C.(July-Aug)	3.5	gy 5.98	d 7.7	5.98	5.98	95	41	24	26½-27	4.0
Alex.-Arl.(July-Aug)	3.5	gy 5.98	d 7.7	5.98	5.98	95	46	24	26½-27	4.0

Table 1(a).--Minimum order Class I prices at Basic Test in Federal Order markets where higher than Federal Order prices prevail

Market	Month	Dol. per cwt	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt
Chicago-Gary.....	Sept.1959	3.84	Dist. of Col...	Sept.1959	5.55	Nashville.....	Sept.1959	4.98
Rock Island.....	Sept.1959	4.04	Alex.-Arl.....	Sept.1959	5.55	New Orleans.....	Sept.1959	5.85
			Chattanooga....	Sept.1959	5.04	Oklahoma City...	Sept.1959	5.089
Milwaukee.....	Sept.1959	3.80	Knoxville.....	Sept.1959	4.96	Everett.....	Sept.1959	5.038
Omaha-Lin.....	Aug. 1959	4.70				Seattle.....	Sept.1959	5.038

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
September 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
			(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)							
PACIFIC CONT.:		Cents		Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
OREGON										
Medford.....	H	k 26		k 51	100	H	25	49	98	3.8
Portland.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
Salem	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	HVD	h 27		h 50	h 97	HVD	23½	46	92	3.55
Los Angeles....	HVD	25½		50½	101	HVD	22½	44½	89	3.55
Sacramento.....	HVD	h 27		h 49½	h 95	HVD	23	45½	91	3.55
San Diego.....	HVD	24½		48½	97	HVD	23½	46½	93	3.55
San Francisco..	HVD	h 27½		h 51½	h 100	HVD	24	47½	95	3.55
Santa Barbara..	HVD	24½		49	98	HVD	23	46	92	3.55

August 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)

Bellows Falls, Vt.	HVD	24	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	23	45	-	4.0
Burlington,Vt....	CL	23-25	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	23-24	45	-	3.7-4.0
Akron, Ohio.....	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t 68	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio..	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	41-43	-	HVD	19	37	t 68	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5
Evansville, Ind..	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	22-24	39-43	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind..	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21	36-38	71	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	H	24		42	76	H	23	40-42	72	3.5
Alton, Ill.....	HVD	22		43	-	HVD	22	39-43	-	-
Eau Claire,Wis.(July)	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+(½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	HVD	23-24		45	87	HVD	20½-21	41	79	3.5
Fort Dodge, Iowa.	HVD	23		45	-	HVD	23	45	-	-
Sioux City, Iowa.	HVD	22		k44	80	HVD	22	42	-	3.4-3.5
Lincoln, Nebr....	HVD	24		k45	t86	HVD	23	43	t82	3.6
Omaha, Nebr.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	75	3.4-4.0
Huntington, W. Va	HVD	27		53	96	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Butte, Mont.....	HVD	25	(D)½¢-2+;1¢-4+	-	92	HVD	25	-	-	3.6
Colo. Springs, Colo	HVD	25		k36	73	HVD	24	34	69	3.45
Pueblo, Colo.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
Wash.,D.C.(July-Aug)	H	26½-27		48	100	H	23½-27½	40-53	A 82-100	3.5
Alex.,Arl.(July-Aug)	H	26½-27		48	-	H	23½-27	47-51	A 98	3.9

S. All prices shown under State Control. * Retail prices only under State Control. ** Producer prices only under State Control. F. Federal Order market. If State marked, applies all cities shown. D.R. payment in direct ratio to milkfat. a. Class or base price unless otherwise noted. Bulk tank premiums appear in table 4, page 14. b. List prices which may be subject to discounts. d. August price; cream price at Manchester $3.12. e. NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY: 200-mile zone price plus estimated freight (31½-41¢) and country station handling charges (20-50¢). CHICAGO: 55-70 mile zone; NEW ORLEANS: 61-70 mile zone. f. Flat price. g. Negotiated prices. The F.O.M. prices are shown in table 1(a). h. Includes following service charge for first unit each single delivery: BUFFALO, 5¢; FRESNO AND SAN FRANCISCO, 3¢; SACRAMENTO, 4¢. i. In glass. j. Glass 1¢ lower; ½ gal. 2¢ at Galveston and Houston. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. n. Second half-gallon: Lowell-Lawrence, Springfield and Worcester 51¢. q. Creamline or regular. r. The most common grade of milk not reported; prices shown are for Homogenized Vitamin D. t. Homogenized. u. Estimated average Class I price paid by handlers. v. F.O.M. price. w. Paper 2¢ higher. x. Basic test Detroit: Negotiated August Class I $4.832; F.O.M. $4.302; Baltimore: June Class I $5.55; July Class I $5.54; Medford: August Class I $6.09; Everett and Seattle Negotiated August Class I $5.43; Dallas: August 24¢; 48¢; 47¢. y. Estimated average negotiated Class I premium paid by handlers 41¢. z. In home dispensers. A. HVD.

Table 2 .--Dealers' average buying prices for milk for fluid milk use (f.o.b. city) United States, by regions, September 1959 1/
(Per hundredweight - 3.5% fat; weighted by population of individual markets)

Region	Markets	September 1958	August 1959	September 1959	
				Range	Average
	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England	17	6.27	6.24	5.20 - 6.74	6.66
Middle Atlantic	17	6.32	6.21	5.55 - 6.62	6.39
East North Central	27	4.35	* 4.36	3.60 - 4.86	4.43
West North Central	20	4.38	* 4.24	3.55 - 4.67	4.39
South Atlantic	26	6.27	6.08	4.83 - 6.85	6.09
East South Central	13	5.18	* 5.18	4.15 - 6.18	5.33
West South Central	15	5.63	5.35	4.61 - 5.81	5.33
Mountain	14	5.64	5.48	4.68 - 5.75	5.51
Pacific	13	5.25	5.19	4.90 - 5.71	5.43
United States	162	5.51	* 5.42	3.55 - 6.85	5.57

1/ In some markets the prices paid for fluid milk and fluid cream are the same. Includes Class I prices, base or basic price and flat prices where effective.
* Revised.

Table 3 .--Prevailing prices of standard grade milk per single quart delivered to homes in 25 cities, September 1959 1/

(Cents per single quart)

Markets	1953-57 Sept.av.	Sept. 1958	Aug. 1959	Sept. 1959	Markets	1953-57 Sept.av.	Sept. 1958	Aug. 1959	Sept. 1959
Boston, Mass.	25.1	27	25½-26	26½-27½	Baltimore, Md.	24.1	27	27	27
Hartford, Conn.	25.6	27½	29	30	Washington, D. C.	25.2	26½-27½	26½-27	26½-27
New York, N. Y.	26.5	30½-31	30½-31½	31-32	Jacksonville, Fla.	27.0	29	25-30	25-30
Philadelphia, Pa.	23.8	27	27	27	Louisville, Ky.	23.4	26	24	24
Pittsburgh, Pa.	25.3	27	26	27	New Orleans, La.	26.6	27	27	27
Cleveland, Ohio	21.4	24	24	24	Dallas, Texas	24.4	26	27	27
Indianapolis, Ind.	22.2	23	23	23	Denver, Colo.	24.4	25	25	25
Chicago, Ill.	26.3	26-29½	27-31	27-31	Salt Lake City	22.0	24	25	25
Detroit, Mich.	23.0	24½	24½	24½	Seattle, Wash.	22.0	23½	24	24
Milwaukee, Wis.	21.0	22	24	24	Portland, Ore.	22.8	25	26	26
Minneapolis, Minn.	20.6	22	22	22	San Francisco	22.8	25½	2/25	2/26
Kansas City, Mo.	22.4	21	24	24	Los Angeles	22.6	25	25	25½
Omaha, Nebr.	20.8	22	23	23	25 cities average	23.7	25.4	25.7	25.9

1/ "Standard grade" is grade commonly sold in each market. Grades vary between markets due to differences in determining factors. The prices shown are the latest reported up to and including the 10th of the month.
2/ In markets having a service charge on the first unit at each single delivery location, the charge has been divided between 2 quarts. Since the single quart concept used historically is based on every day delivery, it is assumed that under every other day delivery the charge represents a two quart purchase.

Table 4 .--Premiums for bulk tank delivered milk not included in dealers' buying prices in table 1

September 1959

Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.
Augusta, Me.	5	Albany, N.Y.	20	Battle Cr., Mich.	10	Duluth, Minn.	15-25	Louisville, Ky.	15
Manchester, N.H.	5	Binghamton, N.Y.	10-11	Detroit, Mich.	8	Burlington, Ia.	15	Memphis, Tenn.	5
Boston, Mass.	5-15	Buffalo, N.Y.	10	G.Rapids, Mich.	8-12	Kansas City, Mo.	10	Nashville, Tenn.	5
Fall River, Mass.	10	Rochester, N.Y.	10	Lansing, Mich.	10	St.Louis, Mo.	25	Okla.City, Okla.	10
Low.-Law., Mass.	5	Schenectady, N.Y.	10	Beloit, Wis.	20	Spgfld., Mo.	15-25	Colo.Spgs., Colo.	6
Spgfld., Mass.	5-15	Syracuse, N.Y.	20	EauClaire, Wis.	20	Sioux Falls, S.D.	10	Denver, Colo.	5-10
Worcester, Mass.	5-15	Gary, Ind.	25	Green Bay, Wis.	10	Topeka, Kans.	10-15	Albuq., N.M.	10
Providence, R.I.	5	So.Bend, Ind.	15	Madison, Wis.	16	Omaha, Nebr.	14	Everett, Wash.	10
Hartford, Conn.	5	Chicago, Ill.	15-25	Milwaukee, Wis.	5-15	Huntington, W.Va.	10	Seattle, Wash.	12
Hew Haven, Conn.	5	Rock Island, Ill.	15	Durham, N.C.	10	Lexington, Ky.	15	Spokane, Wash.	10-20
Burlington, Vt.	7	Spgfld., Ill.	15	Sioux City, Ia.	15				

Table 5.--Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between August and September 1959
(Changes effective September 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:										
Concord, N. H.....	6.23	6.67	26	b27	26	b27	-	54	-	-
Man.-Nashua-Ptsmth,N.H.	6.23	6.67	27	28	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bellows Falls, Vt....	4.94	Not det.	24	25	23	24	45	47	-	-
Burlington, Vt.....	4.94	Not det.	23-25	24-26	23-24	24-25	45	47	-	-
Boston, Mass.....	6.23	6.67	26-27	27½-28½	24½-25½	25½-26½	40	47	77	85-87
Fall River, Mass.....	6.30	6.74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lowell-Lawrence, Mass.	6.23	6.67	26-27	27-28	-	-	-	-	-	-
Springfield, Mass.d..	6.23	6.67	31	30-31	26-27	27-31	42-44	43-55	76-78	78
Worcester, Mass.....	6.23	6.67	29	30	26-29	27-28	46-47	48	84-89	90-93
Newport, R. I.....	6.30	6.74	27½	-	26½	-	52	-	-	-
Providence, R. I.....	6.30	6.74	27½-28½	28½-29½	j26½	j27½	51-52	52-54	100	104
Hartford, Conn.....	6.23	6.67	30	b31	30	b29-31	-	55-61	-	-
New Haven, Conn.....	6.23	6.67	30½	31½	30½	31½	60	62	-	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
Albany, N. Y.....	5.88	6.09	29	29	26	26	49	49	-	-
Binghamton, N. Y.....	5.76	5.97	28	28	25-28	26-29	47-54	49-55	-	-
New York, N. Y.....	6.22-6.61	6.43-6.82	31½	b32	23½-29	b24-30	-	-	-	-
Schenectady, N. Y....	5.87	6.08	29	29	29	b28-29	51-55	51-55	-	-
Syracuse, N. Y.	5.71	5.92	28	28	25-28	25-28	47-55	47-55	-	-
N'eastern New Jersey.	6.22-6.61	6.43-6.82	30½	-	29-29½	-	-	-	-	-
Erie, Pa.....	5.85	6.25	26	27	25-26	26-27	49-51	51-53	-	-
Harrisburg, Pa.....	5.83	6.23	25	26	24	25	48	50	96	100
Johnstown, Pa.....	5.80	6.20	25½	26½	24½	25½	48-49	50-51	98	102
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	5.93	6.39	26	27	25	26	49	51	-	-
Reading, Pa.....	5.85	6.25	26	27	25	26	50	52	-	-
Scranton, Pa.....	5.75	6.15	26	27	25	26	-	-	-	-
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	4.61	4.86	24	24	24	24	46	46	-	-
Cleveland, Ohio.....	4.73	Not det.	24	24	19	20	37	39	t68	t68
Dayton, Ohio.....	4.47	4.66	24	24	22-24	22-24	39-44	39-44	60-69	60-69
Evansville, Ind.....	4.30	Not det.	24	24	22-24	22-24	39-43	d33-44	-	-
South Bend, Ind.....	4.25	4.34	24	24	23-24	23-24	39-42	d41-43	69-76	d75
Alton, Ill.....	4.03	4.43	22	23	22	23	39-43	41-45	-	82
Springfield, Ill.....	3.70	3.70	23	b24	21-23	b23-24	37-40	b39-43	-	-
Battle Creek, Mich...	4.35	4.70	21	22	21	22	41	42	-	-
Lansing, Mich.....	4.76	4.83	23½	b24½	-	21½-24½	-	-	-	-
Superior, Wis.....	4.02	4.24	24	24	22-25	22-25	43-48	43-48	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Duluth, Minn.....	4.02	4.24	23	23	22-25	22-25	43-48	43-48	-	-
Minneapolis, Minn....	4.05	4.10	22	22	18-20	b20	34½	b35	63	b65
St. Paul, Minn. d....	4.05	4.10	20-21	m21	20	21	j32-33	j33-34	-	-
Burlington, Iowa.....	4.00	4.00	22	22	19-20	20-22	39-42	39-40	-	-
Des Moines, Iowa.....	4.14	4.31	m22	m23	j23	j24	-	-	-	-
Sioux City, Iowa.d...	4.44	Not det.	22	23	22	23	42	44	-	-
St. Louis, Mo.....	4.25	4.65	28	b29	-	-	-	-	-	-
Springfield, Mo.....	3.98	4.38	23	23	22-23	22-23	41-43	41-43	-	-
Fargo-Moorhead, N.D..	-	-	k20	bk21	19-20	b20-21	37-38	b39-41	-	-
Aberdeen, S. D.....	4.33	4.36	k21	bk22	21	b22	40-42	40-42	-	-
Sioux Falls, S.D.....	4.22	4.34	m22	m22	j23	22-23	43-45	43-45	-	-
Topeka, Kans. d.....	4.39	4.37	k22	k23	21	21	39	41	73	77
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
Washington, D.C.d....	5.98	5.96	26½-27	26½-27	23½-27½	23½-26½	40-53	41-53	82-100	82
Alexandria-Arl., Va..	5.98	5.96	26½-27	26½-27	23½-27	23½-26½	47-51	41-53	98	82
Huntington, W. Va....	4.82	Not det.	27	d28	-	-	-	-	-	-
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Louisville, Ky. d....	4.26	4.37	24	24	23-25	23-25	41-43	37-39	-	-
Columbus, Miss.....	5.15	5.26	k25	-	24-25	-	47-49	-	-	-
Greenwood, Miss.....	5.15	5.26	25	-	25	-	48	-	-	-

(Continued on page 28)

Table 6 .--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, September 1959

Market	Whole milk per quart					Cream and mixtures			
	Homo. vit. mineral		High fat milk		Certi-fied to homes	Half & half per pt.		Table cream (½ pt.)	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper		To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:									
Boston, Mass.....	-	-	a 31½-32½	-	33	-	-	24-25	23-27
Providence, R. I...	-	-	30½	-	36	-	-	24½	23½
Hartford, Conn.....	32	-	g 33	-	39	-	-	f 25	23
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:									
Buffalo, N. Y.....	-	-	ng 33½	-	-	42	39-41	30	28-30
New York City.....	-	-	-	-	41½	f 32	-	f 25½	d 19½-25½
Rochester, N. Y....	-	-	g 29	g 28-29	-	34	28	27	24-27
Philadelphia, Pa...	-	-	a 30	-	37-38	-	-	28	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Cincinnati, Ohio...	v 25	-	-	-	-	32	32	29	28
Cleveland, Ohio....	-	-	27	-	-	f 34	29-31	f 26	21-24
Indianapolis, Ind..	25	d 24	-	-	-	f 32	30	24	23
Chicago, Ill.....	31	22½-25	g 34	-	38	43-44½	35-39	36½-39	31-35
Detroit, Mich.....	25	22-23	-	-	-	29	29-30	29	29
Milwaukee, Wis.....	-	-	g 27	-	31	38	34-38	31-32	28-30
W. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Minneapolis, Minn..	-	-	f 25	21-22	-	32	27-28	24	24
Des Moines, Ia.....	h 23	e 24	g 24	-	-	f 31	-	-	-
Kans. C., Mo-Kans..	25	-	-	-	-	33	31	28	23
St. Louis, Mo.....	-	-	-	-	-	f 45	-	f 34½	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	24	23-24	g 24	qg 23	-	29-30	28-30	25-27	24-27
SOUTH ATLANTIC:									
Baltimore, Md.....	-	-	g 30	d 30	-	30½	d 30½	30	d 30
Washington, D. C...	-	-	g 28½	-	-	f 32-33	28-33	25-26	23-26
Richmond, Va.....	-	-	g 29½	g 28½	-	33	-	28	-
Charlotte, N. C....	-	-	j 28	-	-	f 27	27	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	-	-	-	-	h 28	h 29	e 30	24	24½
Miami, Fla.....	29	-	g 30	-	-	37	32-37	28	24-28
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
Louisville, Ky.....	-	-	34	-	-	f 33	30-31	f 26	25
Nashville, Tenn....	-	-	g 24	dg 23	-	f 29	28-29	-	-
Birmingham, Ala....	-	-	-	-	-	27	27	23	23
Jackson, Miss.....	-	-	g 27	-	-	35	-	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
New Orleans, La....	-	-	-	-	-	f 34	34-35	f 25	25
Oklahoma City.....	28	-	-	-	-	36	33	26	25
Dallas, Tex.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	23	-
El Paso, Tex.....	26	25-26	g 29	-	30	31	30-32	24	23-25
MOUNTAIN:									
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	-	-	g 24	-	-	f 29	29	22	22
Denver, Colo.....	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	f 28	25-26
Salt Lake City.....	-	-	gj 26	gj 25	-	37;qt.69	36;qt.59	-	r 54
PACIFIC:									
Seattle, Wash.....	-	-	g 26	-	-	29½	28½-29½	f 25½	25-25½
Portland, Ore.....	-	-	-	-	-	30	29	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif..	27	-	g 29	-	29½-30½	28½-29	-	27½-28	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	n 29½	26	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 6 .--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, September 1959--Continued

Market	Cream and mixtures		Creamed cottage cheese					
	Whip. cream (½ pt.)		Pound pkg.		12 Oz. pkg.		Other	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	37-40	35-37	42	27-31	-	-	b 22	b 18
Providence, R. I...	38	38	35	-	-	-	b 22	-
Hartford, Conn.....	h 39	36-39	33-35	32-33	25-29	24	k 115	k 100
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Buffalo, N. Y.....	38	37-38	31-32	28-29	t 34	t 31-32	-	-
New York City.....	f 37½	d 33½-39	35	-	31	-	b 20½	-
Rochester, N. Y....	43	40-42	29	24-31	-	-	-	-
Philadelphia, Pa...	49	-	35	-	-	-	b 20	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Cincinnati, Ohio...	39	38	30-35	35	26-28	28	-	-
Cleveland, Ohio....	f 52	44-50	32	26	-	-	st 32	s 30 st 26
Indianapolis, Ind..	43	d 41	-	-	25	24	i 53 k 125	i 51 k 125
Chicago, Ill.....	45½-48	36-39	38½	29-34	-	-	-	i 49-53
Detroit, Mich.....	f 55	54-55	26	28	-	-	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.....	36	34-35	29	29	24-25	24-25	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Minneapolis, Minn..	30	29	-	-	26	24	i 55 u 59	i 49-55 u 55
Des Moines, Ia.....	39	d 39	25	-	24	-	i 58	-
Kans. C., Mo.-Kans.	35	31	-	-	24	21	i 47	i 43
St. Louis, Mo.....	f 44½	-	34	-	-	-	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	31-35	30-35	30	29	25	24-25	i 57	i 55-57
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Baltimore, Md.....	47	d 47	-	-	29	29	i 63	i 63
Washington, D. C...	46-49	43-47	-	-	28	22-28	i 57	i 49-58
Richmond, Va.....	46	46	p 29	p 22	27	22	-	-
Charlotte, N. C....	f 40	40	-	-	26	26	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	34	34½	-	-	25	25	-	-
Miami, Fla.....	42	38-42	31	-	25	20	i 54	i 49
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	f 29	29	32	28	-	-	b 18	b 17
Nashville, Tenn.....	f 33	32-33	29	28-29	-	-	b 18	b 18
Birmingham, Ala....	31	31	-	-	26	23-26	k 100	k 100
Jackson, Miss.....	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
New Orleans, La....	f 32	32-33	-	-	26	24-25	-	-
Oklahoma City.....	34	32	25	-	25	23	i 51	i 49
Dallas, Tex.....	29	30	-	-	24	-	-	-
El Paso, Tex.....	44	42-44	33	33	26-27	26-28	-	i 55-57
MOUNTAIN:								
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	38	38	-	-	23	23	i 51	i 51
Denver, Colo.....	f 49	47	-	-	27	25	-	-
Salt Lake City.....	39	38	32	31	w 27	w 26	b 22 i 61	b 22 i 57
PACIFIC:								
Seattle, Wash.....	f 32½	30½-32½	-	30	32	32	i 61	i 61
Portland, Ore.....	35-38	34-36	35	32-35	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	40	-	32-33	-	-	-	b 20-21	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

a. Boston: g 33½¢; Philadelphia: Golden Guernsey and Vitamin D Grade A, 1¢ higher.
b. 8-ounce package. d. In glass. e. Glass 1¢ lower. f. In paper. g. Guernsey
h. Paper 1¢ higher. i. 2-pound package. j. Jersey.
k. 5-pound package
n. Includes following service charge for first unit only, each single delivery; Buffalo: 5¢; San Francisco; 3¢. p. 3 and 5-pound package. q. Glass 1¢ higher. r. Pint price.
s. 14½-ounce package. t. Whipped cream type.
u. 36-ounce package. v. Multi-vitamin. w. Low fat.

Table 7. --MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, JULY 1959

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England:							
Boston, 201-210 mile zone	3.7	4.56	7.4	4.41	---	---	5.48
Southeastern New England	3.7	5.97	7.4	5.82	---	---	6.09
Springfield, Mass.	3.7	5.59	7.4	5.44	---	---	6.02
Worcester, Mass.	3.7	5.61	7.4	5.46	---	---	6.02
Connecticut	3.7	5/6.06	7.0	5.92	---	---	6.03
Middle Atlantic:							
New York-N.J., 201-210 mile zone	3.5	4.31	5.8	4.31	---	---	5.43
Philadelphia, Pa.	3.7	*5.00	7.0	4.86	---	---	5.55
E. North Central:							
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	3.5	3.98	7.3	3.98	---	---	4.20
Cincinnati, Ohio, Grade A	3.5	6/3.80	7.6	3.80	---	---	4.55
Cleveland, Ohio	3.5	3.92	7.3	3.92	---	---	4.20
Columbus, Ohio	3.5	6/3.76	7.3	3.76	---	---	4.29
Dayton-Spfld., Ohio, Grade A	3.5	6/3.83	7.0	3.83	---	---	4.44
North Central Ohio	3.5	*3.80	7.4	3.80	---	---	3.86
Toledo, Ohio	3.5	*4.04	7.0	4.04	---	---	4.18
Fort Wayne, Ind.	3.5	3.56	6.7	3.56	---	---	4.12
South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart, Ind.	3.5	3.86	7.0	3.86	4.01	2.93	4.05
Chicago, Grade A 55-70 mile zone	3.5	3.29	7.0	3.29	---	---	4/3.57
Quad Cities, Ill.-Iowa	3.5	3.48	7.0	3.48	---	---	4/3.77
Rockford-Freeport, Ill., Grade A	3.5	3.51	7.0	3.51	---	---	1/3.59
Detroit, Mich.	3.5	3.47	6.5	3.47	3.52	3.01	4/3.71
Michigan Upper Peninsula	3.5	*3.84	7.0	3.84	---	---	4.26
Muskegon, Mich.	3.5	4.24	6.5	4.24	4.33	2.86	4.33
Upstate Michigan	3.5	4.32	6.5	4.32	---	---	4.38
Milwaukee, Wis.	3.5	*3.45	7.0	3.45	---	---	4/3.53
Northeastern Wisconsin	3.5	3.51	7.1	3.51	---	---	3.65
W. North Central:							
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis.	3.5	6/3.25	7.2	3.25	---	---	4.01
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	3.5	3.70	7.2	3.70	---	---	4.02
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	3.5	3.59	6.9	3.59	---	---	3.72
Des Moines, Iowa	3.5	3.65	6.9	3.65	---	---	3.92
Dubuque, Iowa	3.5	3.41	6.7	3.41	---	---	3.67
Sioux City, Iowa	3.5	4.21	7.0	4.21	---	---	4.37
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	3.8	3.95	6.2	3.76	4.10	3.08	4/4.08
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	4.0	4.19	7.0	3.84	4.50	3.05	4.41
St. Louis, Mo.	3.5	3.84	6.7	3.84	---	---	4.24
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.	3.5	3.45	6.7	3.45	---	---	3.97
Black Hills, S.D.	3.5	4.54	7.0	4.54	---	---	5.06
Eastern South Dakota	3.5	4.06	6.8	4.06	---	---	4.31
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	3.5	3.77	7.0	3.77	---	---	4.22
Omaha-Lin.-Coun. Bluffs, Nebr.-Ia.	3.8	4.45	7.0	4.24	---	---	4.35
Southwest Kansas	3.8	4.35	7.0	4.14	---	---	4.59
Wichita, Kansas	3.8	4.26	6.9	4.05	---	---	4.50
Platte Valley, Nebr.	3.5	3.80	8.2	3.80	---	---	4.35
North Central Iowa	3.5	*3.68	7.0	3.68	---	---	3.72
South Atlantic:							
Wilmington, Del.	4.0	*5.49	5.5	5.22	---	---	5.44
Washington, D.C.	3.5	4.73	8.0	4.73	---	---	4/5.55
Clarksburg, W. Va.	3.5	4.35	7.4	4.35	4.48	2.89	4.55
Tri-State area, Ky.-Ohio-W. Va.	3.5	*3.93	7.0	3.93	---	---	2/4.21
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.	4.0	*4.95	6.9	4.61	5.04	4.22	4.70
Wheeling, W. Va.	3.5	4.02	7.3	4.02	4.17	2.89	4.25
Southeastern Florida	4.0	6.72	7.5	6.35	6.86	4.41	6.63
E. South Central:							
Louisville, Ky.	3.8	6/3.73	6.5	3.54	---	---	4.26
Paducah, Ky.	3.5	3.57	6.5	3.57	---	---	3.61
Appalachian, Tenn., Va. & Ky.	4.0	*4.92	6.9	4.58	5.03	3.97	4.70
Chattanooga, Tenn.	4.0	4.61	7.2	4.25	4.83	2.99	4/4.73
Knoxville, Tenn.	4.0	4.57	7.0	4.22	4.77	3.15	4/4.52
Memphis, Tenn.	4.0	*4.92	6.5	4.60	5.07	3.94	4.76
Nashville, Tenn.	4.0	*4.26	7.0	3.91	4.43	3.45	4.20
Central Mississippi	4.0	4.58	7.0	4.23	4.83	3.16	4.85
Mississippi Delta	4.0	4.48	6.5	4.14	5.08	3.18	4.69
Mississippi Gulf Coast	4.0	5.08	6.9	4.74	5.30	3.73	4.95

Table 7. --MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, JULY 1959--CONTINUED

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
W. South Central:							
Fort Smith, Ark.	4.0	*4.58	7.0	4.23	4.81	3.02	4.81
Central Arkansas	4.0	4.76	6.9	4.42	5.10	3.06	4/4.76
New Orleans, La., 61-70 mile zone	4.0	4.89	6.8	4.55	5.10	3.15	4/5.37
Northern Louisiana	4.0	*5.51	6.9	5.17	5.68	4.46	5.37
Oklahoma Metropolitan Area	4.0	4.64	7.0	4.29	4.95	3.16	4/4.91
Red River Valley, Okla.-Texas	4.0	4.98	7.1	4.63	---	---	5.06
Austin-Waco, Texas, Zone 1	4.0	*5.61	6.4	5.29	---	---	3/5.38
Central West Texas, Abilene zone	4.0	5.01	7.0	4.66	---	---	5.24
Corpus Christi, Texas	4.0	*5.72	6.9	5.38	---	---	5.63
North Texas	4.0	4.61	6.0	4.31	---	---	4.99
San Antonio, Texas	4.0	5.57	6.4	5.25	---	---	5.41
Texas Panhandle	4.0	5.29	6.9	4.95	---	---	5.12
Mountain:							
Colorado Springs-Pueblo, Colo.	3.5	4.71	7.9	4.71	---	---	5.11
Western Colorado	3.5	*4.77	7.7	4.77	---	---	4.96
Central Arizona	3.8	5.38	7.6	5.15	---	---	5.52
Pacific:							
Puget Sound, Wash.	4.0	4.19	7.2	3.83	4.33	3.42	4/4.61
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	4.0	4.65	7.4	4.28	4.85	3.17	4.85

* Individual-handler pool. Weighted average of handlers' prices.
1/ Freeport plants: Class I $3.55.
2/ Huntington plants.
3/ Austin 7.5¢ and Waco 22.5¢ less, respectively.
4/ Negotiated price (does not include bulk-tank pickup premiums):

Chicago	$3.82	Milwaukee	$3.78	Chattanooga	$4.90	New Orleans	$5.65
Rock Island	3.92	Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	4.18	Knoxville	4.88	Oklahoma City	5.19
Detroit	4.34	Washington, D.C.	5.94	Little Rock	5.36	Puget Sound	5.06

5/ Includes fall incentive payment of 15¢.
6/ The following deductions have been made for fall production incentive payments:

Cincinnati	20¢	Dayton-Springfield	30¢	Louisville 40¢
Columbus	35¢	Duluth-Superior	29¢	

Table 8. --NET PRICES PAID MEMBERS OF PRODUCERS' ASSOCIATIONS, JULY-AUGUST 1959 1/

City	Net blended price paid for 3.5% milk per cwt. 2/	Month	Dealers' buying price for Class I milk per cwt.
	Dollars		Dollars
Pittsburgh, Pa.	4.065	July	5.93
Evansville, Ind.	3.86	July	---
Baltimore, Md.	4.44	August	5.56
Richmond, Va.	---	August	6.10
Charleston, W.Va.	5.069	July	5.75
Charleston, W.Va.	4.965	August	5.75
South Carolina	3/ 5.70	July	6.15
Denver, Colo.	4/ 4.62	August	5.67
Salt Lake City, Utah	4.25	July	5.56

1/ Prices usually specified as follows:
Class I - Milk for fluid consumption.
Class II - Milk from which cream is obtained for fluid consumption.
Class III and IV - Milk used in manufactured dairy products.

2/ F.O.B. CITY unless indicated otherwise.
3/ Average blend price paid by all plants in the State.
4/ Base price; surplus price $2.59 at Denver.

SALES OF MILK AND CREAM AND RECEIPTS FROM PRODUCERS IN CERTAIN FEDERAL ORDER AND STATE MARKETING AREAS, JUNE 1959

Whole milk sales up 5 percent from June last year

Average daily sales of whole milk in 50 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas during June were 3 percent smaller than in May, but were 5 percent larger than in June last year. Of the 53 markets, decreases from June 1958 were reported in only 2 markets. Compared with the preceding month, June sales were down in all markets except two. Cumulative daily sales of whole milk in the 53-market area during the first half of 1959 were 2 percent above the total for the same period a year earlier. Cumulative sales were up in 40 markets, at about the same level in 10, and down in the remaining 3. Milkfat tests of whole milk sales averaged 3.55 percent this June, compared with 3.56 percent during May and 3.57 percent during June 1958.

Skim milk sales continue at higher level

June sales of skim milk products, on an average daily basis, in 53 marketing areas were up 2 percent from the preceding month and 14 percent from June a year ago. Gains from June last year were shown for all of the 10 main skim milk markets in the United States. The increases ranged from 6 percent in Southeastern Florida to 35 percent in Minneapolis-St. Paul. Decreases from June 1958 were reported in only 2 of the total 53 markets. Cumulative daily skim milk sales for the 53 markets during the first 6 months of 1959 were up 8 percent from the January-June total last year. The average milkfat test of all skim milk products for June was 1.09 percent. This was slightly higher than for both the preceding month and June 1958.

Half-and-half sales gain 6 percent

Daily sales of milk and cream mixtures (half-and-half in most markets) in 52 of the 53 marketing areas (Worcester, Mass. excluded) during June declined 1 percent from May, but were 6 percent above the June sales in 1958. Sales in the Chicago market, which account for about a third of all half-and-half sales in the 52 markets, were down 1 percent from both the preceding month and June last year. Of the 52 markets, sales gained from June 1958 in 42 markets, were unchanged in 2, and were off in the other 8. Cumulative daily sales from January through June were 4 percent above the total for the same period last year. The average fat test of milk and cream mixtures sold during June was 11.7 percent--the same as for both a month earlier and June last year.

Fluid cream sales off 1 percent

Sales of all fluid cream items in 46 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas (4 Massachusetts markets excluded) were down 3 percent from May and were 1 percent under the June sales a year earlier. Sales were off in 26 of the 49 markets, about the same in 2, and up from June a year ago in the remaining 21. Fluid cream sales during the first half of 1959 were 3 percent below the January-June total in 1958. June milkfat tests of fluid cream sales in 49 markets averaged 22.8 percent this year, compared with 23.2 percent in May and 22.9 percent in June last year.

Increase of 6 percent shown in total product sales

Average daily sales of all fluid items in 53 marketing areas, on a product pound basis, declined 3 percent from May, but were 6 percent above the June total last year. Increases from June 1958 occurred in all markets

(Continued on page 27)

Table 9.-- WHOLE MILK: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for June 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ June 1958		3/ May 1959		3/ June 1959		4/ June 1959 as %		Jan.-June 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	June 1958	May 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	2,040	3.79	2,118	-	2,031	-	100	96	99
Merrimack Valley, Mass.....	283	3.79	303	-	294	-	104	97	101
Springfield, Mass.....	414	3.67	437	-	429	-	104	98	100
Worcester, Mass.....	275	3.71	290	-	281	-	102	97	100
Connecticut 5/.....	2,034	-	-	-	2,100	3.68	103	-	-
Niagara Frontier -S.....	967	3.57	1,032	3.61	982	3.57	102	95	100
Rochester, N. Y -S.	419	3.56	441	3.61	426	3.54	102	97	100
Philadelphia, Pa.....	1,991	3.73	2,130	3.71	2,087	3.70	105	98	101
Akron-Stark County, Ohio...	699	3.50	735	3.51	704	3.51	101	96	98
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	561	3.52	641	3.52	616	3.52	110	96	104
Cleveland, Ohio.....	1,714	3.55	1,792	3.55	1,782	3.54	104	99	100
Columbus, Ohio.....	481	3.52	553	3.51	528	3.54	110	96	103
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio...	521	3.55	598	3.53	577	3.52	111	97	106
Toledo, Ohio.....	485	3.51	510	3.53	498	3.52	103	98	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	118	3.52	124	3.50	120	3.53	102	97	102
Chicago, Ill.....	4,751	3.49	5,080	3.49	5,041	3.48	106	99	101
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	184	3.40	213	3.45	202	3.44	110	95	102
Detroit, Mich.....	3,050	3.52	3,216	3.53	3,150	3.52	103	98	100
Milwaukee, Wis.....	827	3.51	882	3.51	863	3.48	104	98	100
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn..	1,051	3.50	1,139	3.51	1,091	3.51	104	96	102
Cedar Rapids - Iowa City,Ia.	104	3.29	118	3.33	111	3.33	107	94	101
Sioux City, Iowa.....	71	3.43	79	3.43	75	3.43	105	96	104
Kansas City,Mo.-Kans.....	914	3.46	962	3.44	908	3.44	99	94	100
Neosho Valley,Kans.-Mo.....	178	3.53	190	3.52	184	3.50	103	96	100
St. Louis, Mo.....	1,022	3.38	1,087	3.36	1,060	3.37	104	98	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D..	58	3.39	67	3.35	62	3.34	108	93	104
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	369	3.58	416	3.54	397	3.53	108	95	106
Southwest Kansas.....	92	3.39	102	3.40	103	3.39	113	102	109
Wichita, Kansas.....	232	3.29	245	3.35	236	3.30	102	96	100
Wilmington, Del.....	220	3.60	244	3.58	234	3.58	106	96	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	64	3.71	74	3.71	69	3.73	106	93	102
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	143	3.68	156	3.65	151	3.65	106	97	104
Wheeling, W. Va.....	293	3.58	316	3.56	311	3.56	106	99	101
South Carolina -S 6/.....	684	-	810	-	740	-	108	91	103
Southeastern Florida.....	838	3.80	935	3.76	878	3.75	105	94	106
Louisville, Ky.....	513	3.68	590	3.65	553	3.66	108	94	103
Paducah, Ky.....	66	3.62	75	3.62	71	3.63	107	94	101
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	142	3.66	167	3.63	157	3.64	110	94	105
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	180	3.98	201	3.94	189	3.95	105	94	101
Knoxville, Tenn.....	176	3.66	206	3.66	190	3.67	108	92	103
Memphis, Tenn.....	310	3.75	357	3.73	338	3.71	109	95	105
Nashville, Tenn.....	241	3.59	280	3.57	266	3.58	110	95	107
Central Mississippi.....	254	3.94	305	3.96	284	3.94	112	93	108
Central Arkansas.....	245	3.72	287	3.70	271	3.71	111	94	108
New Orleans, La.....	534	3.91	586	3.89	559	3.89	105	96	101
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	661	3.66	715	3.63	676	3.64	102	95	102
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	355	3.61	381	3.54	374	3.51	105	98	106
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	322	3.55	352	3.62	343	3.62	106	97	103
North Texas.....	1,122	3.62	1,205	3.52	1,175	3.52	105	98	102
San Antonio, Texas.....	391	3.53	421	3.45	428	3.46	109	102	107
Texas Panhandle.....	181	3.51	209	3.53	202	3.53	112	97	106
Central Arizona.....	638	3.54	744	3.53	713	3.52	112	96	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	217	3.63	236	3.61	224	3.62	104	95	101
Puget Sound, Wash.....	1,095	3.54	1,191	3.53	1,153	3.54	105	97	103
Total (53 markets) 4/	33,754	7/3.57	36,540	7/3.56	35,389	7/3.55	104.8	96.8	101.6

1/ Quantity includes plain and flavored whole milk with milkfat content meeting minimum standards sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales include creamed buttermilk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data; excludes Connecticut. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959, all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, and South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 10.--SKIM MILK PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for June 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ June 1958		3/ May 1959		3/ June 1959		4/June 1959 as %		Jan.-June 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	June 1958	May 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	74.4	.86	82.5	-	81.1	-	109	98	103
Merrimack Valley, Mass.....	8.2	.83	9.8	-	9.8	-	120	101	114
Springfield, Mass.....	19.2	.55	21.6	-	20.7	-	108	96	108
Worcester, Mass.....	10.1	1.04	11.5	-	11.4	-	112	100	104
Connecticut 5/.....	103.1	-	-	-	102.0	.57	99	-	-
Niagara Frontier -S.....	27.7	.76	28.4	.78	31.5	.76	114	111	106
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	41.4	.53	43.1	.51	45.4	.51	110	105	101
Philadelphia, Pa.....	117.3	.96	128.9	.81	133.6	.87	114	104	107
Akron-Stark County, Ohio...	75.5	1.61	91.1	1.63	94.6	1.66	125	104	120
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	65.1	1.45	69.6	1.55	70.8	1.60	109	102	103
Cleveland, Ohio.....	108.4	.98	123.9	1.00	123.4	1.00	114	100	109
Columbus, Ohio.....	41.4	1.00	46.8	.94	49.3	.96	119	105	106
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio...	61.5	1.53	63.0	1.48	62.8	1.52	102	100	105
Toledo, Ohio.....	21.0	.51	25.8	.74	26.4	.76	126	102	115
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	9.9	1.40	13.1	1.35	12.7	1.40	129	97	106
Chicago, Ill.....	364.0	1.35	424.8	1.41	448.2	1.40	123	106	111
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	40.5	1.49	44.0	1.46	42.4	1.45	105	96	104
Detroit, Mich.....	147.7	.80	162.8	.77	174.3	.82	118	107	106
Milwaukee, Wis.....	69.8	1.04	86.2	1.10	90.2	1.07	129	104	122
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	100.6	.86	129.4	.81	135.8	.82	135	105	127
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	19.4	1.03	21.9	1.10	20.6	1.09	106	94	114
Sioux City, Ia.....	10.2	1.03	10.6	.98	10.9	.97	106	102	96
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	63.7	.97	93.7	1.20	92.9	1.21	146	99	151
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo....	9.3	.92	11.3	1.07	12.0	1.02	128	106	115
St. Louis, Mo.....	104.2	1.57	107.6	1.58	112.5	1.59	108	105	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D..	10.2	1.70	11.9	1.51	11.5	1.52	114	97	108
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	42.0	.63	45.4	.57	44.7	.59	106	98	102
Southwest Kansas.....	3.3	1.19	3.9	.92	4.1	1.00	123	106	118
Wichita, Kans.....	11.5	.54	12.9	.44	13.0	.57	113	100	91
Wilmington, Del.....	11.4	.90	11.9	.83	12.3	.93	108	103	102
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	7.7	.39	8.2	.42	7.9	.71	103	97	94
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	8.2	1.02	9.7	1.22	10.0	1.22	122	103	101
Wheeling, W. Va.....	13.1	1.04	14.5	.97	14.7	.96	112	102	101
South Carolina -S 6/.....	137.4	-	151.5	-	156.7	-	114	103	102
Southeastern Florida.....	92.4	.78	95.4	.77	97.6	.75	106	102	104
Louisville, Ky.....	69.3	1.15	58.8	1.25	59.8	1.29	86	102	107
Paducah, Ky.....	10.8	.93	12.2	1.09	12.6	1.14	116	104	132
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	25.1	.66	28.4	.72	29.7	.77	118	105	111
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	36.2	.65	35.4	.68	36.8	.71	102	104	99
Knoxville, Tenn.....	36.2	.76	36.8	.87	40.7	.88	112	111	105
Memphis, Tenn.....	41.0	.56	45.7	.52	45.0	.55	110	98	101
Nashville, Tenn.....	51.2	.69	52.1	.66	52.4	.67	102	101	100
Central Mississippi.....	31.6	1.08	35.1	1.03	36.6	1.07	116	104	109
Central Arkansas.....	37.6	1.28	41.9	1.23	40.3	1.24	107	96	104
New Orleans, La.....	31.7	1.01	35.4	1.13	35.3	1.22	112	100	108
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	51.1	.98	54.1	1.07	54.3	1.08	106	100	104
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	36.3	.88	28.3	.96	26.7	1.01	74	94	96
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	19.2	1.28	20.0	1.24	19.8	1.24	103	99	102
North Texas.....	86.6	1.11	100.3	.99	95.1	1.01	110	95	95
San Antonio, Texas.....	23.4	1.41	24.6	1.39	26.0	1.51	111	106	103
Texas Panhandle.....	13.9	1.29	15.3	1.22	15.3	1.36	110	100	103
Central Arizona.....	66.1	.93	71.3	1.05	73.2	1.00	111	103	109
Inland Empire,Wash,-Idaho..	20.3	.40	24.1	.49	23.5	.50	116	97	109
Puget Sound, Wash.....	113.1	.81	125.8	.86	126.3	.89	112	100	115
Total (53 markets) 4/	2,748.3	7/1.06	3,062.3	7/1.07	3,135.1	7/1.09	114.1	102.4	108.2

1/ Quantity includes plain and fortified skim and part-skim milk, buttermilk and cultured specialties, and flavored milk drinks sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales exclude creamed buttermilk but include some flavored whole milk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data; Connecticut excluded. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959 all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 11.--MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for June 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ June 1958		3/ May 1959		3/ June 1959		4/June 1959 as %		Jan.-June 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	June 1958	May 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England 5/.....	2.8	11.6	2.2	-	2.2	-	79	103	89
Niagara Frontier -S.....	7.6	11.0	8.7	10.8	8.4	10.8	111	97	100
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	2.9	10.8	3.6	10.6	3.4	10.6	117	96	112
Philadelphia, Pa.....	7.4	11.1	10.7	11.7	10.5	11.6	142	98	152
Akron-Stark County, Ohio..	12.6	11.2	13.3	11.3	13.3	11.3	106	99	105
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	6.9	11.3	8.2	11.3	8.3	11.4	121	102	115
Cleveland, Ohio.....	20.6	11.3	23.6	11.3	24.1	11.4	117	102	113
Columbus, Ohio.....	9.0	11.4	9.9	11.4	9.9	11.4	110	100	108
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	9.5	11.7	10.1	11.8	10.1	11.7	106	100	107
Toledo, Ohio.....	14.4	10.5	14.5	10.9	14.4	10.9	100	99	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	2.3	12.2	2.7	12.2	2.5	12.1	107	92	109
Chicago, Ill.....	211.2	12.1	211.0	12.0	209.5	12.0	99	99	99
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	8.6	12.1	8.2	11.7	8.4	12.2	98	102	95
Detroit, Mich.....	63.7	10.6	69.2	10.5	68.6	10.6	108	99	107
Milwaukee, Wis.....	15.5	12.5	16.5	12.6	17.1	12.5	110	96	107
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn.	30.8	12.3	30.3	11.9	30.4	12.0	99	100	101
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City,Ia.	4.8	11.9	4.5	12.1	4.6	12.2	97	103	96
Sioux City, Ia.....	3.3	11.5	3.1	11.5	3.0	11.5	93	98	94
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	15.8	12.1	18.6	11.9	17.4	11.8	110	94	112
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	5.5	12.1	6.2	12.3	5.7	12.2	104	93	100
St. Louis, Mo.....	16.1	11.8	17.8	11.9	17.3	12.0	107	97	108
Sioux Falls-Mitchell,S.D..	2.1	13.0	2.1	12.7	2.0	12.7	100	99	99
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	14.3	11.8	14.8	11.9	14.6	11.9	102	99	101
Southwest Kansas.....	2.5	12.2	2.6	11.7	2.7	11.7	106	101	104
Wichita, Kansas.....	5.1	11.4	5.8	11.4	5.5	11.5	108	96	103
Wilmington, Del.....	.7	11.4	.9	11.3	1.0	11.0	137	114	162
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	.1	12.1	.2	12.0	.2	12.0	109	93	109
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	1.9	12.0	1.9	11.8	2.0	11.8	104	105	104
Wheeling, W. Va.....	2.2	11.3	2.4	11.7	2.5	11.1	113	103	112
South Carolina -S 6/.....	2.5	-	2.8	-	3.5	-	139	126	138
Southeastern Florida.....	14.8	9.5	20.3	9.3	19.1	9.4	129	94	117
Louisville, Ky.....	5.6	12.0	6.2	12.1	6.0	12.1	107	97	104
Paducah, Ky.....	.4	12.4	.5	12.7	.4	13.1	110	92	110
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	.4	13.4	.4	12.8	.4	13.2	122	109	107
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	.1	12.0	.4	12.0	.4	12.0	499	95	476
Knoxville, Tenn.....	1.2	12.0	1.2	12.0	1.4	12.7	110	112	104
Memphis, Tenn.....	2.1	11.9	2.7	12.0	2.7	12.0	128	98	115
Nashville, Tenn.....	3.1	12.2	3.2	12.1	3.2	12.2	104	99	99
Central Mississippi.....	3.3	12.4	3.9	13.0	4.0	13.0	122	102	113
Central Arkansas.....	3.4	11.7	4.1	12.0	4.0	12.0	116	96	109
New Orleans, La.....	4.0	12.3	5.0	12.3	4.8	12.2	122	97	112
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	11.2	11.8	12.6	12.1	12.1	11.9	108	96	105
Austin-Waco,Texas.....	5.2	12.5	6.1	12.4	5.9	12.4	112	96	106
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	5.4	12.0	5.7	12.4	5.5	12.4	103	98	100
North Texas.....	18.7	11.9	21.2	11.9	20.6	12.1	110	97	105
San Antonio, Texas.....	6.9	12.3	7.4	12.2	7.2	12.1	105	98	100
Texas Panhandle.....	3.0	13.1	3.2	12.8	3.3	12.5	110	104	105
Central Arizona.....	11.4	11.6	13.6	11.7	12.9	11.6	113	95	106
Inland Empire,Wash.-Idaho.	5.5	12.3	5.4	12.3	5.6	12.3	102	104	101
Puget Sound, Wash.....	32.1	11.7	32.0	11.7	32.9	11.6	102	103	104
Total (52 markets) 4/	637.7	7/11.7	679.2	7/11.7	673.3	7/11.7	105.6	99.1	104.3

1/ Quantity includes products such as milk and cream mixtures with milkfat content higher than whole milk and lower than the minimum for fluid cream; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. 5/ Combined for Boston, Merrimack Valley and Springfield. S- Under State control. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all New England markets and South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 12.--FLUID CREAM PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for June 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ June 1958		3/ May 1959		3/ June 1959		4/ June 1959 as %		Jan.-June 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	June 1958	May 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Niagara Frontier -S.....	12.3	24.3	14.2	24.3	13.4	24.3	109	94	101
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	5.6	25.1	5.3	25.0	5.6	25.2	100	104	99
Philadelphia, Pa.....	40.4	22.3	39.2	22.7	38.2	22.4	94	97	90
Akron-Stark County, Ohio.	5.9	22.6	5.7	22.0	6.1	22.2	102	107	101
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	6.9	21.1	6.9	21.6	6.4	21.2	94	93	92
Cleveland, Ohio.....	32.6	20.0	30.9	19.8	30.9	19.8	95	100	95
Columbus, Ohio.....	6.1	20.4	6.5	20.4	6.2	20.4	101	95	103
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio.	4.6	22.6	4.9	22.9	4.6	22.3	100	93	101
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.7	26.0	3.5	26.0	3.6	26.0	98	103	90
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	.9	23.9	.9	25.5	.9	23.7	97	104	94
Chicago, Ill.....	59.0	21.6	59.0	21.6	59.4	21.2	101	101	98
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	.9	28.7	1.4	25.7	1.5	24.4	166	107	141
Detroit, Mich.....	49.6	19.9	45.6	19.9	46.1	19.9	93	101	91
Milwaukee, Wis.....	12.9	25.2	12.1	25.3	12.1	25.0	94	100	95
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn	17.1	28.1	16.7	28.3	16.0	28.0	94	96	95
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City,Ia	.5	30.0	.5	28.2	.5	27.2	105	96	106
Sioux City, Ia.....	.3	28.9	.4	29.9	.4	28.4	108	96	102
Kansas City,Mo.-Kans.....	8.4	23.4	9.2	24.6	8.2	23.3	97	88	98
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo..	.6	31.8	.9	32.1	.6	31.0	101	65	102
St. Louis, Mo.....	13.1	20.0	14.2	20.9	12.6	19.6	96	89	98
Sioux Falls-Mitchell,S.D.	.5	35.0	.5	34.0	.5	32.9	94	88	99
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr-Ia	3.3	24.6	3.2	26.0	3.0	24.7	92	94	96
Southwest Kansas.....	.2	33.7	.3	34.2	.3	33.1	113	95	99
Wichita, Kans.....	1.4	22.9	1.4	24.1	1.2	23.5	85	85	83
Wilmington,Del.....	3.7	23.4	3.5	23.8	3.5	23.4	95	102	94
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	.2	28.8	.2	27.6	.2	26.9	92	98	95
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	.5	25.1	.4	23.9	.5	22.7	101	108	99
Wheeling, W. Va.....	2.3	21.3	2.3	21.4	2.3	21.3	103	103	96
South Carolina -S 5/.....	3.3	-	4.0	-	3.7	-	112	93	93
Southeastern Florida.....	17.1	20.2	19.7	20.6	20.8	22.9	122	106	104
Louisville, Ky.....	3.4	23.9	3.7	23.7	3.4	23.2	99	91	104
Paducah, Ky.....	.2	37.9	.4	37.7	.2	36.8	89	48	96
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky	.6	26.1	.7	26.3	.6	25.0	98	86	96
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	1.6	25.7	1.8	28.0	1.5	25.8	93	79	89
Knoxville, Tenn.....	.9	29.3	1.2	31.0	.9	30.0	99	77	97
Memphis, Tenn.....	4.0	22.3	5.0	24.1	4.3	22.2	107	87	100
Nashville Tenn.....	1.1	31.9	1.8	33.2	1.0	31.2	93	55	93
Central Mississippi.....	1.4	29.3	1.7	31.4	1.4	29.4	94	80	96
Central Arkansas.....	1.3	28.8	2.1	31.9	1.2	29.6	95	58	97
New Orleans, La.....	4.3	22.4	5.1	23.4	4.5	22.2	105	88	97
Oklahoma Metropolitan....	4.6	26.0	6.1	28.1	4.5	25.8	99	74	94
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	2.0	29.4	2.4	30.8	2.1	31.2	103	87	99
Corpus Christi, Texas....	.8	28.2	1.0	29.7	.8	28.6	104	87	102
North Texas.....	9.6	28.5	11.3	29.6	9.8	29.1	102	86	100
San Antonio, Texas.....	1.6	28.2	1.8	26.2	1.5	25.9	95	84	100
Texas Panhandle.....	.8	29.3	1.0	29.5	.9	29.6	111	92	107
Central Arizona.....	3.1	22.6	3.9	25.0	3.7	21.5	117	94	110
Inland Empire,Wash.-Idaho	3.2	28.0	2.9	27.9	2.8	27.7	86	97	91
Puget Sound, Wash.....	17.7	28.3	17.4	27.1	18.2	27.1	103	104	106
Total (49 markets) 4/	376.4	6/22.9	385.1	6/23.2	372.4	6/22.8	99.0	96.7	96.7

1/ Quantity includes sweet and soured cream meeting minimum milkfat standards sold within the marketing area; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ Excludes South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 13.--TOTAL FLUID ITEMS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for June 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ June 1958		3/ May 1959		3/ June 1959		4/June 1959 as %		Jan.-June 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	June 1958	May 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	2,116	3.69	2,201	-	2,112	-	100	96	99
Merrimack Valley, Mass.....	293	3.73	314	-	305	-	104	97	101
Springfield, Mass.....	434	3.55	460	-	450	-	104	98	100
Worcester, Mass.....	286	3.63	302	-	293	-	102	97	100
Niagara Frontier -S.....	1,014	3.80	1,083	3.86	1,035	3.81	102	96	100
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	468	3.59	493	3.62	480	3.55	102	97	100
Philadelphia, Pa.....	2,156	3.95	2,308	3.91	2,269	3,89	105	98	102
Akron-Stark County, Ohio...	793	3.59	845	3.55	818	3.56	103	97	100
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	640	3.58	725	3.60	702	3.58	110	97	104
Cleveland, Ohio.....	1,875	3.77	1,970	3.73	1,960	3.74	104	100	101
Columbus, Ohio.....	537	3.65	616	3.63	594	3.63	110	96	103
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio...	597	3.62	676	3.60	655	3.59	110	97	106
Toledo, Ohio.....	524	3.74	554	3.73	542	3.73	103	98	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	131	3.66	141	3.60	136	3.62	104	97	103
Chicago, Ill.....	5,385	3.88	5,775	3.84	5,759	3.81	107	100	101
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	234	3.49	266	3.50	254	3.53	109	95	102
Detroit, Mich.....	3,311	3.78	3,493	3,75	3,439	3.75	104	98	100
Milwaukee, Wis.....	925	3.78	997	3.71	983	3.68	106	98	101
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn..	1,199	3.86	1,316	3.75	1,273	3.73	106	97	104
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	128	3.37	145	3.35	137	3.38	107	95	102
Sioux City, Iowa.....	85	3.55	93	3.52	90	3.51	105	96	103
Kansas City,Mo.-Kans.....	1,002	3.61	1,084	3.58	1,026	3.54	102	95	103
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo....	194	3.73	209	3.77	202	3.68	104	97	100
St. Louis, Mo.....	1,155	3.53	1,227	3.53	1,202	3.50	104	98	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell,S. D..	70	3.65	81	3.52	76	3.50	108	94	104
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	428	3.73	480	3.67	460	3.65	107	96	105
Southwest Kansas.....	98	3.61	109	3.59	110	3.57	113	102	109
Wichita, Kansas.....	250	3.43	265	3.49	256	3.43	102	97	99
Wilmington, Del.....	236	3.81	260	3.75	250	3.76	106	96	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	73	3.46	82	3.47	77	3.50	106	94	101
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	153	3.70	168	3.65	164	3.65	107	98	104
Wheeling, W. Va.....	311	3.66	335	3.63	331	3.63	106	99	101
South Carolina -S 5/.....	827	-	968	-	904	-	109	93	103
Southeastern Florida.....	962	3.88	1,070	3.91	1,015	3.97	106	95	106
Louisville, Ky.....	592	3.58	659	3.63	622	3.62	105	94	104
Paducah, Ky.....	78	3.39	88	3.49	84	3.39	108	95	104
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	168	3.32	196	3.31	188	3.28	112	96	106
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	218	3.58	238	3.66	228	3.58	104	96	101
Knoxville, Tenn.....	214	3.34	245	3.42	233	3.34	109	95	103
Memphis, Tenn.....	357	3.64	410	3.67	390	3.61	109	95	104
Nashville, Tenn.....	296	3.28	337	3.36	323	3.28	109	96	106
Central Mississippi.....	290	3.85	346	3.89	326	3.83	112	94	108
Central Arkansas.....	287	3.61	335	3.67	316	3.60	110	94	107
New Orleans, La.....	574	3.94	631	3.96	604	3.93	105	96	101
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	728	3.73	788	3.78	747	3.73	103	95	102
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	399	3.61	417	3.66	409	3.62	103	98	105
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	347	3.61	379	3.69	369	3.68	106	97	103
North Texas.....	1,237	3.77	1,338	3.68	1,300	3.67	105	97	102
San Antonio, Texas.....	423	3.65	454	3.57	462	3.56	109	102	107
Texas Panhandle.....	199	3.61	228	3.62	222	3.62	112	97	106
Central Arizona.....	719	3.51	833	3.55	803	3.51	112	96	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	246	3.88	269	3.77	256	3.79	104	95	102
Puget Sound, Wash.....	1,258	3.85	1,366	3.78	1,330	3.81	106	97	104
Total (53 markets) 4/	37,521	6/3.75	40,669	6/3.72	39,572	6/3.71	105.5	97.3	102.1

1/ Quantity includes all items shown in prior tables except fluid cream for Massachusetts markets which has been excluded for purposes of comparison; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, July 1959

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	July 1958 2/	June 1959 3/	July 1959 3/	July 1959 as % of 1958	July 1958 2/	June 1959 3/	July 1959 3/	July 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	5,758	5,966	5,448	95	490	608	501	102
Merrimack Valley, Mass. 7/..	-	411	-	-	-	496	-	-
Springfield, Mass.....	522	633	487	93	521	623	525	101
Worcester, Mass.....	381	477	408	107	556	668	572	103
Connecticut 4/.....	-	2,829	2,393	-	-	861	789	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Niagara Frontier -S.....	2,008	2,335	1,893	94	577	724	592	103
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	874	1,016	855	98	690	839	709	103
New York-New Jersey.....	27,680	33,654	27,027	98	533	669	540	101
Philadelphia, Pa.....	3,934	4,614	4,333	110	515	611	567	110
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Akron-Stark County, Ohio....	964	1,077	956	99	418	502	445	106
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	1,255	1,584	1,406	112	335	391	348	104
Cleveland, Ohio.....	3,072	3,417	3,079	100	390	476	418	107
Columbus, Ohio.....	810	993	858	106	448	564	491	110
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio....	978	1,121	998	102	455	557	498	110
North Central Ohio.....	528	639	583	110	435	518	468	108
Toledo, Ohio.....	748	865	765	102	505	650	584	116
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	325	355	306	94	370	417	370	100
South Bend-LaP.-Elkhart,Ind.	543	774	671	124	547	612	555	102
Chicago, Ill.....	13,587	15,677	13,438	99	670	806	693	103
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	602	624	559	93	622	727	656	106
Rockford-Freeport, Ill.....	143	168	145	102	769	912	782	102
Detroit, Mich.....	5,242	5,933	5,187	99	452	541	474	105
Muskegon, Mich.....	270	283	244	90	497	626	543	109
Upstate Michigan.....	300	391	351	117	535	661	578	108
Milwaukee, Wis.....	1,521	1,654	1,505	99	727	819	739	102
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis..	505	604	470	93	393	501	396	101
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn..	2,116	2,475	2,178	103	638	776	678	106
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia..	528	607	539	102	711	835	745	105
North Central Iowa.....	543	558	614	113	711	892	784	110
Dubuque, Ia.....	162	153	137	85	678	872	766	113
Sioux City, Ia.....	179	176	158	88	503	713	699	139
Kansas City, Mo-Kans.....	1,400	1,678	1,557	111	425	532	497	117
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.....	276	372	368	133	388	487	485	125
St. Louis, Mo.....	1,991	2,161	2,027	102	538	614	585	109
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.....	646	619	592	92	549	608	581	106
Black Hills, S. D.....	126	128	128	101	888	964	989	111
Eastern South Dakota.....	71	106	94	133	573	713	683	119
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D..	225	282	255	113	691	854	771	112
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Neb.-Ia..	691	811	753	109	501	588	546	109
Platte Valley, Nebr.....	204	246	235	115	571	704	668	117
Southwest Kansas.....	132	156	159	120	509	629	637	125
Wichita, Kansas.....	476	625	598	126	603	641	694	115
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Wilmington, Del.....	317	257	243	77	521	786	744	143
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	133	148	121	91	509	529	500	98
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	181	202	172	95	348	401	340	98
Tri-State, Ky.-Ohio-W.Va....	642	719	643	100	328	397	359	110
Wheeling, W. Va.....	372	480	397	107	292	394	345	118
Southeastern Florida.....	922	1,137	1,077	117	9,605	11,039	10,354	108
South Carolina -S 5/.....	835	921	881	105	618	710	683	111

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producers in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, July 1959--Continued

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	July 1958 2/	June 1959 3/	July 1959 3/	July 1959 as % of 1958	July 1958 2/	June 1959 3/	July 1959 3/	July 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	938	1,069	919	98	483	570	488	101
Paducah, Ky.....	139	140	138	99	458	481	463	101
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	348	400	383	110	530	596	555	105
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	360	404	391	109	460	547	536	116
Knoxville, Tenn.....	438	477	462	105	440	508	491	112
Memphis, Tenn.....	453	508	498	110	487	576	559	115
Nashville, Tenn.....	627	715	665	106	422	519	487	115
Central Mississippi.....	489	519	500	102	488	531	522	107
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Fort Smith, Ark.....	123	135	128	104	496	536	511	103
Central Arkansas.....	484	528	526	109	552	608	612	111
New Orleans, La.....	941	1,004	979	104	398	450	442	111
Northern Louisiana.....	310	394	383	124	652	695	676	104
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	1,209	1,388	1,306	108	469	566	534	114
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	341	339	342	100	897	1,015	996	111
Central West Texas.....	429	494	498	116	793	974	981	124
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	388	456	435	112	974	1,119	1,075	110
North Texas.....	2,321	2,547	2,517	108	758	873	863	114
San Antonio, Texas.....	549	647	613	112	1,112	1,317	1,188	107
Texas Panhandle.....	314	353	350	111	577	704	687	119
MOUNTAIN:								
Central Arizona.....	911	1,058	994	109	2,033	2,525	2,286	112
PACIFIC:								
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	439	486	445	102	517	618	570	110
Puget Sound, Wash.....	2,686	3,006	2,842	106	743	860	813	109
Total (70 markets) 6/	100,954	117,352	102,210	101.2	541	656	572	105.7

1/ Excludes producer-handlers who purchase no milk from other producers in Federal markets.
2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary.
4/ Receipts through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator, beginning with April 1959, all data from Federal sources. Receipts data prior to this change are not strictly comparable with later data. Federal data include total receipts from pooled plants; data from the State Milk Administrator exclude inter-plant shipments of out-of-State plants to other out-of-State plants.
5/ Includes plant receipts of Grade A milk from South Carolina producers.
6/ Excludes Connecticut receipts.
7/ Effective July 1, Merrimack Valley merged with Boston.
Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

(Continued from page 20)

except Boston, where total sales were at about the same level. Total product sales in the first 6 months of 1959 were 2 percent larger than the January through June total a year earlier. The average milkfat test of all fluid product sales during June was 3.71 percent. This was down slightly from the 3.72 percent average for May and the 3.75 percent average for June 1958.

Total milk receipts 1 percent above July 1958

July milk receipts (on an average daily basis) in 67 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas, at 102.2 million pounds, declined 13 percent from June, but were 1 percent larger than for July last year. Cumulative average daily receipts during the first 7 months of 1959 were 3 percent above the total for the same period of 1958. July receipts averaged 572 pounds per producer this year, compared with 656 pounds in the preceding month and 541 pounds in July a year earlier. Milkfat tests of total receipts in 63 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas averaged 3.62 percent during July. This was higher than for June, but slightly lower than for July last year.

Farm milk production still below 1958 level

United States milk production declined seasonally during August and was below a year earlier for the eighth straight month. Production this August, estimated at 10,335 million pounds, was 1 percent less than both the August output last year and the 1948-57 average for the month. In the first 8 months of 1959, milk production totaled 87.2 billion pounds--off about 790 million pounds or 1 percent from the January-August total in 1958. Relative to population, production this August would have provided 1.88 pounds of milk daily per person. This was 3 percent less than a year earlier and 11 percent below the 10-year per capita output average for August.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
AGRICULTURAL ESTIMATES DIVISION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

(Continued from page 15)

Table 5.-Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between August and September 1959
(Changes effective September 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL: Cont.	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
Gulfport-Biloxi, Miss.	5.41	5.52	m26	m26	j26	j26	52	51-52	-	-
Jackson, Miss.....	5.31	5.42	26-27	26-27	25-27	25-27	48-52	48-52	-	-
Chattanooga, Tenn...	4.90	5.21	25	25	25	25	39-48	39-48	84-89	83-87
Knoxville, Tenn.....	4.88	5.21	25	25	25	25	49	49	-	-
Memphis, Tenn.....	5.17	5.40	24	24	22-24	22-24	38	38	-	-
Nashville, Tenn. d..	4.65	5.04	20	21	19-20	20-21	37-39	39-41	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
New Orleans, La.....	5.65	5.64	28	28	26-27	d27-28	-	52-54	-	-
Tulsa, Oklahoma.....	4.74	4.61	m26	m26	25	25	39	39	66	66
MOUNTAIN:										
Great Falls, Mont...	5.52	5.69	24	26	24	26	48	52	-	-
PACIFIC:										
Medford, Oregon.....	5.71	5.71	k25	k26	25	25	49	49	98	98
Fresno, Calif.....	4.85	5.30	h26	h27	22½	23½	44	46	88	92
Los Angeles, Calif..	5.19	5.40	25	25½	22	22½	43	44½	86	89
Sacramento, Calif...	5.00	5.45	h26	h27	22	23	43½	45½	87	91
San Diego, Calif....	5.29	5.50	24	24½	23	23½	45½	46½	91	93
San Francisco, Calif.	5.17	5.62	h26½	h27½	23	24	45½	47½	91	95
Santa Barbara, Calif.	5.19	5.40	24	24½	22½	23	45	46	90	92

a. Includes all markets in which changes were at least the equivalent of one-half cent per quart to consumers or were 11¢ or more per hundredweight to producers.
b. Effective date not reported.
d. Effective dates:
September 3 - Springfield (consumer)
August 17 - Evansville - South Bend.
September 8 - St. Paul (consumer)
September 7 - Sioux City - Huntington.
August 11 - Topeka (consumer)
August 28 - Washington, D. C. - Alexandria-Arlington (consumer)
August 31 - Louisville-Nashville (consumer)
August 20 - New Orleans.
h. Includes following service charge for first unit each single delivery: Fresno and San Francisco 3¢; Sacramento, 4¢.
j. Glass 1¢ lower.
k. In paper.
m. Paper 1¢ higher.

FLUID MILK AND CREAM REPORT
OCTOBER 1959

LIBRARY
CURRENT SERIAL RECORD
NOV 30 1959
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

34M

October 16, 1959

SUMMARY

Prices of milk for fluid use in the United States this month increased slightly from early September at both producer and consumer levels, the Crop Reporting Board reports. Compared with the previous month, prices paid by dealers for fluid use milk were higher in 23 markets or 1 in about every 7. Producer prices were down from September in only 1 market. Home delivered single quart prices were higher in 18 markets this month. Single quart store prices were up in 16 markets and down in 4. More advances than declines were reported for half-gallons and gallons out-of-stores. Producer prices are not currently determined in a number of markets, but will be shown next month.

Dealer buying prices for fluid use milk in about 160 markets averaged $5.63 per hundredweight (3.5 percent basis) in early October. This was an increase of 6 cents from both the preceding month and October last year. Prices paid by dealers this month were 11 cents per hundredweight higher than the 1953-57 October average. Buying prices were up from the preceding month in 6 of the 9 regions. The gains ranged from 2 cents per hundredweight in both the East South Central and Mountain regions to 15 cents in the Middle Atlantic. Dealer prices were down 1 cent from early September in the New England States, but were unchanged in both the South Atlantic and Pacific areas.

Single quart prices of home delivered milk in 25 major cities throughout the United States in early October averaged 26.0 cents--up 0.1 cent from the previous month and 0.4 from October a year earlier. Compared with the 1953-57 October average, the home delivery price this month was 2.1 cents higher. Milk delivered to homes increased one-half cent per quart from September in New York City and Detroit. Prices were 1 cent per quart higher in Philadelphia and Indianapolis. Termination of price war conditions in Jacksonville, Fla., resulted in normal home delivery prices for that city this month. Home delivery single quart prices were unchanged from early September in the other 20 cities.

Average daily whole milk sales in 50 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas this July were up almost 4 percent from the same month last year. Cumulative daily sales during the first 7 months of 1959 were 2 percent larger than the January-July total in 1958. July skim milk sales were 9 percent above the same month last year. Milk and cream mixture sales (half-and-half) gained 6 percent from July 1958, while fluid cream sales were at about the same level. Total fluid product sales in 49 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas were 4 percent above the July total a year ago. Average daily receipts during August in 66 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas showed an increase of 2 percent from the same month in 1958. Receipts per producer in these 69 markets were up 7 percent from a year earlier.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service — Crop Reporting Board
Da 1-3 (10-59) — Washington, D.C.

In the New England States, prices paid by dealers for Class I milk (3.5 percent fat basis) averaged $6.66 per hundredweight in early October. This was 1 cent below the previous month, but 23 cents above the October price last year. The State Milk Control Commission authorized minimum price increases of one-half cent per quart in Maine on October 1--the first increase allowed to dealers in three years. This was reflected in retail price increases from early September in the Augusta and Portland markets, where dealer buying prices were unchanged. Home and store prices were up 1 cent per quart in Bellows Falls, Vermont. Buying prices in this market are not currently determined, but will be reported next month. Gallons out-of-stores were off 2 cents per unit in Newport, R. I. Producer prices in this market were about the same as a month earlier.

The average Class I price in the Middle Atlantic region, at $6.54 per hundredweight, increased 15 cents from the preceding month and was 10 cents higher than in October 1958. In the markets under the New York-New Jersey Federal Order, Class I prices rose 19 cents per hundredweight from the previous month. Both home and store prices advanced one-half cent per quart in New York City and 1 cent per quart in Syracuse and Northeastern New Jersey. Dealer buying prices in Philadelphia were also up 19 cents per hundredweight from early September. Retail prices in this market were 1 cent per quart higher.

Prices of milk for fluid use in the East North Central area averaged $4.47 per hundredweight in early October--up 3 cents from September and 10 cents from October a year ago. Class I prices increased 14 cents per hundredweight from early September in Cincinnati, but home and store prices held steady. Dealer buying prices were up 12 cents per hundredweight in both Columbus and Dayton, Ohio. Both home delivered and store milk were about one-half cent per quart higher in Columbus and 1 cent per quart higher in Dayton. Some weakness was shown in retail prices in Evansville and Fort Wayne, but half-gallons and gallons out-of-stores were up 2 and 6 cents per unit, respectively, in Indianapolis. Dealer prices in these 3 Indiana markets are not currently determined. Class I prices increased 11 cents per hundredweight in South Bend with no significant change in consumer prices. Retail prices were about steady in Springfield, Ill., although dealer prices advanced 30 cents per hundredweight. Store half-gallons were 1 cent per unit higher in Battle Creek, Mich. this month, but Class I prices were unchanged. Home delivered milk was up one-half cent per quart and slight increases were reported for store prices in Detroit. Dealer buying prices in this market are not currently determined. Class I Prices in Grand Rapids, Mich. advanced 11 cents from early September, but some weakness was indicated in home delivery single quarts and half-gallons in stores. Dealer prices were off 13 cents per hundredweight in Lansing, where retail prices were steady. Store half-gallons were 2 cents per unit higher in Milwaukee with no change in Class I prices. Producer prices increased 14 cents per hundredweight in Superior, Wis. Home and store prices were stable in this market.

In the West North Central States, early October Class I prices averaged $4.46 per hundredweight. This was an increase of 6 cents from a month earlier

and a gain of 5 cents from the October price last year. An advance of 14 cents per hundredweight from September was reported for dealer prices in Duluth, Minn. Home and store prices in this market were unchanged. Single quarts out-of-stores were down as much as 2 cents per unit in Burlington, Iowa, although Class I prices were 20 cents per hundredweight higher. Dealer buying prices increased slightly in Springfield, Mo. and home delivered milk was up 2 cents per quart. Half-gallons sold from stores were off 2 cents per unit due to a price war in this market. Both home and store prices advanced 1 cent per quart in Grand Forks, where Class I prices held steady. Dealer prices were 11 cents per hundredweight higher in Sioux Falls. Retail prices in this market were unchanged from the previous month. In Omaha, where dealer prices are not currently determined, gallons out-of-stores were up 5 cents per unit.

Fluid milk prices paid by dealers in the South Atlantic region averaged $6.09 per hundredweight during early October--about the same as in September, but 19 cents under the October price in 1958. Class I prices advanced 20 cents per hundredweight from the preceding month in Wilmington, Del. with a corresponding increase of one-half cent per quart in both home delivered and store milk. Dealer prices were unchanged in Charleston, West Va. Store prices in this market declined one-half cent per quart. Home delivery and store prices per single quart returned to normal in early October after 2 months of price war conditions in Jacksonville, Fla. Half-gallon and gallon prices out-of-stores were not reported this month. Prices of milk delivered to homes were 1 cent higher in Miami, where no change was reported in Class I prices. Store prices in this market are not reported.

Class I prices in the East South Central area averaged $5.35 per hundredweight in early October. This was 2 cents more than in the previous month and was 10 cents above the same month a year earlier. Retail prices were relatively stable in Louisville, Ky., where dealer prices increased 11 cents per hundredweight from the previous month. Home and store prices were up about 1 cent per quart in Knoxville, Memphis, and Nashville, Tenn. Class I prices in these markets were at about the same level as in early September.

Dealers throughout the West South Central States paid an average price of $5.42 per hundredweight for Class I milk in early October. This was 9 cents higher than in September, but 19 cents lower than the October price last year. Single quarts and half-gallons sold in stores were up 1 cent per unit from early September in Little Rock, Ark. Dealer buying prices in this market were at about the same level as a month earlier. Class I prices increased 12 cents per hundredweight in Tulsa, but retail prices were unchanged. Dealer prices advanced 21 cents per hundredweight in Austin and Dallas, Texas. Some decreases in store prices were reported for these markets this month.

In the Mountain region the average buying price for Class I milk, at $5.53 per hundredweight, was up 2 cents from September, but down 11 cents from October a year ago. Dealer buying prices were 12 cents per hundredweight higher than a month earlier in the Colorado Springs-Pueblo Federal Order Markets. Consumer prices were unchanged in these markets.

On the Pacific Coast, early October Class I prices averaged $5.43 per hundredweight. This was unchanged from the preceding month, but 18 cents higher than for October 1958. No significant changes from the previous month were indicated for either producer or consumer prices in this region.

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
October 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices b most common grade			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use a			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
NEW ENGLAND:	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
MAINE -S										
Augusta.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d3.06	92	48	23½-24½	26	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d3.06	90	48	23½	26	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	3.7	6.83	est.8.3	6.66	d3.26	92	49	23½	27	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth....	3.7	6.83	est.8.3	6.66	d3.28	-	49	23½-24½	27	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls..		Not determined				88	45	22	25	4.0
Burlington.....		Not determined				80	43	22	24-26	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	3.7	6.83	est.8.3	6.66	d3.28	94-96	43-45½	23-23½	26½-27½	3.7
Fall River.....	3.7	6.90	est.8.3	6.73	d3.28	-	39-43	20-25	26-27½	-
Lowell-Lawr....	3.7	6.83	est.8.3	6.66	d3.28	-	46	24-25½	25-27	3.7-3.8
Springfield....	3.7	6.83	est.8.3	6.66	d3.28	96	41	24½-25½	28-29½	-
Worcester.....	3.7	6.83	est.8.3	6.66	d3.28	87-94	45	24-25	28-28½	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND -F*										
Newport.....	3.7	6.90	est.8.3	6.73	d3.28	-	50	25½	m27½	3.7
Providence.....	3.7	6.90	est.8.3	6.73	d3.28	92-97	48-49	24½-25½	27½	3.7
CONNECTICUT -F										
Hartford.....	3.7	6.83	est.8.3	6.66	d3.28	108	56	27-28½	30	3.8
New Haven.....	3.7	6.83	est.8.3	6.66	d3.28	-	56	28½	30½	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK-S **										
Albany-F.....	3.5	6.284	4.0	6.28	-	-	-	24½	29	3.8
Binghamton-F...	3.5	6.16	4.0	6.16	-	88-94	48	24½	28	3.6-3.8
Buffalo	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d4.50	92	50	25½	h31½	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	3.5	e6.62-7.01	4.0	6.62-7.01	-	98-106	52	23-28½	31½-32½	-
Rochester.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d4.58	96	-	24	27	3.6
Schenectady-F..	3.5	6.272	-	6.27	-	-	51	26	28	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	3.5	6.114	-	6.11	-	-	48	25	28	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.24	96-100	49	25-26	29	3.65
Camden-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.24	92	-	24	27	3.8
N'east.N.J.FS*A	3.5	e6.62-7.01	4.0	6.62-7.01	-	104	-	27	30½	3.5
Trenton-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.24	88	45	23	26½-27½	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	4	6.50	5.0	6.25	d4.60	97	48½	24½	26	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	4	6.48	5.0	6.23	-	93	47	23½	26	3.7
Johnstown.....	4	6.50	6.0	6.20	-	-	-	-	25½	-
Phila.-F.....	3.7	v5.89	d7.6	5.74	d3.17	94	47½-48½	24-24½	28	-
Pittsburgh.....	4	6.74	7.0	6.39	d4.89	94	48	24½	27	3.5
Reading.....	4	6.50	5.0	6.25	-	94	49	24½	26	3.6
Scranton.....	4	6.40	5.0	6.15	-	94	-	24½	27	3.7
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....		Not determined				-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton.....		Not determined				-	38	20	24	3.5
Cincinnati.....	3.5	4.998	8.6	5.00	5.00	-	42	21-21½	24	3.5
Cleveland.....		Not determined				-	39	20	24	3.5
Columbus.....	3.5	4.697	8.1	4.70	4.70	-	41	22	24	3.5
Dayton.....	3.5	4.781	8.0	4.78	4.78	-	42	23	24	3.5
Toledo.....		Not determined				-	40	21	24-25	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

October 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
NEW ENGLAND:										
MAINE - S										
Augusta.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	26-28	51-52	-	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	HVD	28		-	-	HVD	26-28	51-53	-	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	CL	27	(D)1¢-5+;2¢-9+;3¢-12+	54	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth.....	HVD	28	(D)1¢-5+;2¢-10+	55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls...	HVD	26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	25	49	-	4.0
Burlington.....	CL	24-26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24-25	47	-	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	HVD	27½-28½		52-58	102-112	HVD	25½-26½	46-47	85-87	3.7
Fall River.....	HVD	26½-28½	(W)1¢-21+	n47-56	-	HVD	-	43-44	t82	3.7
Lowell-Lawr.....	HVD	27-28	(D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	n55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
Springfield.....	HVD	30-31		n55-59	t83	HVD	27-31	43-55	78	3.7
Worcester.....	HVD	30	(W)½¢-12+;1¢-21+	n55-59	112	HVD	27-28	48	90-93	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND- F*										
Newport.....	H	m28½		-	-	H	27½	54	k106	3.8
Providence.....	H	28½-29½	(M)2¢-90+;3¢-120+	56	112	H	j27½	52-53	104	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	HVD	31	(M)1¢-90+; (D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	59-61	-	HVD	29-31	55-61	-	3.7
New Haven.....	HVD	31½	(D)½ gal. 5¢-2+	62	-	HVD	31½	62	-	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK- S**										
Albany-F.....	HVD	29	(D)½ gal. 10¢-2+	57	-	HVD	26	49	-	3.8
Binghamton-F....	H	28	(D)1¢-2+;2¢-4+;3¢-6+;4¢-12+	-	-	H	26-29	49-55	-	3.6-3.8
Buffalo.....	HVD	h32½		h58	-	H	25-30	48-51	-	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	HVD	32½		-	-	H	24½-30½	-	-	-
Rochester.....	HVD	28	(W)1¢-8+;1½¢-13+;2¢-19+;2½¢-25+	-	-	HVD	26-27	49-51	-	3.6
Schenectady-F...	HVD	29	(M)1¢-34+;2¢-54+;3¢-74+;4¢-94+	55	-	HVD	28-29	51-55	-	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	HVD	29	(½ gal. 10-2+)	k57	-	HVD	26-29	49-57	-	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S	HVD	30		k57	-	H	q27-31	52-57	-	-
Camden-S.....	HVD	28		55	-	HVD	28	-	-	3.5-3.8
N'east .N.J.FS*A .	r	31½		-	-	r	29-30½	-	-	3.5
Trenton-S.....	HVD	27½-28		54-55	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	HVD	27		53	106	HVD	26-27	51-53	-	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	H	26		52	104	H	25	50	100	3.7
Johnstown.....	HVD	26½		52	104	HVD	25½	50-51	102	-
Phila.-F.....	HVD	29		56	-	H	25½	50	-	-
Pittsburgh.....	H	27		53	-	H	26	51	-	3.5
Reading.....	HVD	27		54	-	HVD	26	52	-	3.6
Scranton.....	CL	27		-	-	H	26	-	-	3.6
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t68	3.5
Canton.....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cincinnati.....	HVD	24	(M)1½;-39+;2½;-74+;3¢-108+	46	-	HVD	24	46	-	3.5
Cleveland.....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	43	-	HVD	20	39	t68	3.5
Columbus.....	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	22-24	40-42	-	3.5
Dayton.....	HVD	25		m45	77	HVD	23-25	41-46	60-71	3.5
Toledo.....	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
October 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville....		Not determined				80-86	39-42	21-21½	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F..		Not determined				71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	3.5	g4.00	d7.5	4.00	-	87	44	22½	-	-
Indianapolis..		Not determined				74	39	21	24	3.5
South Bend-F..	3.5	4.45	-	4.45	4.45	-	41	22	24	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	3.5	4.52	-	4.52	-	-	-	-	-	3.5
Chicago-F.....	3.5	eg4.00	d7.5	4.00	3.44	85	44	22½	27-31	3.5
Peoria.....		Not determined				74	39½	21	m26	3.5
Rock Island-F.	3.5	g4.15	7.8	4.15	4.15	77	42	21½	24	3.5-3.6
Springfield...	3.5	f4.00	7.0	4.00	4.00	80	39-40	21-22	24	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek..	3.5	4.70	7.0	4.70	-	-	35	19	22	3.8
Detroit-F.....		Not determined				-	44	22	25	3.5
Grand Rapids..	3.5	4.74	7.0	4.74	4.74	-	-	-	21-23	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	3.5	4.70	6.5	4.70	4.70	70	35	19	k23	3.6
Lansing.....	3.5	est.4.70	7.0	4.70	-	-	-	-	24½	3.6
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	3.5	4.00	-	4.00	3.51	72	41	21	24	3.5
Eau Claire....		Not determined				-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Green Bay-F...	3.5	3.97	7.8	3.97	3.97	70	36.3-39	18.6-20	21-22	3.6
Madison.....		Not determined				77-79	41	21	23-24	3.5
Milwaukee-F...	3.5	g3.96	7.5	3.96	3.51	68-73	33-36	20-21	24	3.65
Superior-F....	3.5	4.38	8.8	4.38	4.38	76-78	44	22	24	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	3.5	3.50	7.0	3.50	-	-	-	-	20	-
Duluth-F.....	3.5	4.38	8.8	4.38	4.38	76-78	44	22	23	3.5
Minneapolis-F.	3.5	4.15	8.7	4.15	4.15	-	-	-	22	-
St. Paul-F....	3.5	4.15	8.7	4.15	4.15	-	-	-	m21	-
Winona.....	3.5	3.55	7.0	3.55	3.55	-	-	i18½	21	-
IOWA										
Burlington....	3.5	4.20	8.0	4.20	-	65-70	36	18	22	3.7-3.8
Des Moines-F..	3.5	4.38	7.5	4.38	4.38	76-78	39	20-20½	23	-
Ft. Dodge-F...	3.5	4.06	7.5	4.06	4.06	76	40	20½	24	-
Sioux City-F..		Not determined				78	40	20	k23	3.5-3.6
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	4.618	8.2	4.37	4.37	82	41	21	24	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	3.5	4.74	7.5	4.74	4.74	78	-	-	-	-
Springfield...	3.5	4.47	7.5	4.47	4.47	80	36-40	20	25	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor....	-	-	-	-	-	68	36	18	-	-
Grand Forks...	-	D.R.	10.7	3.74	-	74	38	19	k21	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	3.5	4.42	7.5	4.42	4.42	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux Falls...	3.5	4.45	9.8	4.45	4.45	76	41	21	21	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....		Not determined				82	37	19.8	24	4.0
Omaha-F.....		Not determined				72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Scottsbluff...	3.5	4.95	7.0	4.95	-	78	41	21	24	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

October 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville.....	HVD	24		44-46	-	HVD	22-24	33-42	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F...	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	20-22	37-39	69	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	HVD	29½		51	88	HVD	24	44-47	83-85	3.5
Indianapolis...	H	24		44	82	H	23	42-44	78	3.5
South Bend-F...	HVD	24		m 43	82	HVD	23-24	39-44	75	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.0
Chicago-F.....	HVD	27-31		48-58	86-99	HVD	22½-25	44-48	83-90	3.5
Peoria.....	HVD	m26	(D)1¢-4+	w49	87	-	-	-	-	-
Rock Island-F..	H	24		47	87	H	23-24	43-47	81-87	3.5-3.6
Springfield....	HVD	24	(W)1¢-20+;2¢-30+	k45	-	HVD	23-24	39-41	-	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek...	HVD	22		43	-	HVD	22	43	-	3.6
Detroit-F.....	HVD	25	Varied discount plans	49	-	HVD	22-25	35-49	-	3.5
Grand Rapids...	H	21-23	(M)3¢-30+	45	-	H	20-23	37-45	-	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	H	k23	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-120+	45	-	H	21	-	-	3.6
Lansing.....	HVD	24½		-	-	HVD	21½-24½	-	-	-
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	HVD	24		44	79	HVD	22-24	41-44	75-79	3.5
Eau Claire.....	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+ (½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Green Bay-F....	HVD	21-22	(D)1¢-3+ (½ gal. 2¢-2+)	42-43	-	HVD	20½-21	39½-42	-	3.6
Madison.....	HVD	23-24		45	84-87	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Milwaukee F....	HVD	24		44	78-80	HVD	22-24	38-40	70-74	3.6
Superior F.....	HVD	24	(D)3¢-2+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	HVD	20		40	-	HVD	20	40	-	3.5
Duluth-F.....	HVD	23	(D)½¢-2-5+; all if 6+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
Minneapolis-F..	HVD	22		m39	-	HVD	20	35	65	3.5
St. Paul-F.....	HVD	m21		w37	-	HVD	21	j33-34	-	3.5
Winona.....	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	i20-21	i39-41	-	-
IOWA										
Burlington.....	HVD	22		41-43	-	HVD	20	39-40	-	3.3-3.5
Des Moines-F...	HVD	m23		k46	-	HVD	j24	-	-	-
Ft. Dodge-F....	HVD	24		47	-	H	24	47	-	-
Sioux City-F...	HVD	23		k46	84	HVD	22-23	44-46	-	3.4-3.5
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24		46	t87	HVD	21	41	t75	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	HVD	29		48	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Springfield....	HVD	25		43-49	-	HVD	22-23	39-41	-	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor.....	HVD	k21		k41	-	HVD	20-21	39-41	-	-
Grand Forks....	HVD	k21		k42	k84	HVD	21	42	84	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	H	-		-	-	H	-	-	-	-
Sioux Falls....	HVD	m22		w43	-	HVD	22-23	43-45	-	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....	HVD	24		46	86	HVD	21-23	43	t82	3.6
Omaha-F.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	80	3.4-4.0
Scottsbluff....	HVD	k24	(D)1¢-3+ (2nd ½ gal. 45¢)	k47	-	HVD	24	46-47	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
October 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade [b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use [a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	4.618	8.2	4.37	4.37	82	41	21	24	3.3-3.5
Topeka.....	3.8	4.618	8.2	4.37	4.37	74	39	20	-	-
Wichita.....	3.8	4.768	7.5	4.54	4.54	71	38	19½	25	3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington....	4	5.89	5.0	5.64	-	90	40	22½	26½	3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	3.5	u D est.5.55	7.0	5.55	d3.28	94	42	25½	27	3.7
Cumberland....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington....	3.5	gB5.96	d8.3	5.96	5.96	95	41	24	26½-27	4.0
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-F...	3.5	gB5.96	d8.3	5.96	5.96	95	41	24	26½-27	4.0
N'flk.-Ports-S	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	-	49	24½	26	4.0
Richmond-S....	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	-	23½	26½	3.7
Roanoke-S.....	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	49	24-25	25	3.8
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston....	-	D.R.	16.44	5.75	3.94	-	52	26½	28½	3.5-3.8
Huntington-F..		Not determined				-	50	25½	27	3.5
Wheeling-F....	3.5	4.84	8.2	4.84	4.84	-	-	-	26	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	49	25	27	4.1-4.2
Charlotte.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	47	24	27	3.8-4.1
Durham.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	89	49	25	27	-
Wins.-Salem...	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston....	4	6.40	7.0	6.05	6.05	-	47	24	26	4.0
Columbia.....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	92	47	24	26	4.0
Greenville-S..	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	-	-	-	26	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	4	6.93	7.0	6.58	6.58	-	48	24½	m26	4.0
Augusta.....	4	6.82	7.0	6.47	6.47	-	48	24½	m26	4.0
Savannah.....	4	7.20	7.0	6.85	6.85	-	50	25½	m27	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville..	4	7.09	7.5	6.72	-	-	51	26	29	4.0
Miami-F.....	4	7.00	7.5	6.62	-	-	-	-	28-29	4.1
Tampa.....	4	7.09	7.6	6.71	-	-	-	-	27	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F..	3.8	4.716	7.8	4.48	4.48	78	40	21	24	3.7
Paducah-F.....	3.5	4.64	7.5	4.64	4.64	-	36	20	22	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga...	4	g5.60	8.2	5.19	5.19	-	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	4	g5.60	8.2	5.19	5.19	-	41-43	22-23	26	3.8
Memphis.....	4	5.76	7.5	5.38	5.38	-	38	21	25	4.0
Nashville.....	4	gD5.35	7.5	4.98	4.98	76	34-36	18½	22	3.6
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham....	4	6.43	5.0	6.18	6.18	-	48½	24¾	26	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	4	6.43	5.0	6.18	-	-	48½	24¾	26	3.5-4.0
Montgomery....	4	6.33	5.0	6.08	-	-	-	22¾	k25	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

October 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD - homogenized vitamin D; H - homogenized; CL - creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-50+	46	-	HVD	21	41	t71-73	3.2-3.5
Topeka.....	HVD	k23		45	86	HVD	21	41	77	3.4-3.5
Wichita.....	HVD	25		46	85	HVD	23-24	43-45	79-83	3.3-3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington.....	HVD	27½		48	-	HVD	27½	48	-	3.5-3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	HVD	28		46	-	HVD	25½	48	-	3.5-3.6
Cumberland.....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington.....	H	26½-27	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	48	-	H	23½-26½	41-53	x82	3.5
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-F..	H	26½-27	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	48	-	H	23½-26½	41-53	x82	3.9
N'flk-Ports-S..	HVD	27	(M)1¢-78+	k54	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.0
Richmond-S.....	H	26½	(D)1¢-6+	53	-	H	24½	49	-	3.7
Roanoke-S.....	HVD	26		k52	t88	HVD	27-28	52-53	-	3.7
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston.....	r	28½		w55	t99	r	28	55	88-97	3.5-3.6
Huntington-F...	HVD	28		55	100	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Wheeling-F.....	r	26		51	-	r	26	-	-	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	HVD	27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8-4.0
Charlotte.....	HVD	27		51	-	HVD	26-27	51-52	-	3.8-3.9
Durham.....	HVD	27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8
Wins.-Salem....	HVD	k27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston.....	HVD	26		51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
Columbia.....	HVD	26	(W)1¢-24+(½ gal. 1¢-12+)	51	-	HVD	26	49-51	-	4.0
Greenville -S..	HVD	26	(W)2¢-24+(½ gal. 4¢-12+)	51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon-	H	m26		w51	-	H	j27	53	-	4.0
Augusta.....	H	m26		w51	-	H	j27	53	-	4.0
Savannah.....	H	m27		w53	-	H	j28	55	-	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville...	HVD	29		55	102	HVD	29	55	102	4.0
Miami-F.....	HVD	29-30		w55	t105	HVD	-	-	-	4.0
Tampa.....	HVD	28		w53	95	HVD	28	49	89	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F...	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	23-25	41	-	3.7
Paducah-F.....	H	22		k41	-	H	22	39-42	-	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Knoxville.....	HVD	26		49-50	-	HVD	26	49-50	-	3.8
Memphis.....	HVD	25		44	-	HVD	23-24	40-41	-	3.5-4.0
Nashville.....	HVD	22		w41-43	-	HVD	21-22	41-43	-	3.5
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.5-4.0
Montgomery.....	HVD	k26		k51	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
October 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI-F										
Columbus.....	4	5.74	7.5	5.36	5.36	-	-	-	-	-
Greenwood -y ..	4	5.74	7.5	5.36	5.36	-	43	22	-	4.0
Gulfport-Biloxi..	4	6.00	7.5	5.62	5.62	-	47	24	m26	3.7-3.8
Jackson.....	4	5.90	7.5	5.52	5.52	84-88	45-47	23-24	26-27	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	4	5.32	7.8	4.93	4.93	74	37	20½	24	3.5
Little Rock....	4	5.76	7.5	5.38	5.38	86	45	23	27	3.53
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	4	6.05	6.0	5.75	5.75	88	46	23	26	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	4	eg6.00	7.5	5.62	5.62	94	49	25	27	3.95
Shreveport-F...	4	5.87	7.5	5.50	5.50	-	51	25	28	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	4	g5.55	7.8	5.16	5.16	94	48	25	27	3.6
Tulsa.....	4	5.12	7.8	4.73	4.73	96	37	23	-	-
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	4	5.825	7.5	5.45	5.45	-	45	23½	m26	3.7
Dallas-F.....	4	5.52	7.8	5.13	5.13	85	45	22½	27	4.0
El Paso.....	4	f6.00	7 up;9 dn.	5.55	5.55	90	44	23	26	3.5
Galveston.....	4	f6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	83	47½	25	m27	4.0
Houston.....	4	6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	90	47	24	27	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	3.7	5.83	7.0	5.69	4.02	92	46	23	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	4	5.10	8.5	4.68	4.68	75	39	19½	23	3.5
Idaho Falls....	3.5	4.75	10.0	4.75	-	75	40	20	23	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	-	D.R.	16.0	5.60	5.60	72	42	21	22	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo.Springs-F.	3.5	5.315	8.8	5.32	5.32	87	32	21½	25	3.5
Denver.....	-	D.R.	16.2	5.67	5.46	-	-	-	25	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	3.5	5.315	8.8	5.32	5.32	-	42	21½	25	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	3.5	5.75	7.0	5.75	5.75	91	46	25	27	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	3.8	5.59	8.5	5.34	5.34	81	-	-	25	-
Tucson.....	3.8	5.89	8.5	5.64	5.64	-	52	26	26	3.75
UTAH										
Salt Lake City.	3.6	5.724	7.7 up 15.9dn.	5.56	5.56	86	42.8	21.4	25	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	3.5	5.35	-	5.35	-	80	44	22	26	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	4	5.53	7.0	5.18	5.18	85	43	22½	24½	3.6
Everett-F.....	4	g5.43	7.9	5.04	5.04	85	42½	21½	24	3.5
Seattle-F.....	4	g5.43	7.9	5.04	5.04	85	42½	21½	24	3.6
Spokane-F.....	4	v5.35	8.1	4.94	4.94	82	42	21	24	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

October 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI -F										
Columbus -y....	HVD	k25		k49	-	HVD	24-25	47-49	-	-
Greenwood -y...	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	25	48	-	-
Gulfport-Biloxi	HVD	m26		w51	-	HVD	j26	51-52	-	3.5
Jackson	HVD	26-27		51-52	-	HVD	25-27	48-52	-	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	HVD	k24		k42	80	HVD	24	42	-	3.5
Little Rock....	H	27		k52	-	H	26	49	-	3.44
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	HVD	26		51	88	HVD	25-26	51	88	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	HVD	28		54	-	HVD	27-28	52-54	-	4.0
Shreveport-F...	HVD	28		53	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	HVD	27		k50	98	HVD	25	48	95	3.6
Tulsa.....	HVD	m26		m42	68	HVD	25	39	66	3.6
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	H	m26		w50	87	H	26	49	83	3.6-3.8
Dallas-F.....	HVD	24		48	-	HVD	24	46	-	4.0
El Paso.....	HVD	m26	(W)1½¢-6+	w49	-	HVD	26	45-48	-	3.5
Galveston.....	HVD	m28		w55	89	HVD	j27-28	j51½-53½	89	4.0
Houston.....	H	27		m53	-	H	j25	48	94	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	HVD	26		k52	-	HVD	26	52	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	H	23		k46	88	H	23	46	q79-88	3.5
Idaho Falls....	HVD	23		46	79	HVD	23	46	79	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	H	m22		k46	-	H	22-23	45-46	-	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo. Spgs.-F..	HVD	25		k36	73	HVD	24	34	69	3.5-3.6
Denver.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	H	27		53	-	H	27	53	-	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	-
Tucson.....	H	26		52	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
UTAH										
Salt Lake City.	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	H	26		51	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	H	24½		k47	-	H	24	45	-	3.6
Everett-F.....	H	24	(M) 1¢-52+;1½¢-78+;2¢-100+	-	-	H	24	-	-	3.5
Seattle-F.....	HVD	24	(D)1¢-4+;1½¢-6+	-	-	HVD	23-23½	44-46	-	3.6
Spokane-F.....	HVD	24		k50	94	HVD	24	48	93	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
October 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
PACIFIC CONT.:										
OREGON										
Medford.....	4	6.16	7.6	5.78	-	-	47	23	k26	3.8
Portland.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
Salem.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	21½	26	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	3.8	5.60	10.0	5.30	5.30	72-82	42	21½	h27	3.55
Los Angeles....	3.8	5.71	10.2	5.40	5.40	71-80	40.7	20.6	25½	3.55
Sacramento.....	3.8	5.75	10.0	5.45	5.45	70½-80	41½	21	h27	3.55
San Diego.....	3.8	5.81	10.2	5.50	5.50	72-82	42½	21½	24½	3.55
San Francisco..	3.8	5.92	10.1	5.62	5.62	79-81	43½	22	h27½	3.55
Santa Barbara..	3.8	5.71	10.2	5.40	5.40	68-78	40½	20¾	24½	3.55
September 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)										
Bellows Falls, Vt	3.7	5.50	8.3	5.33	-	80	43	21	24	4.0
Burlington, Vt...	3.7	5.50	8.3	5.33	-	80	43	22	24-26	3.7-4.0
Newport, R.I.....	3.7	6.90	7.9	6.74	d3.14	-	50	25½	m27½	3.7
Akron, Ohio.....	3.5	4.785	8.2	4.79	4.79	-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	3.5	4.785	8.2	4.79	4.79	-	38	20	24	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio..	3.5	4.785	8.2	4.79	4.79	-	39	20	24	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.5	4.765	7.8	4.77	4.77	-	42	21	24-25	3.5
Evansville, Ind..	4	4.739	6.5	4.41	4.41	80-86	39-42	21-21½	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind..	3.5	4.465	8.2	4.46	4.46	71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind	3.5	4.74	-	4.74	-	74	37	21	23	3.5
Detroit, Mich....	3.5	g4.945	7.1	4.94	2.93	84	43	21½	24½	3.5
EauClaire,Wis.(Aug)	3.5	3.59	7.0	3.59	3.59	-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	3.5	4.09	8.0	4.09	3.53	77-79	41	21	23-24	3.5
Bemidji, Minn....	3.5	3.50	7.0	3.50	-	-	-	-	20	3.5
Fort Dodge, Iowa.	3.5	3.99	7.2	3.99	3.99	76	40	20½	24	-
Sioux City, Iowa.	3.5	4.49	9.4	4.49	4.49	78	40	20	k23	3.5-3.6
Lincoln, Nebr....	3.8	g4.98	9.4	4.70	4.70	82	37	19.8	-	4.0
Omaha, Nebr.....	3.8	g4.98	9.4	4.70	4.70	72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Huntington, W.Va.	3.5	5.50	8.1	5.50	5.50	-	50	25½	27	3.5

Table 1(a).--Minimum order Class I prices at Basic Test in Federal Order markets where higher than Federal Order prices prevail

Market	Month	Dol. per cwt	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt
Chicago-Gary.....	Oct. 1959	3.91	Washington, D.C..	Oct. 1959	5.55	Nashville.....	Oct. 1959	5.08
Rock Island.....	Oct. 1959	4.11	Alex.-Arl.....	Oct. 1959	5.55	New Orleans.....	Oct. 1959	5.97
Detroit.....	Sept.1959	4.36	Chattanooga.....	Oct. 1959	5.14	Oklahoma City...	Oct. 1959	5.22
Milwaukee.....	Oct. 1959	3.87	Knoxville.....	Oct. 1959	5.06	Everett.....	Oct. 1959	5.10
Omaha-Lincoln....	Sept.1959	4.73				Seattle.....	Oct. 1959	5.10

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
October 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
			(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)							
PACIFIC CONT.:		Cents		Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
OREGON										
Medford.....	H	k26		k51	100	H	25	49	98	3.8
Portland.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
Salem	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	HVD	h27		h50	h97	HVD	23½	46	92	3.55
Los Angeles....	HVD	25½		50½	101	HVD	22½	44½	89	3.55
Sacramento.....	HVD	h27		h49½	h95	HVD	23	45½	91	3.55
San Diego.....	HVD	24½		48½	97	HVD	23½	46½	93	3.55
San Francisco..	HVD	h27½		h51½	h100	HVD	24	47½	95	3.55
Santa Barbara..	HVD	24½		49	98	HVD	23	46	92	3.55
September 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)										
Bellows Falls, Vt	HVD	25	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24	47	-	4.0
Burlington, Vt...	CL	24-26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24-25	47	-	3.7-4.0
Newport, R. I....	H	m28½		-	-	H	27½	54	k108	3.8
Akron, Ohio.....	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t68	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio..	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	43	-	HVD	20	39	t68	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	HVD	24		43-45	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5
Evansville, Ind..	HVD	24		44-46	-	HVD	22-24	33-44	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind..	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21	36-38	69-71	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	H	24		42	76	H	23	40-42	72	3.5
Detroit, Mich...	HVD	24½	Varied discount plans	48	-	HVD	21-25	37-48	-	3.5
EauClaire, Wis. (Aug)	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+(½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	HVD	23-24		45	84-87	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Bemidji, Minn....	HVD	20		40	-	HVD	20	40	-	3.5
Fort Dodge, Iowa.	HVD	24		47	-	HVD	24	47	-	-
Sioux City, Iowa.	HVD	23		k46	84	HVD	23	44	-	3.4-3.5
Lincoln, Nebr....	HVD	24		k45	t86	HVD	23	43	t82	3.6
Omaha, Nebr.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	75	3.4-4.0
Huntington, W.Va.	HVD	28		55	100	HVD	-	-	-	3.5

S. All prices shown under State Control. * Retail prices only under State Control. ** Producer prices only under State Control. F. Federal Order market. If State marked, applies all cities shown. D.R. Payment in direct ratio to milkfat. a. Class or base price unless otherwise noted. Bulk tank premiums appear in table 4, page 14. b. List prices which may be subject to discounts. d. September price; cream price at Manchester $3.26. e. NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY: 200-mile zone price plus estimated freight (31½-41¢) and country station handling charges (20-50¢). CHICAGO: 55-70 mile zone; NEW ORLEANS: 61-70 mile zone. f. Flat price. g. Negotiated prices. The F.O.M. prices are shown in table 1(a). h. Includes following service charge for first unit each single delivery: BUFFALO, 5¢; FRESNO AND SAN FRANCISCO, 3¢; SACRAMENTO, 4¢. i. In glass. j. Glass 1¢ lower; ½ gal. 2¢ at Galveston and Houston. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. n. Second half-gallon: Fall River 50¢, Lowell-Lawrence, Springfield and Worcester 51¢. q. Creamline or regular. r. The most common grade of milk not reported; prices shown are for Homogenized Vitamin D. t. Homogenized. u. Estimated average Class I price paid by handlers. v. F.O.M. price. August weighted average premium 6¢. w. Paper 2¢ higher. x. HVD. y. September resale prices same as October at Columbus and Greenwood.

A. September resale prices same as August. B. Estimated average negotiated Class I premium paid by handlers 41¢. D. Basic test Nashville: Negotiated Class I $4.95 for August; $5.35 for September; Baltimore actual Class I $5.55 for August.

Table 2.--Dealers' average buying prices for milk for fluid milk use (f.o.b. city) United States, by regions, October 1959 1/
(Per hundredweight - 3.5% fat; weighted by population of individual markets)

Region	Markets	October 1958	September 1959	October 1959	
				Range	Average
	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England	17	6.43	*6.67	5.40-6.73	6.66
Middle Atlantic	17	6.44	6.39	5.74-6.82	6.54
East North Central	27	4.37	*4.44	3.70-5.00	4.47
West North Central	20	4.41	*4.40	3.55-4.74	4.46
South Atlantic	26	6.28	6.09	4.84-6.85	6.09
East South Central	13	5.25	5.33	4.15-6.18	5.35
West South Central	15	5.61	5.33	4.73-5.81	5.42
Mountain	14	5.64	5.51	4.68-5.75	5.53
Pacific	13	5.25	5.43	4.94-5.78	5.43
United States	162	5.57	5.57	3.55-6.85	5.63

1/ In some markets the prices paid for fluid milk and fluid cream are the same. Includes Class I prices, base or basic price and flat prices where effective.
* Revised.

Table 3.--Prevailing prices of standard grade milk per single quart delivered to homes in 25 cities, October 1959 1/

(Cents per single quart)

Markets	1953-57 Oct. av.	Oct. 1958	Sept. 1959	Oct. 1959	Markets	1953-57 Oct. av.	Oct. 1958	Sept. 1959	Oct. 1959
Boston, Mass.	25.9	27½	26½-27½	26½-27½	Baltimore, Md.	24.3	27	27	27
Hartford, Conn.	25.6	27½	30	30	Washington, D. C.	25.4	26½-27½	26½-27	26½-27
New York, N. Y.	26.9	30½-31	31-32	31½-32½	Jacksonville, Fla.	27.0	29	25-30	29
Philadelphia, Pa.	24.8	28	27	28	Louisville, Ky.	23.4	26	24	24
Pittsburgh, Pa.	25.3	27	27	27	New Orleans, La.	26.4	27	27	27
Cleveland, Ohio	22.0	24	24	24	Dallas, Texas	24.6	27	27	27
Indianapolis, Ind.	22.4	23	23	24	Denver, Colo.	24.4	25	25	25
Chicago, Ill.	26.4	26-29½	27-31	27-31	Salt Lake City	22.0	24	25	25
Detroit, Mich.	23.0	24½	24½	25	Seattle, Wash.	22.4	23½	24	24
Milwaukee, Wis.	21.4	22	24	24	Portland, Ore.	23.1	25	26	26
Minneapolis, Minn.	20.9	22	22	22	San Francisco	22.8	25½	2/ 26	2/26
Kansas City, Mo.	23.0	23	24	24	Los Angeles	22.6	25	25½	25½
Omaha, Nebr.	20.8	22	23	23	25 cities average	23.9	25.6	25.9	26.0

1/ "Standard grade" is grade commonly sold in each market. Grades vary between markets due to differences in determining factors. The prices shown are the latest reported up to and including the 10th of the month.
2/ In markets having a service charge on the first unit at each single delivery location, the charge has been divided between 2 quarts. Since the single quart concept used historically is based on every day delivery, it is assumed that under every other day delivery the charge represents a two quart purchase.

Table 4.--Premiums for bulk tank delivered milk not included in dealers' buying prices in table 1 October 1959

Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.
Augusta, Me.	5	Albany, N.Y.	20	Battle Cr., Mich.	10	Duluth, Minn.	15-25	Louisville, Ky.	15
Manchester, N.H.	5	Binghamton, N.Y.	10-11	Detroit, Mich.	8	Burlington, Ia.	15	Memphis, Tenn.	5
Boston, Mass.	5-15	Buffalo, N.Y.	10	G.Rapids, Mich.	8-12	Kansas City, Mo.	10	Nashville, Tenn.	5
Fall River, Mass.	10	Rochester, N.Y.	10	Lansing, Mich.	10	St. Louis, Mo.	25	Okla.City, Okla.	10
Low.-Law., Mass.	5	Schenectady, N.Y.	10	Beloit, Wis.	20	Spgfld., Mo.	15-25	Colo.Spgs., Colo.	6
Spgfld., Mass.	5-15	Syracuse, N.Y.	20	EauClaire, Wis.	20	Sioux Falls, S.D.	10	Denver, Colo.	5-10
Worcester, Mass.	5-15	Gary, Ind.	25	Green Bay, Wis.	10	Topeka, Kans.	10-15	Albuq., N.M.	10
Providence, R.I.	5	So.Bend, Ind.	15	Madison, Wis.	16	Omaha, Nebr.	14	Everett, Wash.	10
Hartford, Conn.	5	Chicago, Ill.	15-25	Milwaukee, Wis.	5-15	Huntington, W.Va.	10	Seattle, Wash.	12
New Haven, Conn.	5	Rock Island, Ill.	15	Durham, N.C.	10	Lexington, Ky.	15	Spokane, Wash.	10-20
Burlington, Vt.	7	Spgfld., Ill.	15	Sioux City, Ia.	15	Des Moines, Ia.	10		

Table 5.--Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between September and October 1959 (Changes effective October 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	Sept.	Oct.	Sept.	Oct.	Sept.	Oct.	Sept.	Oct.	Sept.	Oct.
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:										
Augusta, Maine b.....	6.49	6.49	25½-27½	27	25½-27	26-28	50-51	51-52	-	-
Portland, Maine b.....	6.49	6.49	25½-27½	28	25½-27½	26-28	50-52	51-53	-	-
Bellows Falls, Vt.....	5.33	Not det.	25	26	24	25	47	49	-	-
Newport, R. I. b.....	6.74	6.73	m28½	m28½	27½	27½	54	54	k108	k106
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
Albany, N.Y.....	6.09	6.28	29	29	26	26	49	49	-	-
Binghamton, N.Y.....	5.97	6.16	28	28	26-29	26-29	49-55	49-55	-	-
New York, N.Y.....	6.43-6.82	6.62-7.01	32	b32½	24-30	b24½-30½	-	-	-	-
Schenectady, N.Y.....	6.08	6.27	29	29	28-29	28-29	51-55	51-55	-	-
Syracuse, N.Y. d.....	5.92	6.11	28	29	25-28	26-29	47-55	49-57	-	-
N'eastern New Jersey..	6.43-6.82	6.62-7.01	30½	31½	29-29½	29-30½	-	-	-	-
Philadelphia, Pa.....	5.55	5.74	28	b29	24½	b25½	48	b50	-	-
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:										
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	4.86	5.00	24	24	24	24	46	46	-	-
Columbus, Ohio.....	4.58	4.70	23½	b24	23	b22-24	39-40	b40-42	-	-
Dayton, Ohio d.....	4.66	4.78	24	25	22-24	23-25	39-44	41-46	60-69	60-71
Evansville, Ind.....	4.41	Not det.	24	24	22-24	22-24	33-44	b33-42	-	-
Fort Wayne, Ind. d.....	4.46	Not det.	22	22	21-22	20-22	36-38	37-39	69-71	69
Indianapolis, Ind. d..	4.74	Not det.	24	24	23	23	40-42	42-44	72	78
South Bend, Ind.....	4.34	4.45	24	24	23-24	23-24	41-43	b39-44	75	75
Springfield, Ill.....	3.70	4.00	24	24	23-24	23-24	39-43	b39-41	-	-
Battle Creek, Mich....	4.70	4.70	22	22	22	22	42	b43	-	-
Detroit, Mich. d.....	4.94	Not det.	24½	25	21-25	22-25	37-48	35-49	-	-
Grand Rapids, Mich....	4.63	b4.74	23	b21-23	20-23	20-23	39-45	b37-45	-	-
Lansing, Mich.....	4.83	b4.70	24½	24½	21½-24½	21½-24½	-	-	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis. b.....	3.96	3.96	24	24	22-24	22-24	36-38	38-40	70-73	70-74
Superior, Wis.....	4.24	4.38	24	24	22-25	22-25	43-48	43-48	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Duluth, Minn.....	4.24	4.38	23	23	22-25	22-25	43-48	43-48	-	-
Burlington, Ia.....	4.00	d4.20	22	22	20-22	b20	39-40	39-40	-	-
Springfield, Mo.....	4.38	4.47	23	d25	22-23	22-23	41-43	d39-41	-	-
Grand Forks, N.D. b...	3.74	3.74	k20	k21	20	21	40	42	k80	k84
Sioux Falls, S.D.....	4.34	4.45	m22	m22	22-23	22-23	43-45	43-45	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	4.70	Not det.	23	23	22-23	22-23	44-46	44-46	75	b80
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
Wilmington, Del.....	5.44	5.64	27	27½	27	27½	47	48	77	-
Charleston, W. Va.....	5.75	5.75	28½	28½	28½	b28	57	b55	89-99	b88-97
Jacksonville, Fla. d..	6.76	6.72	t25-30	t29	25-30	29	33-38	-	74-89	-
Miami, Fla.....	6.62	6.62	28	29-30	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Louisville, Ky.....	4.37	4.48	24	24	23-25	23-25	37-39	d41	-	-
Knoxville, Tenn. d....	5.21	5.19	25	26	25	26	49	49-50	-	-
Memphis, Tenn. d.....	5.40	5.38	24	25	22-24	23-24	38	40-41	-	-
Nashville, Tenn.....	4.99	4.98	21	22	20-21	21-22	39-41	41-43	-	-

(Continued on page 28)

Table 6.--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, October 1959

Market	Whole milk per quart					Cream and mixtures			
	Homo. vit. mineral		High fat milk		Certi-fied to homes	Half & half per pt.		Table cream (½ pt.)	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper		To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:									
Boston, Mass.....	-	-	a31½-32½	-	33	-	-	24-25	23-27
Providence, R. I...	-	-	29½-30½	-	31½-36	-	-	23½-24½	23-23½
Hartford, Conn.....	32	-	g33	-	39	-	-	f25	23
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:									
Buffalo, N. Y.....	-	-	ng33½	-	-	42	39-41	30	28-30
New York City.....	-	-	-	-	41½	32½	-	26	d19½-23½
Rochester, N. Y....	-	-	g29	g28-29	-	34	28	27	24-27
Philadelphia, Pa...	-	-	a31	-	37-38	-	-	29	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	-	-	29	28	-	36	36	28	27-28
E. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Cincinnati, Ohio...	v25	-	-	-	-	32	32	29	28
Cleveland, Ohio....	-	-	27	-	-	f34	29-31	f26	22-24
Indianapolis, Ind..	25	d24	-	-	-	f32	30	24	23
Chicago, Ill.....	31	22½-25	g34	g30-33	38	43-44½	35-39	36½-39	31-35
Detroit, Mich.....	26	24-25	j27	-	-	33	29-32	30	28-32
Milwaukee, Wis.....	-	-	-	-	30-31	f38	34-36	f32	30-32
W. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Minneapolis, Minn..	-	-	f25	21-22	-	32	27-28	24	24
Des Moines, Ia.....	h23	e24	g24	-	-	f31	-	-	-
Kans. C., Mo-Kans..	25	-	-	-	-	33	31	28	23
St. Louis, Mo.....	-	-	-	-	-	f45	-	f34½	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	24	23-24	g24	qg23	-	29-30	28-30	25-27	24-27
SOUTH ATLANTIC:									
Baltimore, Md.....	-	-	g30	-	-	30½	-	30	-
Washington, D. C...	-	-	g28½	-	-	f32-33	28-33	25-26	23-26
Richmond, Va.....	-	-	g29½	g28½	-	33	-	28	-
Charlotte, N. C....	-	-	g28	-	-	f27	27	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	-	-	-	-	h28	h29	e30	24	24½
Miami, Fla.....	30	-	-	-	-	37	-	28	-
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
Louisville, Ky.....	-	-	34	-	-	f33	30-31	f26	25
Nashville, Tenn....	-	-	g25	dg24	-	f29	28-29	-	-
Birmingham, Ala....	-	-	-	-	-	27	27	23	23
Jackson, Miss.....	-	-	g27	-	-	f35	33-35	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
New Orleans, La....	-	-	-	-	-	f34	34-35	f25	25
Oklahoma City.....	28	-	-	-	-	f36	33	f26	25
Dallas, Tex.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	23	-
El Paso, Tex.....	-	-	g29	-	30	f31	-	24	23
MOUNTAIN:									
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	-	-	g24	-	-	f29	29	22	22
Denver, Colo.....	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	f28	25-26
Salt Lake City.....	-	-	gj26	gj25	-	37;qt.69	36;qt.59	-	r54
PACIFIC:									
Seattle, Wash.....	-	-	g26	-	-	29½	28½-29½	f25½	25-25½
Portland, Ore.....	-	-	-	-	-	30	29	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	27	-	g29	-	29½-30½	28½	25	27½	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	n29½	26	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 6.--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, October 1959--Continued

Market	Cream and mixtures		Creamed cottage cheese					
	Whip. cream (½ pt.)		Pound pkg.		12 Oz. pkg.		Other	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	37-40	35-37	42	27-31	-	-	b22	b18
Providence, R. I...	37-38	36½-38	35	-	-	-	b22	-
Hartford, Conn.....	h39	36-39	33-35	32-33	25-29	24	k115	k100
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Buffalo, N. Y.....	38	37-38	31-32	28-29	t34	t31-32	-	-
New York City.....	38½	d32-40	35	-	31	-	b20½	-
Rochester, N. Y....	43	40-42	29	24-31	-	-	-	-
Philadelphia, Pa...	51	-	35	-	-	-	b20	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	40	39-40	29	29	-	-	b18	b18
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Cincinnati, Ohio...	39	38	30-35	35	26-28	28	-	-
Cleveland, Ohio....	f52	44-50	32	26	-	-	st32	s30 st26
Indianapolis, Ind..	43	d41	-	-	25	24	i53 k125	i51 k125
Chicago, Ill.....	45½-48	36-39	38½	29-34	-	-	-	-
Detroit, Mich.....	60	57	29	25-30	-	23	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.....	f36	33-34	-	-	25	25	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Minneapolis, Minn..	30	29	-	-	26	24	i55 u59	i49-55 u55
Des Moines, Ia.....	39	d39	25	-	24	-	i58	-
Kans. C., Mo.-Kans.	35	31	-	-	24	21	i47	i43
St. Louis, Mo.....	f44½	-	34	-	-	-	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	31-35	30-35	30	29	25	24-25	i57	i55-57
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Baltimore, Md.....	47	-	-	-	29	-	i63	-
Washington, D. C...	46-49	43-47	-	-	28	22-28	i57	i49-58
Richmond, Va.....	46	46	p29	p22	27	22	-	-
Charlotte, N. C....	f40	40	-	-	26	26	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	34	34½	-	-	25	25	-	-
Miami, Fla.....	42	-	35	-	27	-	-	-
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	f29	29	32	28	-	-	b18	b17
Nashville, Tenn....	f33	32-33	29	29	-	-	b18	b18
Birmingham, Ala....	31	31	-	-	26	23-26	k100	k100
Jackson, Miss.....	f38	37-38	-	-	-	-	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
New Orleans, La....	f32	32-33	-	-	26	24-25	-	-
Oklahoma City.....	f34	32	25	-	25	23	i51	i49
Dallas, Tex.....	29	30	-	-	24	-	-	-
El Paso, Tex.....	f44	42-44	33	33	26-27	26-28	-	i55-57
MOUNTAIN:								
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	38	38	-	-	23	23	i51	i51
Denver, Colo.....	f49	47	-	-	27	25	-	-
Salt Lake City.....	39	38	32	31	w27	w26	b22 i61	b22 i57
PACIFIC:								
Seattle, Wash.....	f32½	30½-32½	-	30	32	32	i61	i61
Portland, Ore.....	35-38	34-36	35	32-35	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	40	-	33	-	-	-	b20	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

a. Boston: g33½¢; Philadelphia: Golden Guernsey and Vitamin D Grade A, 1¢ higher; Homo. vit. D Golden Guernsey 2¢ higher. b. 8-ounce package. d. In glass. e. Glass 1¢ lower. f. In paper. g. Guernsey. h. Paper 1¢ higher. i. 2-pound package. j. Jersey. k. 5-pound package. n. Includes following service charge for first unit only, each single delivery; Buffalo: 5¢, San Francisco; 3¢. p. 3 and 5-pound package. q. Glass 1¢ higher. r. Pint price. s. 14½-ounce package. t. Whipped cream type. u. 36-ounce package. v. Multi-vitamin. w. Low fat.

Table 7.--MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, AUGUST 1959

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Basic price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England:							
Boston, 201-210 mile zone	3.7	4.97	8.0	6/ 4.81	---	---	6/ 5.69
Southeastern New England	3.7	6.29	8.0	6.13	---	---	6.30
Springfield, Mass.	3.7	5.86	8.0	5.70	---	---	6.23
Worcester, Mass.	3.7	5.94	8.0	5.78	---	---	6.23
Connecticut	3.7	5/ 6.25	8.0	6.09	---	---	6.23
Middle Atlantic:							
New York-N.J., 201-210 mile zone	3.5	4.69	5.6	4.69	---	---	5.70
Philadelphia, Pa.	3.7	* 5.01	7.0	4.87	---	---	5.55
E. North Central:							
Northeastern Ohio	3.5	4.37	7.6	4.37	---	---	4.73
Cincinnati, Ohio	3.5	4.06	7.6	4.06	---	---	4.61
Columbus, Ohio	3.5	4.37	7.6	4.37	---	---	4.48
Dayton-Spfld., Ohio, Grade A	3.5	4.16	7.2	4.16	---	---	4.47
North Central Ohio	3.5	* 4.32	7.7	4.32	---	---	4.39
Toledo, Ohio	3.5	* 4.50	7.0	4.50	---	---	4.65
Fort Wayne, Ind.	3.5	3.74	6.9	3.74	---	---	4.25
South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart, Ind.	3.5	4.03	7.2	4.03	---	---	4.25
Chicago, Grade A 55-70 mile zone	3.5	3.50	7.2	3.50	---	---	4/ 3.79
Quad Cities, Ill.-Iowa	3.5	3.67	7.1	3.67	---	---	4/ 3.99
Rockford-Freeport, Ill., Grade A	3.5	3.77	7.2	3.77	---	---	1/ 3.81
Detroit, Mich.	3.5	3.85	7.0	3.85	3.93	3.06	4/ 4.30
Michigan Upper Peninsula	3.5	* 3.81	7.2	3.81	---	---	4.28
Muskegon, Mich.	3.5	4.29	7.0	4.29	4.38	2.90	4.40
Upstate Michigan	3.5	4.37	7.0	4.37	---	---	4.45
Milwaukee, Wis.	3.5	* 3.66	7.2	3.66	---	---	4/ 3.75
Northeastern Wisconsin	3.5	3.75	7.2	3.75	---	---	3.87
W. North Central:							
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis.	3.5	3.68	7.2	3.68	---	---	4.02
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	3.5	3.80	7.4	3.80	---	---	4.05
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	3.5	3.82	6.9	3.82	---	---	3.94
Des Moines, Iowa	3.5	3.93	6.9	3.93	---	---	4.14
Dubuque, Iowa	3.5	3.52	6.8	3.52	---	---	3.89
Sioux City, Iowa	3.5	4.35	7.0	4.35	---	---	4.44
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	3.8	4.18	6.4	3.99	---	---	4.39
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	4.0	4.11	7.2	3.75	---	---	4.44
St. Louis, Mo.	3.5	3.98	6.9	3.98	---	---	4.25
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.	3.5	3.64	6.9	3.64	---	---	3.98
Black Hills, S. D.	3.5	4.62	7.0	4.62	---	---	5.08
Eastern South Dakota	3.5	4.04	6.9	4.04	---	---	4.33
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	3.5	3.84	7.0	3.84	---	---	4.22
Omaha-Lin.-Coun. Bluffs, Nebr.-Ia.	3.8	4.57	7.0	4.36	---	---	4/ 4.42
Southwest Kansas	3.8	5/ 4.89	7.2	4.67	---	---	4.68
Wichita, Kansas	3.8	4.17	7.0	3.96	4.34	3.14	4.43
Platte Valley, Nebr.	3.5	3.89	8.4	3.89	---	---	4.42
North Central Iowa	3.5	* 3.89	7.0	3.89	---	---	3.94
South Atlantic:							
Wilmington, Del.	4.0	* 5.50	5.5	5.23	---	---	5.44
Washington, D.C.	3.5	4.71	8.0	4.71	---	---	4/ 5.55
Clarksburg, W.Va.	3.5	4.75	7.4	4.75	---	---	5.12
Tri-State area, Ky.-Ohio-W.Va.	3.5	* 4.45	7.2	4.45	---	---	2/ 4.82
Bluefield, W.Va.-Va.	4.0	* 5.22	6.9	4.88	---	---	5.10
Wheeling, W.Va.	3.5	4.50	7.4	4.50	---	---	4.82
Southeastern Florida	4.0	6.79	7.5	6.42	6.92	4.50	6.63
E. South Central:							
Louisville, Ky.	3.8	4.06	6.5	3.87	---	---	4.26
Paducah, Ky.	3.5	4.44	6.5	4.44	---	---	4.53
Appalachian, Tenn., Va. & Ky.	4.0	* 5.23	6.9	4.89	---	---	5.10
Chattanooga, Tenn.	4.0	4.58	7.4	4.21	---	---	4/ 4.73
Knoxville, Tenn.	4.0	4.55	7.2	4.19	4.78	3.16	4/ 4.51
Memphis, Tenn.	4.0	* 5.19	6.5	4.87	5.43	3.95	5.17
Nashville, Tenn.	4.0	* 4.25	7.2	3.89	---	---	4/ 4.21
Central Mississippi	4.0	5.03	7.0	4.68	---	---	5.31
Mississippi Delta	4.0	4.78	6.9	4.44	---	---	5.15
Mississippi Gulf Coast	4.0	5.46	6.9	5.12	---	---	5.41

Table 7. --MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, AUGUST 1959--CONTINUED

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
W. South Central:							
Fort Smith, Ark.	4.0	* 4.57	7.2	4.21	---	---	4.84
Central Arkansas	4.0	5.06	7.0	4.71	---	---	4/ 5.17
New Orleans, La., 61-70 mile zone	4.0	5.11	6.9	4.76	5.28	3.18	4/ 5.38
Northern Louisiana	4.0	* 5.55	6.9	5.20	---	---	5.40
Oklahoma Metropolitan Area	4.0	4.63	7.2	4.27	---	---	4/ 4.84
Red River Valley, Okla.-Texas	4.0	5.08	7.2	4.72	---	---	4.99
Austin-Waco, Texas, Zone 1	4.0	* 5.49	6.6	5.16	---	---	3/ 5.35
Central-West Texas, Abilene zone	4.0	5.14	7.2	4.78	---	---	5.21
Corpus Christi, Texas	4.0	*5.85	7.0	5.50	---	---	5.60
North Texas	4.0	4.71	7.0	4.36	---	---	4.96
San Antonio, Texas	4.0	5.58	6.6	5.25	---	---	5.38
Texas Panhandle	4.0	5.25	7.0	4.90	---	---	5.15
Mountain:							
Colorado Springs-Pueblo, Colo.	3.5	4.77	8.0	4.77	---	---	5.13
Western Colorado	3.5	*4.72	7.7	4.72	---	---	4.98
Central Arizona	3.8	5.19	7.6	4.96	---	---	5.51
Pacific:							
Puget Sound, Wash.	4.0	4.29	7.3	3.93	4.41	3.55	4/ 4.64
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	4.0	4.79	7.5	4.42	4.95	3.30	4.88

* Individual-handler pool. Weighted average of handlers' prices.
1/ Freeport plants: Class I $3.77.
2/ Huntington plants.
3/ Austin 7.5¢ and Waco 22.5¢ less, respectively.
4/ Negotiated price (does not include bulk-tank pickup premiums):

Chicago	$4.00	Milwaukee	$3.96	Chattanooga	$4.90	Little Rock	$5.36
Rock Island	4.14	Omaha-Lin.	$ 4.67 (July $4.60)	Knoxville	4.88	New Orleans	5.65
Detroit	4.83	Washington, D.C.	5.98	Nashville	4.60	Oklahoma City	5.18
						Puget Sound	5.06

5/ Includes fall incentive payments, Connecticut 15¢, Southwest Kansas 51¢.
6/ July Class I $5.26; blend $4.13.

Table 8. --NET PRICES PAID MEMBERS OF PRODUCERS' ASSOCIATIONS, AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 1959 1/

City	Net blended price paid for 3.5% milk per cwt. 2/	Month	Dealers' buying price for Class I milk per cwt.
	Dollars		Dollars
Pittsburgh, Pa.	4.22	August	5.93
Evansville, Ind.	3.88	August	4.30
Baltimore, Md.	4.52	September	5.56
Richmond, Va.	4.88	August	6.10
South Carolina	3/ 5.66	August	6.15
Denver, Colo.	--	September	5.67
Salt Lake City, Utah	4.11	August	5.56

1/ Prices usually specified as follows:
Class I -Milk for fluid consumption.
Class II -Milk from which cream is obtained for fluid consumption
Class III and IV -Milk used in manufactured dairy products.

2/ F.O.B. CITY unless indicated otherwise.
3/ Average blend price paid by all plants in the State.

SALES OF MILK AND CREAM, JULY 1959, AND RECEIPTS FROM PRODUCERS, AUGUST 1959, IN CERTAIN FEDERAL ORDER AND STATE MARKETING AREAS

July whole milk sales 4 percent above a year earlier

Average daily sales of whole milk in 50 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas this July were down 2 percent from the previous month, but were up 4 percent from July 1958. These totals include Connecticut which was not carried last month; also Merrimack Valley, Mass. sales data were merged with Boston as of July 1, 1959. Forty-eight of the 53 marketing areas reported higher sales than last July. Compared with June, sales were lower in 27 areas, about the same in 9 areas, and higher in 17. Cumulative daily whole milk sales for 52 of the 53 marketing areas (Connecticut excluded) in the first 7 months of 1959 were 2 percent larger than the total for the same period last year. Cumulative sales were up in 41 areas, at about the same level in 8, and down in the other 3. Fat tests of whole milk sold during July averaged 3.55 percent--the same as for June, but slightly below the July average a year earlier.

Sales of skim milk products continue higher than 1958

Skim milk product sales (average daily basis) in 53 marketing areas during July declined 4 percent from June, but were 9 percent above July sales last year. Increases from July 1958 occurred in 49 of the 53 areas. Compared with June, sales were lower in 43 areas, about the same in 3, and higher in 7. Cumulative daily sales of skim milk products in 52 of the 53 areas (Connecticut excepted) for the first 7 months of 1959 were 8 percent larger than the January-July total a year earlier. The average fat test of all skim milk items for July was 1.09 percent. This was about the same as for both the previous month and July a year ago.

Gain of 6 percent shown for half-and-half sales

Milk and cream mixture sales (half-and-half in most markets) on an average daily basis in 52 marketing areas (Connecticut excluded) during July were off 4 percent from the preceding month, but were 6 percent larger than in July last year. Sales in the Chicago market, which account for about a third of all half-and-half sales in the 52 markets, were down 5 percent from June, but were about the same as for July 1958. Cumulative daily sales for the first 7 months of this year were up 4 percent from the January-July total in 1958. Fat tests of milk and cream mixtures during July averaged 11.7 percent, about the same as both June of this year and July of last year.

Fluid cream sales about the same as last July

Average daily sales of fluid cream items in 46 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas (the 3 Mass. markets and Connecticut excluded) declined 8 percent from June, but were about the same as the July sales last year. Of the larger markets, sales were higher than last July in: Chicago, 4 percent; Minneapolis-St. Paul, 1 percent; Southeastern Florida, 14 percent; and Puget Sound, 21 percent. Sl. Louis and Milwaukee sales were about the same. Philadelphia sales were down 5 percent, and Cleveland and Detroit each 4 percent. Total sales from January through July this year were 3 percent below the total for the same period last year. July fat tests of all fluid cream sales averaged 22.3 percent. This was down 0.5 percent from June, but was about the same as in July 1958.

Total product sales 4 percent larger

Daily sales of all fluid items (product pound basis) in the 52 marketing areas this July were down 2 percent from a month earlier, but were 4 percent above the July total last year. Total product sales were up from July 1958

(Continued on page 27)

Table 9.--WHOLE MILK: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for July 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ July 1958		3/ June 1959		3/ July 1959		4/ July 1959 as %		Jan.-July 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	July 1958	June 1958	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass. 8/	2,211	3.79	2,325	-	2,195	-	99	94	99
Springfield, Mass	400	3.65	429	-	408	-	102	95	100
Worcester, Mass	263	3.69	281	-	268	-	102	96	100
Connecticut 5/	1,998	-	2,100	3.68	2,092	3.65	105	100	-
Niagara Frontier -S	941	3.56	982	3.57	979	3.55	104	100	101
Rochester, N. Y. -S	405	3.56	426	3.54	417	3.54	103	98	100
Philadelphia, Pa	1,909	3.71	2,087	3.70	1,934	3.69	101	93	101
Akron-Stark County, Ohio	690	3.50	704	3.51	694	3.50	100	98	99
Cincinnati, Ohio	541	3.47	616	3.52	604	3.52	112	98	105
Cleveland, Ohio	1,705	3.55	1,782	3.54	1,769	3.54	104	99	101
Columbus, Ohio	476	3.52	528	3.54	517	3.51	109	98	104
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	524	3.55	577	3.52	574	3.52	110	100	106
Toledo, Ohio	484	3.51	498	3.52	493	3.51	102	99	101
Fort Wayne, Ind	111	3.53	120	3.53	119	3.55	107	99	103
Chicago, Ill	4,689	3.49	5,041	3.48	4,874	3.49	104	97	101
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia	186	3.42	202	3.44	201	3.46	108	100	103
Detroit, Mich	2,957	3.52	3,150	3.52	3,044	3.52	103	97	100
Milwaukee, Wis	815	3.51	863	3.48	857	3.50	105	99	100
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,038	3.53	1,091	3.51	1,067	3.50	103	98	102
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	102	3.31	111	3.33	111	3.35	109	100	102
Sioux City, Iowa	73	3.42	75	3.43	76	3.43	104	100	104
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans	893	3.47	908	3.44	881	3.46	99	97	100
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo	182	3.53	184	3.50	187	3.51	103	102	100
St. Louis, Mo	1,026	3.37	1,060	3.37	1,029	3.36	100	97	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	59	3.37	62	3.34	63	3.34	106	102	104
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	369	3.56	397	3.53	389	3.53	106	98	106
Southwest Kansas	97	3.39	103	3.39	100	3.39	103	97	108
Wichita, Kansas	228	3.28	236	3.30	242	3.30	106	102	100
Wilmington, Del	212	3.62	234	3.58	224	3.59	106	96	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va	66	3.72	69	3.73	66	3.71	101	97	102
Clarksburg, W. Va	143	3.68	151	3.65	152	3.64	106	100	104
Wheeling, W. Va	286	3.58	311	3.56	301	3.56	105	97	102
South Carolina-S 6/	716	-	740	-	750	-	105	101	103
Southeastern Florida	853	3.80	878	3.75	888	3.74	104	101	105
Louisville, Ky	519	3.68	553	3.66	550	3.66	106	100	104
Paducah, Ky	70	3.64	71	3.63	76	3.63	109	107	102
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	147	3.67	157	3.64	154	3.64	105	98	105
Chattanooga, Tenn	182	3.97	189	3.95	193	3.94	106	102	102
Knoxville, Tenn	177	3.68	190	3.67	188	3.67	106	99	103
Memphis, Tenn	322	3.74	338	3.71	341	3.70	106	101	105
Nashville, Tenn	253	3.59	266	3.58	271	3.58	107	102	107
Central Mississippi	259	3.98	284	3.94	285	3.92	110	101	109
Central Arkansas	250	3.73	271	3.71	270	3.72	108	100	108
New Orleans, La	537	3.91	559	3.89	555	3.90	104	99	101
Oklahoma Metropolitan	681	3.65	676	3.64	695	3.64	102	103	102
Austin-Waco, Texas	369	3.59	374	3.51	381	3.55	103	102	106
Corpus Christi, Texas	347	3.55	343	3.62	355	3.61	102	104	103
North Texas	1,161	3.64	1,175	3.52	1,198	3.52	103	102	102
San Antonio, Texas	415	3.52	428	3.46	441	3.53	106	103	107
Texas Panhandle	187	3.50	202	3.53	209	3.53	112	103	106
Central Arizona	642	3.54	713	3.52	686	3.57	107	96	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	233	3.63	224	3.62	234	3.62	100	104	101
Puget Sound, Wash	1,137	3.54	1,153	3.54	1,144	3.54	101	99	103
Total (53 markets) 4/	35,534	7/3.56	37,490	7/3.55	36,792	7/3.55	103.5	98.1	9/101.9

1/ Quantity includes plain and flavored whole milk with milkfat content meeting minimum standards sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales include creamed buttermilk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959, all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, Connecticut, and South Carolina for purposes of comparison. 8/ Boston and Merrimack Valley merged July 1, 1959. 9/ Excludes Connecticut.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 10.--SKIM MILK PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for July 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ July 1958		3/ June 1959		3/ July 1959		4/ July 1959 as %		Jan.-July 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	July 1958	June 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass 8/.....	84.2	1.01	90.9	-	86.6	-	103	95	104
Springfield, Mass.....	19.8	.62	20.7	-	19.9	-	100	96	107
Worcester, Mass.....	10.6	.64	11.4	-	11.3	-	106	98	104
Connecticut 5/.....	106.7	-	102.0	.57	89.0	.59	83	87	-
Niagara Frontier -S.....	30.5	.75	31.5	.76	34.3	.75	112	109	107
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	41.8	.58	45.4	.51	42.6	.57	102	94	101
Philadelphia, Pa.....	112.8	.95	133.6	.87	118.9	.86	105	89	107
Akron-Stark County, Ohio...	77.8	1.63	94.6	1.66	94.2	1.67	121	100	120
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	66.1	1.46	70.8	1.60	69.5	1.61	105	98	104
Cleveland, Ohio.....	112.2	104	123.4	1.00	120.0	.98	107	97	109
Columbus, Ohio.....	42.2	1.02	49.3	.96	48.3	1.04	115	98	107
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio...	60.3	1.53	62.8	1.52	60.8	1.54	101	97	104
Toledo, Ohio.....	22.4	.61	26.4	.76	25.8	.77	115	98	115
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	10.2	1.36	12.7	1.40	14.0	1.47	137	110	110
Chicago, Ill.....	368.1	1.39	448.2	1.40	418.7	1.37	114	93	111
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	40.6	1.57	42.4	1.45	42.3	1.43	104	100	104
Detroit, Mich.....	151.3	.86	174.3	.82	167.1	.83	110	96	107
Milwaukee, Wis.....	72.6	1.06	90.2	1.07	87.6	1.07	121	97	122
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	99.9	.90	135.8	.82	133.8	.82	134	98	128
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	18.9	1.10	20.6	1.09	20.1	1.08	106	98	113
Sioux City, Ia.....	10.0	1.01	10.9	.97	10.4	.98	104	96	97
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	67.0	1.08	92.9	1.21	87.1	1.22	130	94	148
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo....	10.0	.95	12.0	1.02	11.5	1.04	115	96	115
St. Louis, Mo.....	104.7	1.55	112.5	1.59	106.3	1.56	102	94	100
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	10.6	1.67	11.5	1.52	11.5	1.58	108	99	108
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	41.5	.63	44.7	.59	42.7	.58	103	96	102
Southwest Kansas.....	3.6	1.18	4.1	1.00	3.7	1.00	102	90	116
Wichita, Kans.....	11.6	.51	13.0	.57	12.7	.58	110	98	93
Wilmington, Del.....	11.0	.94	12.3	.93	11.3	.87	103	92	102
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	7.7	.33	7.9	.71	7.8	.68	102	99	95
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	8.3	1.03	10.0	1.22	9.7	1.19	117	97	103
Wheeling, W. Va.....	12.6	1.02	14.7	.96	14.0	1.00	111	95	102
South Carolina -S 6/.....	140.2	-	156.7	-	152.1	-	109	97	103
Southeastern Florida.....	95.9	.70	97.6	.75	98.3	.73	102	101	104
Louisville, Ky.....	57.1	1.19	59.8	1.29	59.3	1.25	104	99	106
Paducah, Ky.....	10.2	.97	12.6	1.14	11.1	.97	108	88	128
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	26.2	.67	29.7	.77	28.6	.80	109	96	111
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	33.7	.69	36.8	.71	37.0	.77	110	101	100
Knoxville, Tenn.....	35.7	.78	40.7	.88	40.5	.89	113	99	106
Memphis, Tenn.....	40.4	.56	45.0	.55	43.0	.58	106	96	102
Nashville, Tenn.....	50.8	.66	52.4	.67	51.8	.67	102	99	100
Central Mississippi.....	30.4	1.13	36.6	1.07	34.8	1.07	114	95	110
Central Arkansas.....	37.0	1.30	40.3	1.24	39.1	1.29	106	97	104
New Orleans, La.....	30.4	.99	35.3	1.22	34.1	1.21	112	96	108
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	50.0	1.07	54.3	1.08	52.6	1.21	105	97	104
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	23.5	.90	26.7	1.01	25.7	2.01	109	96	98
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	20.0	1.30	19.8	1.24	20.1	1.28	101	102	102
North Texas.....	98.6	1.07	95.1	1.01	95.9	.76	97	101	95
San Antonio, Texas.....	24.4	1.37	26.0	1.51	23.8	1.35	98	92	102
Texas Panhandle.....	13.9	1.43	15.3	1.36	14.7	1.37	106	96	104
Central Arizona.....	63.6	.96	73.2	1.00	71.6	1.01	112	98	109
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	21.6	.43	23.5	.50	24.1	.55	112	103	110
Puget Sound, Wash.....	120.4	.83	126.3	.89	126.6	.91	105	100	114
Total (53 markets) 4/	2,871.8	7/ 1.08	3,237.1	7/1.09	3,118.5	7/1.09	108.6	96.3	9/108.4

1/ Quantity includes plain and fortified skim and part-skim milk, buttermilk and cultured specialties, and flavored milk drinks sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales exclude creamed buttermilk but include some flavored whole milk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State Control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959 all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, Connecticut, and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison. 8/ Boston and Merrimack Valley merged July 1, 1959. 9/ Excludes Connecticut.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 11.--MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for July 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ July 1958		3/ June 1959		3/ July 1959		4/July 1959 as %		Jan.-July 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	July 1958	June 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England 5/.....	2.3	11.3	2.2	-	1.9	-	82	85	88
Niagara Frontier -S.....	2.5	10.5	8.4	10.8	7.9	10.8	312	94	110
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	2.7	10.6	3.4	10.6	3.1	10.6	116	91	112
Philadelphia, Pa.....	6.4	11.1	10.5	11.6	9.7	11.5	151	92	152
Akron-Stark County, Ohio..	11.8	11.3	13.3	11.3	12.8	11.3	109	97	106
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	6.9	11.3	8.3	11.4	7.7	11.2	112	93	115
Cleveland, Ohio.....	19.2	11.3	24.1	11.4	22.4	11.4	117	93	114
Columbus, Ohio.....	8.6	11.4	9.9	11.4	9.2	11.6	107	93	108
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	9.0	11.8	10.1	11.7	9.6	11.7	107	96	107
Toledo, Ohio.....	13.7	10.5	14.4	10.9	13.8	10.9	100	96	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	2.2	12.3	2.5	12.1	2.0	12.1	90	80	106
Chicago, Ill.....	198.1	12.1	209.5	12.0	199.2	12.0	100	95	99
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	8.2	12.2	8.4	12.2	7.9	12.3	96	94	95
Detroit, Mich.....	58.6	10.6	68.6	10.6	64.9	10.6	111	95	108
Milwaukee, Wis.....	15.9	12.6	17.1	12.5	18.0	12.5	113	105	108
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn.	31.3	11.8	30.4	12.0	31.5	12.0	101	104	101
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City,Ia.	4.3	12.0	4.6	12.2	4.4	12.1	101	95	97
Sioux City, Ia.....	3.3	11.5	3.0	11.5	3.0	11.5	93	100	94
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	15.3	12.0	17.4	11.8	16.0	11.9	104	92	110
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	5.5	12.2	5.7	12.2	5.6	12.3	102	97	100
St. Louis, Mo.....	15.4	11.7	17.3	12.0	16.1	11.8	105	94	108
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	2.1	13.1	2.0	12.7	2.1	12.7	96	101	99
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	13.8	11.8	14.6	11.9	13.5	11.8	97	92	101
Southwest Kansas.....	2.6	12.1	2.7	11.7	2.5	11.6	98	94	103
Wichita, Kansas.....	5.1	11.4	5.5	11.5	5.6	11.3	109	101	104
Wilmington, Del.....	.7	11.4	1.0	11.0	.9	11.2	140	93	158
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	.2	12.0	.2	12.0	.2	12.0	116	115	110
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	1.7	12.0	2.0	11.8	1.9	11.8	108	94	105
Wheeling, W. Va.....	2.1	11.2	2.5	11.1	2.3	11.8	110	92	112
South Carolina -S 6/.....	3.2	-	3.5	-	3.4	-	105	96	131
Southeastern Florida.....	15.7	9.6	19.1	9.4	18.9	9.3	120	99	117
Louisville, Ky.....	5.3	11.9	6.0	12.1	5.7	11.9	108	94	104
Paducah, Ky.....	.4	12.2	.4	13.1	.5	13.0	117	113	111
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	.4	13.1	.4	13.2	.5	13.2	119	104	108
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	.1	12.0	.4	12.0	.4	12.0	579	114	490
Knoxville, Tenn.....	1.3	12.4	1.4	12.7	1.4	12.5	108	99	105
Memphis, Tenn.....	2.2	12.0	2.7	12.0	2.7	12.0	122	102	116
Nashville, Tenn.....	3.1	12.1	3.2	12.2	3.3	12.3	104	102	100
Central Mississippi.....	3.4	12.4	4.0	13.0	4.0	13.0	120	101	114
Central Arkansas.....	3.5	11.8	4.0	12.0	3.9	12.0	112	99	109
New Orleans, La.....	4.1	12.3	4.8	12.2	4.7	12.2	116	98	112
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	11.3	12.0	12.1	11.9	12.3	11.9	108	102	106
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	5.4	12.5	5.9	12.4	5.8	12.4	106	98	106
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	5.6	12.1	5.5	12.4	5.6	12.2	98	100	100
North Texas.....	19.0	12.2	20.6	12.1	20.4	12.2	108	99	106
San Antonio, Texas.....	7.1	12.2	7.2	12.2	7.2	12.1	102	100	100
Texas Panhandle.....	3.1	13.2	3.3	12.5	3.4	12.7	108	101	105
Central Arizona.....	11.1	11.6	12.9	11.6	11.9	11.8	107	92	106
Inland Empire,Wash.-Idaho.	5.9	12.2	5.6	12.3	5.6	12.2	95	99	100
Puget Sound, Wash.....	31.8	11.7	32.9	11.6	33.6	11.7	106	102	104
Total (52 markets) 4/	610.2	7/11.7	673.3	7/11.7	648.7	7/11.7	106.3	96.4	104.5

1/ Quantity includes products such as milk and cream mixtures with milkfat content higher than whole milk and lower than the minimum for fluid cream; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. 5/ Combined for Boston, Springfield and Worcester. S- Under State control. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all New England markets and South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 12.--FLUID CREAM PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for July 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ July 1958		3/ June 1959		3/ July 1959		4/July 1959 as %		Jan.-July 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	July 1958	June 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Niagara Frontier -S.....	14.6	23.7	13.4	24.3	11.7	23.7	80	88	98
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	4.9	24.3	5.6	25.2	4.7	24.7	96	84	99
Philadelphia, Pa.....	34.4	21.7	38.2	22.4	32.8	21.9	95	86	91
Akron-Stark County, Ohio..	5.3	21.2	6.1	22.2	5.5	21.1	103	90	101
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	6.3	20.5	6.4	21.2	5.9	20.8	94	91	93
Cleveland, Ohio.....	30.1	19.4	30.9	19.8	28.8	19.3	96	93	95
Columbus, Ohio.....	5.4	20.0	6.2	20.4	5.8	19.8	107	93	103
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	4.1	21.8	4.6	22.3	4.2	21.7	103	92	101
Toledo, Ohio.....	2.7	23.5	3.6	26.0	3.3	25.6	123	93	94
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	.8	22.8	.9	23.7	.7	24.0	88	81	94
Chicago, Ill.....	53.3	21.0	59.4	21.2	55.5	20.8	104	93	99
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	.8	27.4	1.5	24.4	1.4	24.1	174	92	145
Detroit, Mich.....	44.5	19.4	46.1	19.9	42.7	19.5	96	93	92
Milwaukee, Wis.....	11.3	24.5	12.1	25.0	11.4	24.4	100	94	96
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn.	15.7	27.5	16.0	28.0	15.8	27.7	101	98	96
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia	.4	28.6	.5	27.2	.4	27.8	120	91	108
Sioux City, Ia.....	.3	28.1	.4	28.4	.3	28.1	120	94	104
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	7.9	22.6	8.2	23.3	7.5	22.8	95	92	98
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	.5	31.3	.6	31.0	.5	30.7	102	91	102
St. Louis, Mo.....	11.4	19.1	12.6	19.6	11.4	18.5	100	90	98
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	.4	34.1	.5	32.9	.4	32.8	100	94	99
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr-Ia	3.0	23.9	3.0	24.7	2.8	24.1	94	92	96
Southwest Kansas.....	.2	33.8	.3	33.1	.2	31.3	109	92	100
Wichita, Kans.....	1.3	22.4	1.2	23.5	1.2	23.5	90	100	84
Wilmington, Del.....	3.3	22.6	3.5	23.4	3.2	22.8	99	91	94
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	.2	26.8	.2	26.9	.2	26.0	95	86	95
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	.4	24.4	.5	22.7	.4	22.6	93	87	98
Wheeling, W. Va.....	2.1	20.8	2.3	21.3	2.1	20.9	101	91	97
South Carolina -S 5/.....	3.1	-	3.7	-	3.5	-	113	95	95
Southeastern Florida.....	18.6	20.4	20.8	22.9	21.1	21.4	114	102	105
Louisville, Ky.....	3.2	23.3	3.4	23.2	3.2	22.8	102	94	103
Paducah, Ky.....	.2	37.4	.2	36.8	.2	35.8	99	100	96
Appalachian,Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	.6	24.7	.6	25.0	.5	24.6	92	88	96
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	1.6	25.2	1.5	25.8	1.4	26.1	91	96	89
Knoxville, Tenn.....	.9	28.4	.9	30.0	1.0	29.2	106	101	98
Memphis, Tenn.....	4.0	21.9	4.3	22.2	4.2	21.9	104	96	101
Nashville, Tenn.....	.9	31.5	1.0	31.2	.9	31.7	95	90	93
Central Mississippi.....	1.4	29.3	1.4	29.4	1.3	29.8	94	95	96
Central Arkansas.....	1.2	28.4	1.2	29.6	1.2	29.6	98	98	97
New Orleans, La.....	4.3	22.4	4.5	22.2	4.4	22.0	103	98	98
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	4.5	25.5	4.5	25.8	4.2	25.3	95	93	94
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	1.9	29.2	2.1	31.2	1.8	30.1	93	86	98
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	.8	29.3	.8	28.6	.8	28.1	106	100	102
North Texas.....	9.0	28.3	9.8	29.1	9.1	28.6	101	94	100
San Antonio, Texas.....	1.7	28.0	1.5	25.9	1.5	25.8	92	101	99
Texas Panhandle.....	.8	28.7	.9	29.6	.9	28.7	113	92	108
Central Arizona.....	2.8	21.9	3.7	21.5	3.1	21.5	112	86	110
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	2.8	27.1	2.8	27.7	2.7	27.8	98	98	92
Puget Sound, Wash.....	13.6	26.8	18.2	27.1	16.5	26.5	121	91	108
Total (49 markets) 4/	343.3	6/22.8	372.4	6/22.8	344.5	6/22.3	100.4	92.5	97.2

1/ Quantity includes sweet and soured cream meeting minimum milkfat standards sold within the marketing area; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ Excludes South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 13.--TOTAL FLUID ITEMS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for July 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ July 1958		3/ June 1959		3/ July 1959		4/July 1959 as %		Jan.-July 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	July 1958	June 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass 7/.....	2,297	3.69	2,417	-	2,282	-	99	94	100
Springfield, Mass.....	421	3.52	450	-	429	-	102	95	100
Worcester, Mass.....	274	3.60	293	-	280	-	102	96	100
Niagara Frontier -S.....	989	3.79	1,035	3.81	1,033	3.74	105	100	101
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	454	3.55	480	3.55	468	3.53	103	97	101
Philadelphia, Pa.....	2,063	3.88	2,269	3.89	2,095	3.86	102	92	102
Akron-Stark County, Ohio...	785	3.55	818	3.56	806	3.53	103	98	101
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	621	3.51	702	3.58	687	3.56	111	98	105
Cleveland, Ohio.....	1,867	3.74	1,960	3.74	1,940	3.71	104	99	101
Columbus, Ohio.....	532	3.61	594	3.63	580	3.59	109	98	104
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio...	597	3.59	655	3.59	649	3.58	109	99	106
Toledo, Ohio.....	523	3.67	542	3.73	536	3.71	102	99	102
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	125	3.64	136	3.62	136	3.57	109	100	104
Chicago, Ill.....	5,309	3.84	5,759	3.81	5,547	3.81	104	96	102
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	236	3.49	254	3.53	253	3.51	107	100	103
Detroit, Mich.....	3,211	3.74	3,439	3.75	3,319	3.73	103	96	100
Milwaukee, Wis.....	915	3.74	983	3.68	974	3.69	106	99	102
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,185	3.85	1,273	3.73	1,248	3.74	105	98	104
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	126	3.35	137	3.38	136	3.37	108	99	103
Sioux City, Iowa.....	86	3.53	90	3.51	89	3.51	104	100	103
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	983	3.59	1,026	3.54	992	3.55	101	97	103
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo....	198	3.71	202	3.68	205	3.68	104	101	101
St. Louis, Mo.....	1,157	3.47	1,202	3.50	1,163	3.46	100	97	100
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D..	72	3.60	76	3.50	77	3.50	106	101	104
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	427	3.68	460	3.65	448	3.63	105	98	105
Southwest Kansas.....	104	3.60	110	3.57	107	3.57	103	97	108
Wichita, Kansas.....	246	3.42	256	3.43	261	3.43	106	102	100
Wilmington, Del.....	227	3.79	250	3.76	239	3.75	105	96	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	74	3.45	77	3.50	75	3.47	101	97	101
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	153	3.68	164	3.65	164	3.63	107	100	104
Wheeling, W. Va.....	303	3.65	331	3.63	319	3.63	105	96	102
South Carolina -S 5/.....	862	-	904	-	909	-	105	100	103
Southeastern Florida.....	983	3.90	1,015	3.97	1,026	3.91	104	101	105
Louisville, Ky.....	584	3.62	622	3.62	619	3.60	106	99	104
Paducah, Ky.....	80	3.43	84	3.39	88	3.42	109	104	105
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	174	3.31	188	3.28	183	3.28	105	98	106
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	217	3.61	228	3.58	232	3.59	107	102	102
Knoxville, Tenn.....	215	3.36	233	3.34	231	3.34	107	99	104
Memphis, Tenn.....	369	3.63	390	3.61	391	3.61	106	100	105
Nashville, Tenn.....	308	3.28	323	3.28	327	3.28	106	101	106
Central Mississippi.....	294	3.90	326	3.83	326	3.83	111	100	109
Central Arkansas.....	292	3.62	316	3.60	314	3.62	108	99	107
New Orleans, La.....	575	3.96	604	3.93	599	3.94	104	99	102
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	747	3.74	747	3.73	764	3.73	102	102	102
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	400	3.68	409	3.62	414	3.70	103	101	105
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	374	3.62	369	3.68	381	3.66	102	103	103
North Texas.....	1,288	3.74	1,300	3.67	1,324	3.62	103	102	102
San Antonio, Texas.....	448	3.63	462	3.56	473	3.63	106	102	106
Texas Panhandle.....	204	3.60	222	3.62	228	3.62	112	103	106
Central Arizona.....	719	3.51	803	3.51	773	3.53	108	96	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho.	263	3.81	256	3.79	266	3.77	101	104	102
Puget Sound, Wash.....	1,302	3.73	1,330	3.81	1,321	3.78	101	99	104
Total (52 markets) 4/	37,363	6/3.72	39,572	6/3.71	38,724	6/3.69	103.6	97.9	102.3

1/ Quantity includes all items shown in prior tables except fluid cream for Massachusetts markets which has been excluded for purposes of comparison; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded.
6/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison.
7/ Boston and Merrimack Valley merged July 1, 1959.
Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, August 1959

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	Aug. 1958 2/	July 1959 3/	Aug. 1959 3/	Aug.1959 as % of 1958	Aug. 1958 2/	July 1959 3/	Aug. 1959 3/	Aug.1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	5,169	5,448	4,865	94	447	501	454	102
Springfield, Mass.....	501	487	461	92	502	525	497	99
Worcester, Mass.....	368	408	390	106	543	572	554	102
Connecticut 4/.....	2,271	2,393	2,364	-	-	789	759	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Niagara Frontier -S.....	1,884	1,893	1,771	94	544	592	556	102
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	823	855	805	98	651	709	671	103
New York-New Jersey.....	24,563	27,027	24,075	98	477	540	483	101
Philadelphia, Pa.....	3,991	4,333	4,418	111	523	567	578	110
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Northeastern Ohio 7/.....	3,930	4,035	3,880	99	395	424	409	104
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	1,191	1,406	1,398	117	319	348	350	110
Columbus, Ohio.....	724	858	818	113	406	491	470	116
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio....	908	998	990	109	424	498	495	117
North Central Ohio.....	501	583	553	110	413	468	448	108
Toledo, Ohio.....	698	765	726	104	471	584	552	117
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	294	306	272	92	338	370	337	100
South Bend-LaP.-Elkhart,Ind.	642	671	653	102	489	555	536	110
Chicago, Ill.....	12,101	13,438	12,077	100	593	693	619	104
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	525	559	495	94	555	656	585	105
Rockford-Freeport, Ill.....	124	145	128	103	667	782	690	103
Detroit, Mich.....	5,157	5,187	5,286	102	447	474	481	108
Muskegon, Mich.....	270	244	251	93	506	543	570	113
Upstate Michigan.....	293	351	347	118	513	578	572	112
Milwaukee, Wis.....	1,466	1,505	1,479	101	700	739	723	103
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis..	410	470	375	92	320	396	321	100
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn..	1,976	2,178	2,011	102	599	678	629	105
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia..	463	539	480	104	633	745	662	105
North Central Iowa.....	578	614	487	94	668	784	666	100
Dubuque, Iowa.....	145	137	136	94	605	766	656	108
Sioux City, Iowa.....	160	158	126	79	454	699	686	151
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	1,377	1,557	1,554	113	413	497	501	121
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.....	326	368	412	126	415	485	514	124
St. Louis,Mo.....	1,885	2,027	1,946	103	510	585	569	112
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.....	548	592	547	100	509	581	533	105
Black Hills, S. D.....	121	128	124	102	864	989	977	113
Eastern South Dakota.....	68	94	94	137	538	683	643	120
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D...	222	255	255	115	677	771	763	113
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia.	636	753	721	113	463	546	527	114
Platte Valley, Nebr.....	191	235	226	118	538	668	641	119
Southwest Kansas.....	140	159	161	115	536	637	647	121
Wichita, Kansas.....	506	598	683	135	646	694	701	108
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Wilmington, Del.....	323	243	243	75	538	744	787	146
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	135	121	129	96	512	500	543	106
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	185	172	183	99	356	340	363	102
Tri-State, Ky.-Ohio-W. Va...	639	643	652	102	331	359	362	109
Wheeling, W. Va.....	379	397	393	104	296	345	327	110
Southeastern Florida.....	895	1,077	1,055	118	9,419	10,354	10,049	107
South Carolina -S 5/.....	867	881	949	109	642	683	739	115

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal Orders, August 1959--Continued

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	Aug. 1958 2/	July 1959 3/	Aug. 1959 3/	Aug. 1959 as % of 1958	Aug. 1958 2/	July 1959 3/	Aug. 1959 3/	Aug. 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.	976	919	1,011	104	503	488	536	107
Paducah, Ky.	138	138	144	104	453	463	452	100
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	373	383	404	108	560	555	588	105
Chattanooga, Tenn.	384	391	411	107	491	536	560	114
Knoxville, Tenn.	451	462	475	105	455	491	504	111
Memphis, Tenn.	467	498	531	114	504	559	590	117
Nashville, Tenn.	641	665	691	108	433	487	500	116
Central Mississippi	490	500	489	100	460	522	507	110
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Fort Smith, Ark.	124	128	136	109	433	511	532	123
Central Arkansas	501	526	560	112	553	612	659	119
New Orleans, La.	864	979	890	103	366	442	405	111
Northern Louisiana	370	383	388	105	642	676	683	106
Oklahoma Metropolitan	1,210	1,306	1,314	109	466	534	534	115
Austin-Waco, Texas	402	342	415	103	829	996	924	112
Central West Texas	426	498	488	115	809	981	969	120
Corpus Christi, Texas	364	435	406	112	911	1,075	1,013	111
North Texas	2,293	2,517	2,407	105	745	863	869	117
San Antonio, Texas	509	613	591	116	1,040	1,188	1,142	110
Texas Panhandle	325	350	363	112	600	687	709	118
MOUNTAIN:								
Central Arizona	920	994	1,094	119	2,035	2,286	2,555	126
PACIFIC:								
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	424	445	423	100	503	570	543	108
Puget Sound, Wash.	2,644	2,842	2,841	107	731	813	814	111
Total (68 markets) 6/	94,533	102,210	96,553	102.1	507	572	541	106.7

1/ Excludes producer-handlers who purchase no milk from other producers in Federal markets.
2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary.
4/ Receipts through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; beginning with April 1959, all data from Federal sources. Receipts data prior to this change are not strictly comparable with later data. Federal data include total receipts from pooled plants; data from the State Milk Administrator exclude inter-plant shipments of out-of-State plants to other out-of-State plants.
5/ Includes plant receipts of Grade A milk from South Carolina producers.
6/ Excludes Connecticut receipts.
7/ Akron-Stark County merged with Cleveland, Ohio August 1, 1959
Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

(Continued from page 20)

in 50 of the 53 markets. During the first 7 months this year, combined sales were 2 percent larger than the January-July total in 1958. The average fat test of all fluid items during July, at 3.69 percent, was down a little from both the previous month and July last year.

August milk receipts increase 2 percent from a year ealier

Receipts of milk (average daily basis) in 66 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas totaled 96.6 million pounds this August--a decrease of 6 percent from July, but a gain of 2 percent from August last year. As of August 1, the Cleveland and Akron-Stark, Ohio, markets were merged. Cumulative average daily receipts for the 69 markets during the first 8 months of 1959 were 3 percent above the January-August total a year ealier. Receipts per producer averaged 541 pounds in August. This was a decline of 31 pounds from the preceding month, but was 34 pounds more than in August last year. Tests of total receipts in 63 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas averaged 3.62 percent this August, the same as in July, but were .02 percent above August 1958.

Milk production continues 1 percent less than the same month in 1958

Production of milk on farms in the United States, estimated at 9.4 billion pounds, declined 9 percent from August and was 1 percent below September last year. This seasonal decline was slightly less than from August to September in 1958. A cumulative production of 96.7 billion pounds in the first 9 months of 1959 was 1 percent less than the same period of 1958. Per capita production, at 1.76 pounds, was 3 percent less than September a year ago and 9 percent less than the 10-year average for the month.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
AGRICULTURAL ESTIMATES DIVISION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

(Continued from page 15)

Table 5.-Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between September and October 1959
(Changes effective October 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	Sept.	Oct.	Sept.	Oct.	Sept.	Oct.	Sept.	Oct.	Sept.	Oct.
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Little Rock, Ark. b...	5.40	5.38	27	27	25	26	48	49	-	-
Tulsa, Okla.....	4.61	4.73	m26	m26	25	25	39	39	66	66
Austin, Texas.....	5.24	5.45	m26	m26	26	26	49	49	87	b83
Dallas, Texas.....	4.92	5.13	24	24	25	b24	48	b46	-	-
MOUNTAIN:										
Colorado Spgs., Colo..	5.20	5.32	25	-	24	-	34	-	69	-
Pueblo, Colo.....	5.20	5.32	25	25	24	24	47	47	-	-

a. Includes all markets in which changes were at least the equivalent of ½ cent per quart to consumers or were 11¢ or more per hundredweight to producers.
b. Effective date not reported.
d. Effective dates:
September 12 - Syracuse - Detroit; Knoxville (Sept. 21 and Oct. 1, consumer)
September 18 - Ft. Wayne (Consumer)
September 14 - Indianapolis
September 16 - Burlington
September 23 - Louisville
September 22 - Memphis
September 24 - Dayton
September 30 - Springfield, Mo.
October 5 - Jacksonville
j. Glass 1¢ lower.
k. In paper.
m. Paper 1¢ higher.
t. Homogenized.

LIBRARY
CURRENT SERIAL RECORD
NOV 30 1959
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FLUID MILK AND CREAM REPORT
NOVEMBER 1959

November 17, 1959

SUMMARY

Early November fluid milk prices in the United States averaged about the same as for October at both producer and consumer levels, the Crop Reporting Board reports. Prices of milk for fluid use were up from the previous month in 5 markets and down in 10. About the same number of price increases and decreases were reported for home delivery and store prices this month. Producer prices are not currently determined in a number of markets, but will be shown next month.

Prices paid by dealers for fluid use milk in about 160 markets averaged $5.64 per hundredweight (3.5 percent fat basis) in early November -- an increase of only 1 cent from October, but a gain of 6 cents from the November average price a year ago. The dealer buying price this month was 8 cents per hundredweight higher than the 1953-57 November average. Compared with the preceding month, prices were up in only 4 of the 9 regions. The increases varied from 1 cent per hundredweight in the New England and Pacific States to 4 cents in both the Middle Atlantic and the West South Central. Dealer buying prices were unchanged in the South Atlantic region. Decreases from early October ranged from 1 cent per hundredweight in both the East and West North Central areas to 10 cents in the Mountain States.

Home delivered single quart prices of milk in 25 major cities throughout the United States averaged 26.0 cents in early November. This was unchanged from a month earlier, with no changes reported in any of the 25 cities. However, the home delivery price this November was 0.4 cent above the November price in 1958 and was 2.0 cents higher than the 1953-57 average for the month.

Average daily whole milk sales in 49 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas during August were 2 percent larger than a year earlier. Preliminary whole milk sales data for September indicated increases of 11 percent from August this year and 1 percent from September last year. Skim milk sales in August were 10 percent above the same month in 1958. Sales of milk and cream mixtures (half-and-half) during August were up 2 percent from the same month last year, while fluid cream sales were off 3 percent. A gain of 2 percent from the previous year was shown for total fluid product sales during August in 48 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas. Average daily receipts for September in 65 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas were 4 percent above September receipts in 1958. Receipts per producer in these 68 markets increased 9 percent from September a year ago.

SPECIAL IN THIS ISSUE

Bulk wholesale prices.....Page 3
Preliminary September whole milk sales...Pages 20, 21

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service — Crop Reporting Board
Da 1-3 (11-59) — Washington, D.C.

PRICES IN FLUID MILK MARKETS, NOVEMBER 1959

Dealers throughout the New England States paid an average price of $6.67 per hundredweight for Class I milk (3.5 percent fat basis) in early November. This was an advance of 1 cent from October and was 24 cents higher than for November a year ago. No significant changes from the previous month was indicated for either producer or consumer prices by individual markets in this region.

Class I prices in the Middle Atlantic area averaged $6.58 per hundredweight in early November--up 4 cents from the previous month and 8 cents above November last year. Single quarts out-of-stores decreased one-half cent from October in New York City, while dealer buying prices held about steady. Both home and store prices were up about one-half cent per quart in Atlantic City and Camden, New Jersey. Dealer prices in these markets were unchanged from early October.

In the East North Central region, prices of milk for fluid use in early November averaged $4.46 per hundredweight. This was a decline of 1 cent from October, but a rise of 9 cents from the November price in 1958. Milk delivered to homes increased 2 cents per quart from the preceding month in Cincinnati, although dealer buying prices were off 10 cents per hundredweight. Store gallons were down from 2 to 4 cents per unit in Dayton, where dealer prices decreased 9 cents per hundredweight. Half-gallons in stores increased from 2 to 6 cents per unit in Evansville due to curtailment of most week-end specials. Some increases were reported for store quarts and gallons in Fort Wayne, but half-gallons were down slightly. Dealer buying prices in Evansville and Fort Wayne are not currently determined. Store prices dropped about 1 cent per quart in Springfield, Ill. this month, but dealer prices were steady. Buying prices were 24 cents per hundredweight higher in Kalamazoo, with corresponding increases of 1 cent per quart in home and store prices. Retail prices changed slightly in Grand Rapids. In Eau Claire, Wis. where dealer prices are not currently determined, single quarts in stores were off 1 to 2 cents.

The early November average Class I price paid by dealers in the West North Central States, at $4.45 per hundredweight, was 1 cent less than a month earlier, but 3 cents more than in November a year ago. Some weakness was shown in single quart prices out-of-stores in Burlington, Iowa. Producer prices in this market were at about the same level as a month earlier. Prices of milk delivered to homes were down, but store prices were up again in Springfield, Mo. after an easing of price-war conditions which prevailed in this market last month. Dealer prices were down slightly from early October. Producer prices advanced 18 cents per hundredweight in Grand Forks, N.D., but retail prices were unchanged. Home delivered milk was up a cent per quart and half-gallons in stores were up from 1 to 2 cents per unit in the Fargo-Moorhead area. Some decreases were indicated for store quarts in this market, where dealer prices are not reported. Store prices increased about 1 cent per quart in Lincoln, Nebr. Producer prices in this market are not currently determined.

Fluid use milk prices in the South Atlantic area averaged $6.09 per hundredweight in early November. This was unchanged from the preceding month, but was 14 cents under November 1958. Dealer buying prices advanced 20 cents per hundredweight from October in Baltimore this month. The only retail price increase in this market was reported for half-gallons out-of-stores, at 3 cents per unit. Producer prices were off 16 cents per

hundredweight in Augusta and 27 cents in the other Georgia markets reporting. Both home and store prices in these markets declined 1 cent per quart from early October.

Dealers in the East South Central region paid an average price of $5.32 per hundredweight for fluid use milk--a decrease of 2 cents from early October, but an increase of 13 cents from the November price last year. Producer prices were up 11 cents from the preceding month in Chattanooga and down 36 cents in Memphis, but retail prices held steady in both markets. Home and store prices increased one-half cent per quart in both Birmingham and Mobile, Ala. Dealer prices in these markets were up slightly from October. Buying prices advanced 18 cents per hundredweight in Montgomery, where milk delivered to homes was 1 cent per quart higher. Store prices in this market were reported unchanged.

In the West South Central States, prices paid producers for milk for fluid use averaged $5.46 per hundredweight in early November. This was 4 cents above the previous month, but 15 cents under the November price a year earlier. Retail price increases of about 1 cent per quart from October occurred in Baton Rouge, although producer prices held steady. Store gallons were 4 cents per unit higher in Austin, Texas. Dealer buying prices in this market were up slightly from the preceding month.

Prices of milk for fluid use in the Mountain area averaged $5.43 per hundredweight in early November--down 10 cents from October and off 23 cents from November 1958. Dealer prices declined 12 cents per hundredweight from the previous month in both Arizona markets reporting. Retail prices were unchanged in Tucson this month, but half-gallons in stores dropped 6 cents per unit in Phoenix. Announced prices of milk for fluid use were 39 cents per hundredweight lower in Salt Lake City, where pricing and payment provisions of the new Federal Milk Marketing Order for the Great Basin (Utah) area went into effect November 1. No change in retail prices was reported for Salt Lake City this month.

On the Pacific Coast, Class I prices paid by dealers averaged $5.44 per hundredweight in early November, advancing 1 cent from a month earlier and 19 cents from November last year. Sharp decreases from the preceding month for both home and store prices were reported in Spokane this month due to a price war. Dealer buying prices in this market were up 10 cents per hundredweight from October.

Bulk wholesale prices: Dispenser prices about 4 cents per gallon above other bulk milk

Wholesale gallon prices sold in bulk to hotels, restaurants, hospitals, etc., are quoted regularly in this release, but there is evidence that bulk dispenser sales are significant in a number of markets. A study was made of the prices reported for October 1959. According to information furnished by reporters in 80 markets, the list price per gallon for ordinary bulk milk averaged about 84 cents. Listed prices of milk sold in dispenser units averaged about 88 cents per gallon. There were 15 markets reporting dispenser prices but no bulk prices, while 8 markets had bulk prices but no dispenser prices.

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
November 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices b most common grade			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use a			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
NEW ENGLAND:	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
MAINE -S										
Augusta.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d3.04	92	48	23½-24½	26	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d3.04	90	48	23½	26	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	3.7	6.83	est.7.9	6.67	d3.15	92	49	23½	27	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth....	3.7	6.83	est.7.9	6.67	d3.17	-	49	23½-24½	27	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls..		Not determined				84	45	22	25	4.0
Burlington.....		Not determined				80	43	22	24-26	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	3.7	6.83	est.7.9	6.67	d3.17	94-96	43-45	23-23½	26½-27½	3.7
Fall River.....	3.7	6.90	est.7.9	6.74	d3.17	-	39-43	20-25	26-27½	-
Lowell-Lawr....	3.7	6.83	est.7.9	6.67	d3.17	-	46	24-25½	26½-27	3.7-3.8
Springfield....	3.7	6.83	est.7.9	6.67	d3.17	96	41	24½-25½	28-29½	-
Worcester.....	3.7	6.83	est.7.9	6.67	d3.17	87-94	45	24-25	28-28½	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport.....	3.7	6.90	est.7.9	6.74	d3.17	-	50	25½	m27½	3.7
Providence.....	3.7	6.90	est.7.9	6.74	d3.17	92-97	48-49	24½-25½	27½	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	3.7	6.83	est.7.9	6.67	d3.17	108	56	27-28½	30	3.8
New Haven.....	3.7	6.83	est.7.9	6.67	d3.17	91-108	56	28½	m30½	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK-S**										
Albany-F.....	3.5	6.334	4.0	6.33	-	-	-	24½	29	3.8
Binghamton-F...	3.5	6.21	4.0	6.21	-	88-94	48	24½	28	3.6-3.8
Buffalo.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d4.52	92	50	25½	h31½	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	3.5	e6.67-7.06	4.0	6.67-7.06	-	98-106	52	22½-28½	31½-32½	-
Rochester.....	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d4.59	96	-	24	27	3.6
Schenectady-F..	3.5	6.322	-	6.32	-	-	51	26	28	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	3.5	6.164	-	6.16	-	-	48	25	28	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.25	98-102	50	25½-26½	29½	3.65
Camden-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.25	88	-	24	27½	3.8
N'east.N.J.FS*.	3.5	e6.67-7.06	4.0	6.67-7.06	-	104	-	27	30½	3.5
Trenton-S.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.24	88	45	23	26½-27½	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	4	6.50	5.0	6.25	d4.60	97	48½	24½	26	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	4	6.48	5.0	6.23	d3.15	93	47	23½	26	3.7
Johnstown.....	4	6.50	6.0	6.20	-	-	-	-	25½	-
Phila.-F.....	3.7	v5.89	d7.6	5.74	d3.18	94	47½-48½	24-24½	28	-
Pittsburgh.....	4	6.74	7.0	6.39	d4.89	94	48	24½	27	3.5
Reading.....	4	6.50	5.0	6.25	-	94	49	24½	26	3.6
Scranton.....	4	6.40	5.0	6.15	-	94	-	24½	27	3.7
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....		Not determined				-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton.....		Not determined				-	38	20	24	3.5
Cincinnati.....	3.5	4.898	8.54	4.90	4.90	-	45	23½	26	3.5
Cleveland.....		Not determined				-	39	20	24	3.5
Columbus.....	3.5	4.696	8.1	4.70	4.70	z78	41	22	24	3.5
Dayton.....	3.5	4.69	7.9	4.69	4.69	-	42	23	24	3.5
Toledo.....		Not determined				-	40-42	21	24-25	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
November 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
NEW ENGLAND:										
MAINE - S										
Augusta	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	26-28	51-52	-	3.7-4.0
Portland	HVD	28		-	-	HVD	26-28	51-53	-	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord	CL	27	(D)1¢-5+;2¢-9+;3¢-12+	54	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth	HVD	28	(D)1¢-5+;2¢-10+	55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls	HVD	26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	25	49	-	4.0
Burlington	CL	24-26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24-25	47	-	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston	HVD	27½-28½		52-58	102-112	HVD	25½-26½	46-47	85-87	3.7
Fall River	HVD	26½-28½	(W)1¢-21+	n47-56	-	HVD	-	43-44	t82	3.7
Lowell-Lawr.	HVD	28	(D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	n55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
Springfield	HVD	30-31		n55-59	t83-86	HVD	27-31	43-55	78	3.7
Worcester	HVD	30	(W)½¢-12+;1¢-21+	n55-59	112	HVD	27-28	48	90-93	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport	H	m28½		-	-	H	27½	54	B	3.8
Providence	H	28½-29½	(M)2¢-90+;3¢-120+	56	112	H	j27½	52-53	104	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford	HVD	31	(M)1¢-90+; (D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	59-61	-	HVD	29-31	55-61	-	3.7
New Haven	HVD	m31½	(D)½ gal. 5¢-2+	62	-	HVD	31-32½	-	112	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK- S**										
Albany-F	HVD	29	(D)½ gal. 10¢-2+	57	-	HVD	26	49	-	3.8
Binghamton-F	H	28	(D)1¢-2+;2¢-4+;3¢-6+;4¢-12+	-	-	H	26-29	49-55	-	3.6-3.8
Buffalo	HVD	h32½		h58	-	H	25-30	48-51	-	3.6-3.7
New York-F	HVD	32½		-	-	H	24-30	-	-	-
Rochester	HVD	28	(W)1¢-8+;1½¢-13+;2¢-19+;2½¢-25+	-	-	HVD	26-27	49-51	-	3.6
Schenectady-F	HVD	29	(M)1¢-34+;2¢-54+;3¢-74+;4¢-94+	55	-	HVD	28-29	51-55	-	3.7
Syracuse-F	HVD	29	(½ gal. 10-2+)	-	-	HVD	25-29	49-57	-	3.7
NEW JERSEY										
Atlantic City-S	HVD	30½		k58	-	H	q27-32	52-59	-	-
Camden-S	HVD	28½		56	-	HVD	28½	-	-	3.5-3.8
N'east.N.J.FS*	r	31½		-	-	r	29-30½	-	-	3.5
Trenton-S	HVD	27½-28		54-55	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie	HVD	27		53	106	HVD	26-27	51-53	-	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg	H	26		52	104	H	25	50	100	3.7
Johnstown	HVD	26½		52	104	HVD	25½	50-51	102	-
Phila.-F	HVD	29		56	-	H	25½	50	-	-
Pittsburgh	H	27		53	-	H	26	51	-	3.5
Reading	HVD	27		54	-	HVD	26	52	-	3.6
Scranton	CL	27		-	-	H	26	-	-	3.6
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t68	3.5
Canton	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cincinnati	HVD	26	(M)1½¢-39+;2½¢-74+;3¢-108+	49	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Cleveland	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	43	-	HVD	20	39	t68	3.5
Columbus	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	22-24	40-42	-	3.5
Dayton	HVD	25		m45	77	HVD	23-25	41-46	56-69	3.5
Toledo	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

November 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville....		Not determined				80	39	21-21½	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F..		Not determined				71	37-38	20	22	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	3.5	g4.00	d7.5	4.00	-	87	44	22½	-	-
Indianapolis..		Not determined				74	39	21	24	3.5
South Bend-F..	3.5	4.54	-	4.54	4.54	-	41	22	24	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	3.5	4.48	-	4.48	-	-	38	21	-	3.5
Chicago-F.....	3.5	eg4.00	d7.5	4.00	3.58	85	44	22½	27-31	3.5
Peoria.....		Not determined				74	39½	21	m26	3.5
Rock Island-F.	3.5	v4.18	7.8	4.18	4.18	77	42	21½	24	3.5-3.6
Springfield...	3.5	f4.00	7.0	4.00	4.00	80	37-40	22	24	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek..	3.5	4.70	6.5	4.70	-	-	35	19	22	3.8
Detroit-F.....		Not determined				-	44	22	25	3.5
Grand Rapids..	3.5	4.74	7.0	4.74	4.74	-	-	-	23	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	3.5	4.94	7.0	4.94	4.94	70	41	21	k24	3.6
Lansing.....	3.5	est.4.70	7.0	4.70	-	-	-	-	24½	3.6
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	3.5	4.00	7.5	4.00	3.58	72	41	21	24	3.5
Eau Claire....		Not determined				-	-	-	22	3.5
Green Bay-F...	3.5	4.04	7.8	4.04	4.04	70	36.3-39	18.6-20	21-22	3.6
Madison.....		Not determined				77-79	41	21	23-24	3.5
Milwaukee-F...	3.5	g3.96	7.5	3.96	3.58	68-73	33-36	20-21	24	3.65
Superior-F....	3.5	4.365	8.7	4.36	4.36	76-78	44	22	24	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Duluth-F.....	3.5	4.365	8.7	4.36	4.36	76-78	44	22	23	3.5
Minneapolis-F.	3.5	4.113	8.6	4.11	4.11	-	-	-	22	-
St. Paul-F....	3.5	4.113	8.6	4.11	4.11	-	-	-	m21	-
Winona.....	3.5	3.55	7.0	3.55	3.55	-	-	i18½	21	-
IOWA										
Burlington....	3.5	4.20	7.0	4.20	4.20	70	36	18	22	3.7-3.8
Des Moines-F..	3.5	4.45	7.5	4.45	4.45	76-78	39	20-20½	23	-
Ft. Dodge-F...	3.5	4.13	7.5	4.13	4.13	76	40	20½	24	-
Sioux City-F..		Not determined				78	40	20	23	3.5-3.6
MISSOURI-F.....										
Kansas City...	3.8	4.644	8.1	4.40	4.40	82	41	21	24	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	3.5	4.70	7.5	4.70	4.70	78	-	-	-	-
Springfield...	3.5	4.43	7.5	4.43	4.43	80	37	20	22-23	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor....	-	-	-	-	-	72	38	19	-	-
Grand Forks...	-	D.R.	11.2	3.92	-	74	38	19	k21	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	3.5	4.50	7.5	4.50	4.50	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux Falls...	3.5	4.44	9.8	4.44	4.44	76	41	21	21	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....		Not determined				82	38.7	19.8	24	4.0
Omaha-F.....		Not determined				72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Scottsbluff...	3.5	4.95	7.0	4.95	-	78	41	21	k24	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
November 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville.....	HVD	24		44-46	-	HVD	22-24	39-44	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F...	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21-22	37-38	69-71	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	HVD	29½		51	88	HVD	24	44-47	83-85	3.5
Indianapolis...	H	24		44	82	H	23	42-44	78	3.5
South Bend-F...	HVD	24		m43	82	HVD	23-24	41-43	75	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	HVD	23		44	82	HVD	23	41-45	82	3.0
Chicago-F.....	HVD	27-31		48-58	86-99	HVD	22½-25	44-48	83-90	3.5
Peoria.....	HVD	m26	(D)1¢-4+	w49	87	HVD	-	39-45	69-81	-
Rock Island-F..	H	24		47	87	H	23-24	43-47	81-87	3.5-3.6
Springfield....	HVD	24	(W)1¢-20+;2¢-30+	k45	-	HVD	22-23	37-41	-	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek...	HVD	22		43	-	HVD	22	43	-	3.6
Detroit-F.....	HVD	25-25½	Varied discount plans	49	-	HVD	22-25	35-49	-	3.5
Grand Rapids...	H	23	(M)3¢-30+	45	-	H	20-23	39-45	-	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	H	k24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-120+	47	-	H	21-22	43-45	-	3.6
Lansing.....	HVD	24½		-	-	HVD	21½-24½	-	-	-
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	HVD	24		44	79	HVD	22-24	41-44	75-79	3.5
Eau Claire.....	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+(½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	19-20	-	-	3.5
Green Bay-F....	HVD	21-22	(D)1¢-3+(½ gal. 2¢-2+)	42-43	-	HVD	20½-21	39½-42	-	3.6
Madison.....	HVD	23-24		45	84-87	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Milwaukee F....	HVD	24		44	78-80	HVD	22-24	38-40	70-72	3.6
Superior F.....	HVD	24	(D)3¢-2+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Duluth-F.....	HVD	23	(D)½¢-2-5+; all if 6+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
Minneapolis-F..	HVD	22		m39	-	HVD	20	j35	65	3.5
St. Paul-F.....	HVD	m21		w37	-	HVD	21	j33-34	-	3.5
Winona.....	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	i20-21	i39-41	-	-
IOWA										
Burlington.....	HVD	22		41-43	-	HVD	19-20	39-40	-	3.3-3.5
Des Moines-F...	HVD	m23		k46	-	HVD	j24	-	-	-
Ft. Dodge-F....	HVD	24		47	-	H	24	47	-	-
Sioux City-F...	HVD	23		k46	80-84	HVD	22-23	44-46	-	3.4-3.5
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24		46	t87	HVD	21	41	t75	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	HVD	29		48	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Springfield....	HVD	22-23		45	-	HVD	24-25	42-43	-	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor.....	HVD	k22		k43	-	HVD	21	41-42	-	-
Grand Forks....	HVD	k21		k42	-	HVD	21	42	-	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	H	k22		k44	-	H	22	40-42	-	-
Sioux Falls....	HVD	m22		w43	-	HVD	22-23	43-45	-	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....	HVD	24		48	89	HVD	23	45	t85	3.6
Omaha-F.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	80	3.4-4.0
Scottsbluff....	HVD	k24	(D)1¢-3+(2nd ½ gal. 45¢)	k47	-	HVD	24	46-47	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

November 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	4.644	8.1	4.40	4.40	82	41	21	24	3.3-3.5
Topeka.....	3.8	4.644	8.1	4.40	4.40	74	39	20	-	-
Wichita.....	3.8	4.834	7.5	4.61	4.61	71-75	38	19½	25	3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington....	4	5.89	5.0	5.64	-	89-90	40	22½	26½	3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	3.5	u est.5.75	7.0	5.75	d3.26	90	45	25½	27	3.7
Cumberland....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington....	3.5	gA5.96	d8.3	5.96	5.96	95	41	24	26½-27	4.0
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-F..	3.5	gA5.96	d8.3	5.96	5.96	95	41	24	26½-27	4.0
N'flk.-Ports-S	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	-	49	24½	26	4.0
Richmond-S....	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	47	23½	26½	3.7
Roanoke-S.....	3.5	6.15	7.0	6.15	6.15	88	49	24-25	25	3.8
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Huntington-F..			Not determined			-	50	25½	27	3.5
Wheeling-F....	3.5	4.86	8.1	4.86	4.86	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	49	25	27	4.1-4.2
Charlotte.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	84	47	24	27	3.8-4.1
Durham.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	89	49	25	26	-
Wins.-Salem...	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston....	4	6.40	7.0	6.05	6.05	-	47	24	26	4.0
Columbia.....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	92	47	24	26	4.0
Greenville-S..	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	-	-	-	26	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	4	6.66	7.0	6.31	6.31	87	46	23½	m25	4.0
Augusta.....	4	6.66	7.0	6.31	6.31	87	46	23½	m25	4.0
Savannah.....	4	6.93	7.0	6.58	6.58	91	48	24½	m26	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville..	4	7.09	7.5	6.72	-	-	51	26	29	4.0
Miami-F.....	4	7.00	7.5	6.62	-	-	-	-	28-29	4.1
Tampa.....	4	7.09	7.6	6.71	-	-	-	-	27	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F..	3.8	4.714	7.8	4.48	4.48	78	40	21	24	3.7
Paducah-F.....	3.5	4.70	7.5	4.70	4.70	74	36	20	22	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga-y.	4	g5.70	8.1	5.30	5.30	75	43	22	25	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	4	g5.60	8.1	5.20	5.20	-	43	23	26	3.8
Memphis.....	4	5.40	7.5	5.02	5.02	-	38	21	25	4.0
Nashville.....	4	g5.35	7.5	4.98	4.98	76	34-36	18½	22	3.6
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham....	4	6.56	6.0	6.26	6.26	-	49½	25¼	26½	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	4	6.56	6.0	6.26	-	-	49½	25¼	26½	3.5-4.0
Montgomery....	4	6.56	6.0	6.26	-	-	-	23¾	k26	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

November 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD.- homogenized vitamin D; H - homogenized; CL - creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-50+	46	-	HVD	21	41	t71-73	3.2-3.5
Topeka.....	HVD	k23		45	86	HVD	21	41	77	3.4-3.5
Wichita.....	HVD	k25		46	85	HVD	23-24	43-45	79-83	3.3-3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington.....	HVD	27½		48	-	HVD	27½	48	79	3.5-3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	HVD	28		49	-	HVD	25½	51	-	3.5-3.6
Cumberland.....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington.....	H	26½-27	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	48	-	H	23½-26½	41-53	x82	3.5
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-F...	H	26½-27	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	48	-	H	23½-26½	41-53	x82	3.9
N'flk-Ports-S..	HVD	27	(M)1¢-78+	k54	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.0
Richmond-S.....	H	26½	(D)1¢-6+	k53	-	H	24½	49	-	3.7
Roanoke-S.....	HVD	26		k52	t88	HVD	27-28	52-53	-	3.7
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston.....	r	-		-	-	r	-	-	-	-
Huntington-F...	HVD	28		55	100	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Wheeling-F.....	r	-		-	-	r	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	HVD	27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8-4.0
Charlotte.....	HVD	27		m51	-	HVD	26-27	51-52	-	3.8-3.9
Durham.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8
Wins.-Salem....	HVD	k27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston.....	HVD	26		51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
Columbia.....	HVD	26	(W)1¢-24+(½ gal.1¢-12+)	51	-	HVD	26	49-51	-	4.0
Greenville -S..	HVD	26	(W)2¢-24+(½ gal.4¢-12+)	51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	H	m25		w49	97	H	j26	51	97	4.0
Augusta.....	H	m25		w49	97	H	j26	51	97	4.0
Savannah.....	H	m26		w51	101	H	j27	53	101	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville...	HVD	29		55	102	HVD	29	55	102	4.0
Miami-F.....	HVD	29-30		w55	105	HVD	28-29	57	-	4.0
Tampa.....	HVD	28		w53	95	HVD	28	49	89	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F...	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	23-25	41	-	3.7
Paducah-F.....	H	22		41	-	H	22	39-41	-	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga -y.	HVD	25		48	87	HVD	25	39-48	84-87	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	HVD	26		50	88	HVD	26	50	96	3.8
Memphis.....	HVD	25		44	-	HVD	23-24	40-41	-	3.5-4.0
Nashville.....	HVD	22		w41-43	-	HVD	21-22	39-41	-	3.5
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham.....	HVD	27½		54	-	HVD	27½	54	-	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	HVD	27½		54	-	HVD	27½	54	-	3.5-4.0
Montgomery.....	HVD	k27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
November 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI-F										
Columbus.....	4	5.74	7.5	5.36	5.36	-	-	-	-	-
Greenwood.....	4	5.74	7.5	5.36	5.36	-	-	-	-	-
Gulfport-Biloxi..	4	6.00	7.5	5.62	5.62	-	47	24	m26	3.7-3.8
Jackson	4	5.90	7.5	5.52	5.52	84-88	45-47	23-24	26-27	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	4	5.391	7.8	5.00	5.00	74	37	20½	24	3.5
Little Rock....	4	g5.70	7.5	5.32	5.32	86	45	23	27	3.53
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	4	6.05	6.0	5.75	5.75	92	48	24	27	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	4	eg6.00	7.5	5.62	5.62	94	49	25	27	3.95
Shreveport-F...	4	5.941	7.5	5.57	5.57	-	-	-	28	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	4	g5.55	7.8	5.16	5.16	94	48	25	27	3.6
Tulsa.....	4	5.181	7.8	4.79	4.79	96	37	23	-	-
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	4	5.916	7.5	5.54	5.54	-	45	23½	m26	3.7
Dallas-F.....	4	5.611	7.8	5.22	5.22	85	45	22½	27	4.0
El Paso.....	4	f6.00	7up;9dn.	5.55	5.55	90	44	23	26	3.5
Galveston.....	4	f6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	83	47½	25	m27	4.0
Houston.....	4	6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	90	47	24	27	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	3.7	5.83	7.0	5.69	4.02	92	46	23	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	4	5.10	8.5	4.68	4.68	75	39	19½	23	3.5
Idaho Falls....	3.5	4.75	10.0	4.75	-	75	40	20	23	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	-	D. R.	16.0	5.60	5.60	72	42	21	22	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo.Springs-F.	3.5	5.314	8.7	5.31	5.31	87	c 39½	21½	25	3.5
Denver.....	-	D. R.	16.2	5.67	5.46	-	-	-	25	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	3.5	5.314	8.7	5.31	5.31	-	42	21½	25	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	3.5	5.75	7.0	5.75	5.75	91	46	25	27	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	3.8	5.47	8.4	5.22	5.22	81	-	-	25	-
Tucson.....	3.8	5.77	8.4	5.52	5.52	-	52	26	26	3.75
UTAH-F										
Salt Lake City.	3.6	5.25	8.4	5.17	5.17	86	42.8	21.4	25	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	3.5	5.35	-	5.35	-	80	44	22	26	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	4	5.53	7.0	5.18	5.18	85	43	22½	24½	3.6
Everett-F.....	4	g5.43	7.9	5.04	5.04	85	42½	21½	24	3.5
Seattle-F.....	4	g5.43	7.9	5.04	5.04	85	42½	21½	24	3.6
Spokane-F.....	4	v5.44	8.1	5.04	5.04	82	30½-37½	21	19-24	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

November 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI-F										
Columbus.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Greenwood.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Gulfport-Biloxi.	HVD	m26		w51	-	HVD	j26	51-52	-	3.5
Jackson	HVD	26-27		51-52	-	HVD	25-27	48-52	-	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	HVD	k24		k42	80	HVD	24	42	-	3.5
Little Rock....	H	27		k52	-	H	26	49	-	3.44
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	HVD	27		53	92	HVD	26-27	52-53	92	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	HVD	28		54	-	HVD	27-28	52-54	-	4.0
Shreveport-F...	HVD	28		53	-	HVD	27	51	-	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	HVD	27		k50	98	HVD	25	48	95	3.6
Tulsa.....	HVD	m26		m42	68	HVD	25	39	66	3.6
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	H	m26		w50	87	H	26	49	87	3.6-3.8
Dallas-F.....	HVD	24		48	-	HVD	24	46	-	4.0
El Paso.....	HVD	m26	(W)1½-6+	w49	-	HVD	26	45-48	-	3.5
Galveston.....	HVD	m28		w55	89	HVD	j27-28	j51½-53½	89	4.0
Houston.....	H	27		m53	-	H	j25	48	94	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	HVD	26		k52	-	HVD	26	52	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	H	23		k46	88	H	23	46	q79-88	3.5
Idaho Falls....	HVD	23		46	79	HVD	23	46	79	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	H	m22		k46	-	H	22-23	45-46	-	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo. Spgs.-F..	HVD	25		Ck46	C85	HVD	24	C44	C81	3.5-3.6
Denver.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	H	27		53	-	H	27	53	-	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	HVD	25		43	-	HVD	24	41	-	-
Tucson.....	H	26		52	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
UTAH-F										
Salt Lake City.	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	H	26		k51	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	H	24½		k47	-	H	24	45	-	3.6
Everett-F.....	H	24	(M)1¢-52+;1½¢-78+;2¢-100+	-	-	H	24	-	-	3.5
Seattle-F.....	HVD	24	(D)1¢-4+;1½¢-6+	-	-	HVD	23-23½	44-46	-	3.6
Spokane-F.....	HVD	19-24		k36-42½	72-85	HVD	24	36½-48	73-83	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
November 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
PACIFIC CONT.:										
OREGON										
Medford.....	4	6.16	7.6	5.78	-	-	47	23	k26	3.8
Portland.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
Salem.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	3.8	5.60	10.0	5.30	5.30	72-82	42	21½	h27	3.55
Los Angeles....	3.8	5.71	10.2	5.40	5.40	71-80	40.7	20.6	25½	3.55
Sacramento.....	3.8	5.75	10.0	5.45	5.45	70½-80	41½	21	h27	3.55
San Diego.....	3.8	5.81	10.2	5.50	5.50	72-82	42½	21½	24½	3.55
San Francisco..	3.8	5.92	10.1	5.62	5.62	79-81	43½	22	h27½	3.55
Santa Barbara..	3.8	5.71	10.2	5.40	5.40	68-78	40½	20¼	24½	3.55
October 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)										
Bellows Falls, Vt..	3.7	5.50	7.9	5.34	-	88	45	22	25	4.0
Burlington, Vt.....	3.7	5.50	7.9	5.34	-	80	43	22	24-26	3.7-4.0
Akron, Ohio.....	3.5	4.844	8.1	4.84	4.84	-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	3.5	4.844	8.1	4.84	4.84	-	38	20	24	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio....	3.5	4.844	8.1	4.84	4.84	-	39	20	24	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.5	4.764	7.8	4.76	4.76	-	40	21	24-25	3.5
Evansville, Ind....	4	4.867	7.0	4.52	4.52	80-86	39-42	21-21½	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind....	3.5	4.974	8.1	4.97	4.97	71	37	20	22	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind..	3.5	4.68	-	4.68	-	74	39	21	24	3.5
Alton, Ill.....	3.5	4.52	-	4.52	-	-	38	21	-	3.5
Peoria, Ill.....	4	4.35	6.0	4.05	4.05	74	39½	21	m26	3.5
Detroit, Mich.....	3.5	g4.944	7.0	4.94	3.22	-	44	22	25	3.5
EauClaire,Wis.(Sept)	3.5	3.74	7.3	3.74	3.74	-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	3.5	4.09	8.0	4.09	3.60	77-79	41	21	23-24	3.5
Sioux City, Iowa...	3.5	4.59	9.7	4.59	4.59	78	40	20	k23	3.5-3.6
Aberdeen, S. D.....	3.5	4.42	7.5	4.42	4.42	-	-	-	-	-
Lincoln, Nebr.....	3.8	g5.05	9.7	4.76	4.76	82	37	19.8	24	4.0
Omaha, Nebr.....	3.8	g5.05	9.7	4.76	4.76	72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Huntington, W. Va..	3.5	5.494	8.1	5.49	5.49	-	50	25½	27	3.5

Table 1(a).--Minimum order Class I prices at Basic Test in Federal Order markets where higher than Federal Order prices prevail

Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.
Chicago-Gary.....	Nov.1959	3.98	Washington,D.C.....	Nov.1959	5.55	Little Rock.....	Nov.1959	5.40
			Alex.-Arl.....	Nov.1959	5.55	New Orleans.....	Nov.1959	5.97
Detroit.....	Oct.1959	4.29	Chattanooga.....	Nov.1959	5.36	Oklahoma City.....	Nov.1959	5.28
Milwaukee.....	Nov.1959	3.94	Knoxville.....	Nov.1959	5.10	Everett.....	Nov.1959	5.19
Omaha-Lincoln.....	Oct.1959	4.80	Nashville.....	Nov.1959	5.06	Seattle.....	Nov.1959	5.19

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

November 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
			(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)							
PACIFIC CONT.:		Cents		Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
OREGON										
Medford.....	H	k26		k51	100	H	25	49	98	3.8
Portland.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
Salem.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	HVD	h27		h50	h97	HVD	23½	46	92	3.55
Los Angeles....	HVD	25½	(D)1¢-(3-4);1½¢-(5-7)2½¢-8+	50½	101	HVD	22½	44½	89	3.55
Sacramento.....	HVD	h27		h49½	h95	HVD	23	45½	91	3.55
San Diego.....	HVD	24½		48½	97	HVD	23½	46½	93	3.55
San Francisco..	HVD	h27½		h51½	h100	HVD	24	47½	95	3.55
Santa Barbara..	HVD	24½		49	98	HVD	23	46	92	3.55

October 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)

Bellows Falls, Vt.	HVD	26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	25	49	-	4.0
Burlington, Vt....	CL	24-26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24-25	47	-	3.7-4.0
Akron, Ohio.....	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t68	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio...	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	43	-	HVD	20	39	t68	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5
Evansville, Ind...	HVD	24		44-46	-	HVD	22-24	33-42	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind...	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	20-22	37-39	69	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	H	24		44	82	H	23	42-44	78	3.5
Alton, Ill.....	HVD	23		44	82	HVD	23	41-45	82	3.0
Peoria, Ill.....	HVD	m26	(D)1¢-4+	w49	87	-	-	-	-	-
Detroit, Mich.....	HVD	25	Varied discount plans	49	-	HVD	22-25	35-49	-	3.5
EauClaire,Wis.(Sept)	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+(½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	HVD	23-24		45	84-87	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Sioux City, Iowa..	HVD	23		k46	84	HVD	22-23	44-46	-	3.4-3.5
Aberdeen, S. D....	H	k22		k44	-	H	22	40-42	-	-
Lincoln, Nebr.....	HVD	24		46	86	HVD	21-23	43	t82	3.6
Omaha, Nebr.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	80	3.4-4.0
Huntington, W. Va.	HVD	28		55	100	HVD	-	-	-	3.5

S. All prices shown under State Control. * Retail prices only under State Control. ** Producer prices only under State Control. F. Federal Order market. If State marked, applied all cities shown. D.R. Payment in direct ratio to milkfat. a. Class or base price unless otherwise noted. Bulk tank premiums appear in table 4, page 14. b. List prices which may be subject to discounts. d. October price; cream price at Manchester $3.15. e. NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY: 200-mile zone price plus estimated freight (31½-41¢) and country station handling charges (20-50¢). CHICAGO: 55-70 mile zone; NEW ORLEANS: 61-70 mile zone. f. Flat price. g. Negotiated prices. The F.O.M. prices are shown in table 1(a). h. Includes following service charge for first unit each single delivery: BUFFALO, 5¢; FRESNO AND SAN FRANCISCO, 3¢; SACRAMENTO, 4¢. i. In glass. j. Glass 1¢ lower; ½ gal. 2¢ at Galveston and Houston. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. n. Second half-gallon: Fall River 50¢, Lowell-Lawrence, Springfield and Worcester 51¢. q. Creamline or regular. r. The most common grade of milk not reported; prices shown are for Homogenized Vitamin D. t. Homogenized. u. Estimated average Class I price paid by handlers; actual Class I $5.54 for September. v. F.O.M. price; September weighted average premium 5¢ at Spokane. w. Paper 2¢ higher. x. HVD y. October resale prices same as November at Chattanooga. z. Five gallon cans. A. Estimated average negotiated Class I quality premium paid by handlers 41¢. B. Two half-gallon paper containers sold as a Twin-Pak for $1.06. C. October prices same as November at Colorado Springs.

Table 2.--Dealers' average buying prices for milk for fluid milk use (f.o.b. city) United States, by regions, November 1959 1/
(Per hundredweight - 3.5% fat; weighted by population of individual markets)

Region	Markets	November 1958	October 1959	November 1959	
				Range	Average
	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England	17	6.43	6.66	5.40-6.74	6.67
Middle Atlantic	17	6.50	6.54	5.74-6.87	6.58
East North Central	27	4.37	4.47	3.70-4.97	4.46
West North Central	20	4.42	4.46	3.55-4.75	4.45
South Atlantic	26	6.23	6.09	4.86-6.72	6.09
East South Central	13	5.20	5.35	4.15-6.26	5.33
West South Central	15	5.61	5.42	4.79-5.81	5.46
Mountain	14	5.66	5.53	4.68-5.75	5.43
Pacific	13	5.25	5.43	5.04-5.78	5.44
United States	162	5.58	5.63	3.55-6.87	5.64

1/ In some markets the prices paid for fluid milk and fluid cream are the same. Includes Class I prices, base or basic price and flat prices where effective.

Table 3.--Prevailing prices of standard grade milk per single quart delivered to homes in 25 cities, November 1959 1/

(Cents per single quart)

Markets	1953-57 Nov.av.	Nov. 1958	Oct. 1959	Nov. 1959	Markets	1953-57 Nov.av.	Nov. 1958	Oct. 1959	Nov. 1959
Boston, Mass.	26.0	27½	26½-27½	26½-27½	Baltimore, Md.	24.3	27	27	27
Hartford, Conn.	25.6	27½	30	30	Washington, D. C.	25.4	26½-27½	26½-27	26½-27
New York, N. Y.	27.4	31-31½	31½-32½	31½-32½	Jacksonville, Fla.	27.2	29	29	29
Philadelphia, Pa.	24.8	28	28	28	Louisville, Ky.	23.9	26	24	24
Pittsburgh, Pa.	25.3	27	27	27	New Orleans, La.	26.6	27	27	27
Cleveland, Ohio	22.0	24	24	24	Dallas, Texas	25.0	27	27	27
Indianapolis, Ind.	22.4	23	24	24	Denver, Colo.	24.4	25	25	25
Chicago, Ill.	26.3	26-29½	27-31	27-31	Salt Lake City	22.0	24	25	25
Detroit, Mich.	23.2	24½	25	25	Seattle, Wash.	22.4	23½	24	24
Milwaukee, Wis.	21.3	22	24	24	Portland, Ore.	23.1	25	26	26
Minneapolis, Minn.	20.9	22	22	22	San Francisco	22.8	25½	2/26	2/26
Kansas City, Mo.	23.0	23	24	24	Los Angeles	22.6	25	25½	25½
Omaha, Nebr.	21.2	22	23	23	25 cities average	24.0	25.6	26.0	26.0

1/ "Standard grade" is grade commonly sold in each market. Grades vary between markets due to differences in determining factors. The prices shown are the latest reported up to and including the 10th of the month.
2/ In markets having a service charge on the first unit at each single delivery location, the charge has been divided between 2 quarts. Since the single quart concept used historically is based on every day delivery, it is assumed that under every other day delivery the charge represents a two quart purchase.

Table 4.--Premiums for bulk tank delivered milk not included in dealers' buying prices in table 1
November 1959

Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.
Augusta, Me.	5	Albany, N.Y.	20	BattleCr., Mich.	10	Duluth, Minn.	15-25	Louisville, Ky.	15
Manchester, N.H.	5	Binghamton, N.Y.	10-11	Detroit, Mich.	8	Burlington, Ia.	15	Memphis, Tenn.	5
Boston, Mass.	5-15	Buffalo, N.Y.	10	G.Rapids, Mich.	8-12	Kansas City, Mo.	10	Nashville, Tenn.	5
Fall River, Mass.	10	Rochester, N.Y.	10	Lansing, Mich.	10	St. Louis, Mo.	25	Okla.City, Okla.	10
Low-Law., Mass.	5	Schenectady, N.Y.	10	Beloit, Wis.	20	Spgfld., Mo.	15-25	Colo.Spgs., Colo.	6
Spgfld., Mass.	5-15	Syracuse, N.Y.	20	EauClaire, Wis.	20	Sioux Falls, S.D.	10	Denver, Colo.	5-10
Worcester, Mass.	5-15	Gary, Ind.	25	Green Bay, Wis.	10	Topeka, Kans.	10-15	Albuq., N.M.	10
Providence, R.I.	5	So. Bend, Ind.	15	Madison, Wis.	16	Omaha, Nebr.	14	Everett, Wash.	10
Hartford, Conn.	5	Chicago, Ill.	15-25	Milwaukee, Wis.	5-15	Huntington, W.Va.	10	Seattle, Wash.	12
New Haven, Conn.	5	Rock Island, Ill.	15	Durham, N. C.	10	Lexington, Ky.	15	Spokane, Wash.	10-20
Burlington, Vt.	7	Spgfld., Ill.	15	Sioux City, Ia.	15	Des Moines, Ia.	10		

Table 5.--Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between October and November 1959
(Changes effective November 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	Oct.	Nov.	Oct.	Nov.	Oct.	Nov.	Oct.	Nov.	Oct.	Nov.
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
New York, N.Y.....	6.62-7.01	6.67-7.06	32½	32½	24½-30½	b24-30	-	-	-	-
Atlantic City, N.J. d	5.87	5.87	30	30½	q27-31	q27-32	52-57	52-59	-	-
Camden, N.J. d.....	5.87	5.87	28	28½	28	28½	-	-	-	-
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Cincinnati, Ohio d...	5.00	4.90	24	26	24	-	46	-	-	-
Dayton, Ohio.....	4.78	4.69	25	25	23-25	23-25	41-46	41-46	60-71	b56-69
Evansville, Ind.....	4.52	Not det.	24	24	22-24	22-24	33-42	b39-44	-	-
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	4.97	Not det.	22	22	20-22	b21-22	37-39	b37-38	69	b69-71
Springfield, Ill.....	4.00	4.00	24	24	23-24	b22-23	39-41	b37-41	-	-
Grand Rapids, Mich...	4.74	4.74	21-23	b23	20-23	20-23	37-45	b39-45	-	-
Kalmazoo, Mich.....	4.70	d4.94	k23	bk24	21	b21-22	-	43-45	-	-
Eau Claire, Wis.....	Not det.	Not det.	22	22	21	b19-20	41	-	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Burlington, Ia.....	4.20	4.20	22	22	20	b19-20	39-40	39-40	-	-
Springfield, Mo. d...	4.47	4.43	25	22-23	22-23	24-25	39-41	42-43	-	-
Fargo-Moorhead,N.D. d	-	-	k21	k22	20-21	21	39-41	41-42	-	-
Grand Forks, N.D.....	3.74	d3.92	k21	k21	21	21	42	42	84	-
Lincoln, Nebr. d.....	4.76	Not det.	24	24	21-23	23	43	45	t82	t85
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
Baltimore, Md.....	5.55	b5.75	28	28	25½	25½	48	d51	-	-
Atl.-Col.-Macon, Ga..	6.58	6.31	m26	m25	j27	j26	53	51	-	97
Augusta, Ga.....	6.47	6.31	m26	m25	j27	j26	53	51	-	97
Savannah, Ga.....	6.85	6.58	m27	m26	j28	j27	55	53	-	101
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Chattanooga, Tenn....	5.19	5.30	25	25	25	25	39-48	39-48	84-87	84-87
Memphis, Tenn.....	5.38	5.02	25	25	23-24	23-24	40-41	40-41	-	-
Nashville, Tenn.....	4.98	4.98	22	22	21-22	21-22	41-43	b39-41	-	-
Birmingham, Ala.....	6.18	6.26	27	27½	27	27½	53	54	-	-
Mobile, Ala.....	6.18	6.26	27	27½	27	27½	53	54	-	-
Montgomery, Ala.....	6.08	6.26	k26	bk27	27	27	53	53	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Baton Rouge, La.....	5.75	5.75	26	27	25-26	26-27	51	52-53	88	92
Austin, Texas.....	5.45	5.54	m26	m26	26	26	49	49	83	b87
MOUNTAIN:										
Phoenix , Ariz.....	5.34	5.22	25	25	24	24	47	b41	-	-
Tucson, Ariz.....	5.64	5.52	26	26	25	25	50	50	-	-
Salt Lake City, Utah.	5.56	5.17	25	25	24	24	47	47	-	-
PACIFIC:										
Spokane, Wash. d.....	4.94	5.04	24	19-24	24	24	48	36½-48	93	73-83

a. Includes all markets in which changes were at least the equivalent of ½ cent per quart to consumers or were 11¢ or more per hundredweight to producers.
b. Effective data not reported.
d. Effective dates:
October 29-Atlantic City
October 28-Camden
October 14-Cincinnati
October 19-Kalamazoo
October 30-Springfield, Mo.
November 9-Fargo-Moorhead
October 16-Grand Forks
November 2-Lincoln-Baltimore
November 3-Spokane
j. Glass 1¢ lower
k. In paper
m. Paper 1¢ higher
q. Creamline or regular
t. Homogenized

Table 6 .--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, November 1959

Market	Whole milk per quart					Cream and mixtures			
	Homo. vit. mineral		High fat milk		Certi-fied to homes	Half & half per pt.		Table cream (½ pt.)	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper		To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:									
Boston, Mass.....	-	-	a31½-32½	-	33	-	-	24-25	23 d24-27
Providence, R. I...	-	-	29½-30½	28½	31½-36	-	-	23½-24½	23-23½
Hartford, Conn.....	-	-	g33	-	39	-	-	f26	25
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:									
Buffalo, N. Y.....	-	25	ng33½	-	-	42	39-41	30	28-30
New York City.....	-	-	-	-	41½	32½	-	26	d19½-24
Rochester, N. Y....	-	-	g29	g28-29	-	34	28	27	24-27
Philadelphia, Pa...	-	-	a31	-	37-38	-	-	29	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	-	-	29	28	-	36	36	28	27-28
E. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Cincinnati, Ohio...	-	-	g28-29	-	-	33	-	30	-
Cleveland, Ohio....	-	-	27	-	-	f34	29-31	f26	22-24
Indianapolis, Ind..	25	d24	-	-	-	f32	30	24	23
Chicago, Ill.....	31	22½-25	g34	g30-33	38	43-44½	35-39	36½-39	31-35
Detroit, Mich.....	26	24-25	j27	-	-	33	29-32	30	28-32
Milwaukee, Wis.....	-	-	-	-	30	f38	33-35	f32	30
W. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Minneapolis, Minn..	-	-	f25	21-22	-	32	27-28	24	24
Des Moines, Ia.....	h23	e24	g24	-	-	f31	-	-	-
Kans. C., Mo-Kans..	25	-	-	-	-	33	31	28	23
St. Louis, Mo.....	-	-	-	-	-	f45	-	f34½	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	24	23-24	g24	qg23	-	29-30	28-30	25-27	24-27
SOUTH ATLANTIC:									
Baltimore, Md.....	28	25½	g30	-	-	30½	d30	30	d30
Washington, D. C...	-	-	g28½	-	-	f32-33	28-33	25-26	23-26
Richmond, Va.....	-	-	g29½	g28½	-	33	-	28	-
Charlotte, N. C....	-	-	g28	-	-	f27	26-27	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	-	-	hg26	-	h27	h28	e29	23	23½
Miami, Fla.....	30	-	g30	-	-	37	-	28	28
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
Louisville, Ky.....	-	-	34	-	-	f33	30-31	f26	25
Nashville, Tenn....	-	-	g25	dg24	-	f29	28-29	-	-
Birmingham, Ala....	-	-	-	-	-	28	28	24	24
Jackson, Miss.....	-	-	g27	-	-	f35	33-35	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
New Orleans, La....	-	-	-	-	-	f34	34-35	f25	25
Oklahoma City.....	28	-	-	-	-	f36	33	f26	25
Dallas, Tex.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	23	-
El Paso, Tex.....	-	-	g29	-	30	31	30-32	24	23-25
MOUNTAIN:									
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	-	-	g24	-	-	f29	29	22	22
Denver, Colo.....	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	f28	25-26
Salt Lake City.....	-	-	gj26	gj25	-	37;qt.69	36;qt.59	-	r54
PACIFIC:									
Seattle, Wash.....	-	-	g26	-	-	29½	28½-29½	f25½	25-25½
Portland, Ore.....	-	-	-	-	-	30	29	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	27	-	g29	-	29½-30½	28½	-	27½	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	n29½	26	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table

Continued

Table 6 .--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, November 1959--Continued

Market	Cream and mixtures		Creamed cottage cheese					
	Whip. cream (½ pt.)		Pound pkg.		12 Oz. pkg.		Other	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	37-40	35 d37	42	27-31	-	-	b22	b18
Providence, R. I...	37-38	36½-38	35	-	-	-	b22	-
Hartford, Conn.....	f42	40	33-35	32-33	25-29	24	k115	k100
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Buffalo, N. Y.....	38	37-38	31-32	28-29	t34	t31-32	-	-
New York City.....	38½	d32-39	35	-	31	-	b20½	-
Rochester, N. Y....	43	40-42	29	24-31	-	-	-	-
Philadelphia, Pa...	51	-	35	-	-	-	b20	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	40	39-40	29	29	-	-	b18	b18
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Cincinnati, Ohio...	40	-	32	-	27	-	-	-
Cleveland, Ohio....	f52	44-50	32	26	-	-	s31 st32	s29 st26
Indianapolis, Ind..	43	d41	-	-	25	24	i53 k125	i51 k125
Chicago, Ill.....	45½-48	36-39	38½	29-34	-	-	-	b19-21
Detroit, Mich.....	60	57	29	25-30	-	23	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.....	f36	32-34	28	26-28	25	24	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Minneapolis, Minn..	30	29	-	-	26	24	i55 u59	i49-55 u55
Des Moines, Ia.....	39	d39	25	-	24	-	i58	-
Kans. C., Mo.-Kans.	35	31	-	-	24	21	i47	i43
St. Louis, Mo.....	f44½	-	34	-	-	-	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	31-35	30-35	30	29	25	24-25	i57	i55-57
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Baltimore, Md.....	47	d47	-	-	29	29	i63	i59
Washington, D. C...	46-49	43-47	-	-	28	22-28	i57	i49-58
Richmond, Va.....	46	46	p29	p22	27	22	-	-
Charlotte, N. C....	f40	38-40	-	-	26-27	25-26	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	33	33½	-	-	25	25	-	-
Miami, Fla.....	42	42	35	-	25	-	i54	-
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	f29	29	32	28	-	-	b18	b17
Nashville, Tenn.....	f33	32-33	29	29	-	-	b18	b18
Birmingham, Ala....	32	32	-	-	26	26	k125	k125
Jackson, Miss.....	f38	37-38	-	-	-	-	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
New Orleans, La....	f32	32-33	-	-	26	24-25	-	-
Oklahoma City.....	f34	32	-	-	25	23	i51	i49
Dallas, Tex.....	29	30	-	-	24	-	-	-
El Paso, Tex.....	44	42-44	33	33	26-27	26-28	-	i55-57
MOUNTAIN:								
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	38	38	-	-	23	23	i51	i51
Denver, Colo.....	f49	47	-	-	27	25	-	-
Salt Lake City.....	39	38	32	31	v27	v26	b22 i61	b22 i57
PACIFIC:								
Seattle, Wash.....	f32½	30½-32½	r34	r34	-	-	b20 i65	b20 i65
Portland, Ore.....	35-38	34-36	35	32-35	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	40	-	32-33	-	-	-	b20-21	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

a. Boston g33½; Philadelphia: Golden Guernsey and Vitamin D Grade A, 1¢ higher; Homo. vit. D Golden Guernsey 2¢ higher. b. 8-ounce package. d. In glass. e. Glass 1¢ lower. f. In paper. g. Guernsey. h. Paper 1¢ higher. i. 2-pound package. j. Jersey. k. 5-pound package. n. Includes following service charge for first unit only, each single delivery; Buffalo: 5¢, San Francisco; 3¢. p. 3 and 5-pound package. q. Glass 1¢ higher. r. Pint price. s. 14½-ounce package. t. Whipped cream type. u. 36-ounce package. v. Low fat.

Table 7. --MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, SEPTEMBER 1959

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Percent	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England:							
Boston, 201-210 mile zone	3.7	5.27	8.3	5.10	---	---	6.12
Southeastern New England	3.7	6.44	8.3	6.27	---	---	6.73
Springfield, Massachusetts	3.7	6.47	8.3	6.30	---	---	6.66
Worcester, Massachusetts	3.7	6.47	8.3	6.30	---	---	6.66
Connecticut	3.7	5/6.73	8.0	6.57	---	---	6.67
Middle Atlantic:							
New York-New Jersey, 201-210 mile zone	3.5	4.89	5.4	4.89	---	---	5.91
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	3.7	*5.18	8.0	5.02	---	---	5.54
E. North Central:							
Northeastern Ohio 6/	3.5	4.47	8.0	4.47	---	---	4.79
Cincinnati, Ohio, Grade A	3.5	5/4.75	8.0	4.75	---	---	4.86
Columbus, Ohio	3.5	4.88	7.9	4.88	---	---	4.58
Dayton-Spfld., Ohio, Grade A	3.5	5/4.74	7.5	4.74	---	---	4.66
North Central Ohio	3.5	*4.47	8.2	4.47	---	---	4.45
Toledo, Ohio	3.5	*4.73	7.5	4.73	---	---	4.77
Fort Wayne, Indiana	3.5	4.08	7.2	4.08	---	---	4.47
South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart, Indiana	3.5	4.17	7.5	4.17	---	---	4.34
Chicago, Grade A 55-70 mile zone	3.5	3.60	7.5	3.60	---	---	4/3.84
Quad Cities, Illinois-Iowa	3.5	3.83	7.4	3.83	---	---	4/4.04
Rockford-Freeport, Illinois, Grade A	3.5	3.77	7.5	3.77	---	---	1/3.86
Detroit, Michigan	3.5	3.92	7.0	3.92	4.02	3.10	4/4.36
Michigan Upper Peninsula	3.5	*3.87	7.4	3.87	---	---	4.32
Muskegon, Michigan	3.5	4.29	7.0	4.29	4.39	2.98	4/4.52
Upstate Michigan	3.5	4.24	7.0	4.24	---	---	4.57
Milwaukee, Wisconsin	3.5	*3.75	7.5	3.75	---	---	4/3.80
Northeastern Wisconsin	3.5	3.82	7.5	3.82	---	---	3.90
W. North Central:							
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wisconsin	3.5	3.84	7.5	3.84	---	---	4.25
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota	3.5	3.98	7.8	3.98	---	---	4.10
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Iowa	3.5	3.88	7.1	3.88	---	---	3.99
Des Moines, Iowa	3.5	4.19	7.2	4.19	---	---	4.31
Dubuque, Iowa	3.5	3.77	7.1	3.77	---	---	3.94
Sioux City, Iowa	3.5	5/4.88	8.0	4.88	---	---	4.49
Kansas City, Missouri-Kansas	3.8	4.23	6.7	4.03	---	---	4.37
Neosho Valley, Kansas-Missouri	4.0	4.18	7.5	3.81	---	---	4.46
St. Louis, Missouri	3.5	4.43	7.2	4.43	---	---	4.65
Ozarks, Missouri-Arkansas	3.5	4.22	7.2	4.22	---	---	4.38
Black Hills, South Dakota	3.5	4.71	8.0	4.71	---	---	4/5.11
Eastern South Dakota	3.5	4.17	7.2	4.17	---	---	4.36
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, South Dakota	3.5	4.07	8.0	4.07	---	---	4.34
Omaha-Lincoln-Council Bluffs, Nebr.-Ia.	3.8	5/4.95	8.0	4.71	---	---	4/4.45
Southwest Kansas	3.8	5/5.23	7.5	5.01	---	---	4.78
Wichita, Kansas	3.8	4.29	7.2	4.08	4.51	3.17	4.46
Platte Valley, Nebraska	3.5	5/4.58	8.9	4.58	---	---	4.45
North Central Iowa	3.5	*3.98	7.2	3.98	---	---	3.99
South Atlantic:							
Wilmington, Delaware	4.0	*5.56	5.5	5.29	---	---	5.44
Washington, D. C.	3.5	4.91	8.0	4.91	---	---	4/5.55
Clarksburg, West Virginia	3.5	4.79	7.7	4.79	---	---	5.13
Tri-State area, Kentucky-Ohio-W. Va.	3.5	*5.20	7.5	5.20	---	---	2/5.50
Bluefield, West Virginia-Virginia	4.0	*5.40	7.2	5.04	---	---	5.19
Wheeling, West Virginia	3.5	4.49	7.7	4.49	---	---	4.83
Southeastern Florida	4.0	6.84	7.5	6.47	6.99	4.65	6.63
E. South Central:							
Louisville, Kentucky	3.8	5/4.71	7.0	4.50	---	---	4.37
Paducah, Kentucky	3.5	4.50	7.0	4.50	---	---	4.56
Appalachian, Tennessee, Va. & Ky.	4.0	*5.34	7.1	4.99	---	---	5.19
Chattanooga, Tennessee	4.0	4.77	7.6	4.39	---	---	4/4.65
Knoxville, Tennessee	4.0	4.73	7.5	4.36	---	---	4/4.57
Memphis, Tennessee	4.0	*5.44	7.0	5.09	---	---	5.40
Nashville, Tennessee	4.0	*4.73	7.6	4.35	---	---	4/4.62
Central Mississippi	4.0	5.35	7.0	5.00	---	---	5.42
Mississippi Delta	4.0	5.31	7.1	4.96	---	---	5.26
Mississippi Gulf Coast	4.0	5.59	7.2	5.23	---	---	5.52

Table 7. --MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, SEPTEMBER 1959--CONTINUED

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Percent	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
W. South Central:							
Fort Smith, Arkansas	4.0	*4.71	7.5	4.34	---	---	4.86
Central Arkansas	4.0	5.43	7.2	5.07	---	---	5.40
New Orleans, La., 61-70 mile zone	4.0	5.40	7.1	5.05	---	---	4/5.49
Northern Louisiana	4.0	*5.63	7.2	5.27	---	---	5.43
Oklahoma Metropolitan Area	4.0	4.60	7.5	4.23	---	---	4/4.71
Red River Valley, Oklahoma-Texas	4.0	5.03	7.4	4.66	---	---	4.86
Austin-Waco, Texas, Zone 1	4.0	*5.51	6.9	5.17	---	---	3/5.32
Central West Texas, Abilene zone	4.0	5.35	7.5	4.98	---	---	5.17
Corpus Christi, Texas	4.0	*5.76	7.2	5.40	---	---	5.57
North Texas	4.0	4.86	7.0	4.51	---	---	4.92
San Antonio, Texas	4.0	5.56	6.9	5.22	---	---	5.34
Texas Panhandle	4.0	5.38	7.2	5.02	---	---	5.18
Mountain:							
Colorado Springs-Pueblo, Colorado	3.5	4.85	8.2	4.85	---	---	5.20
Western Colorado	3.5	*4.88	8.0	4.88	---	---	5.05
Central Arizona	3.8	5.30	7.9	5.06	---	---	5.61
Pacific:							
Puget Sound, Wash.	4.0	4.39	7.6	4.01	4.51	3.68	4/4.66
Inland Empire, Washington-Idaho	4.0	4.92	7.8	4.53	5.08	3.43	4.90

* Individual-handler pool. Weighted average of handlers' prices.
1/Freeport plants: Class I $3.82.
2/Huntington plants.
3/Austin 7.5¢ and Waco 22.5¢ less, respectively.
4/ Negotiated price (does not include bulk-tank pickup premiums):

Chicago	$4.00	Milwaukee	$3.96	Chattanooga	$5.21	New Orleans	$5.64
Rock Island	4.15	Omaha-Lin.	4.70	Knoxville	5.21	Oklahoma City	5.18
Detroit	4.94	Washington, D.C.	5.96	Nashville	4.99(Aug. $4.60)	Puget Sound	5.05
				Muskegon	4.57	Black Hills	5.36

5/ Includes fall incentive payments:

Connecticut	15¢	Cincinnati	32¢	Omaha-Lin. C.B.	38¢	Southwest Kansas	52¢
Dayton-Springfield	25¢	Sioux City	46¢	Platte Valley	40¢	Louisville	40¢

6/At Cleveland, Akron, and Canton.

Table 8. --NET PRICES PAID MEMBERS OF PRODUCERS' ASSOCIATIONS, SEPTEMBER-OCTOBER 1959 1/

City	Net blended price paid for 3.5% milk per cwt. 2/	Month	Dealers' buying price for Class I milk per cwt.
	Dollars		Dollars
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania	4.70	September	6.39
Evansville, Indiana	4.02	September	4.41
Baltimore, Maryland	4.81	October	5.55
Richmond, Virginia	---	September	6.10
South Carolina	3/ 5.73	September	6.15
Denver, Colorado	4/ 4.62	October	5.67
Salt Lake City, Utah	4.16	September	5.56

1/ Prices usually specified as follows:
Class I - Milk for fluid consumption.
Class II - Milk from which cream is obtained for fluid consumption.
Class III and IV - Milk used in manufactured dairy products.

2/ F.O.B. CITY unless indicated otherwise.
3/ Average blend price paid by all plants in the State
4/ Base price; surplus price $2.59.

SALES OF MILK AND CREAM AND RECEIPTS FROM PRODUCERS IN CERTAIN FEDERAL ORDER AND STATE MARKETING AREAS, AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 1959

Preliminary whole milk sales reported for September

According to preliminary data, average daily whole milk sales in 49 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas during September advanced 11 percent from the preceding month and were more than 1 percent above September last year. Total sales from January through September this year were up 2 percent from the same period in 1958.

Whole milk sales up 2 percent from August 1958

Average daily sales of whole milk in the 52 areas during August were at about the same level as in July, but were 2 percent above the August sales last year. Decreases from August 1958 were reported in only 6 of the 52 markets. The Cleveland and Akron-Stark County marketing areas were merged into a new Northeastern Ohio Federal Order on August 1, 1959. Cumulative sales in 51 of the 52 markets (Connecticut excluded) during the first 8 months of 1959 were 2 percent above the January-August total a year earlier. Fat tests of whole milk sales averaged 3.55 percent this August, compared with 3.55 percent in July and 3.56 percent in August last year.

Skim milk sales 10 percent above year earlier

August sales of skim milk products, on an average daily basis, in 52 marketing areas were up fractionally from the preceding month and up 10 percent from August 1958. Gains from August a year ago were shown in 8 of the 10 largest skim milk markets in the United States. The increases for these markets ranged from 7 percent in Puget Sound to 32 percent in Minneapolis-St. Paul. Decreases from August last year were reported in only 5 of the 52 markets. Cumulative daily skim milk sales in 51 markets (Connecticut excluded) from January through August this year were 9 percent above the total for the same period in 1958. The average fat test of all skim milk products, at 1.11 percent, was slightly higher than for both July this year and August last year.

Half-and-half sales again larger

Daily sales of milk and cream mixtures (half-and-half in most markets) in 51 marketing areas (Connecticut excluded) in August declined 2 percent from the previous month, but were more than 2 percent above the August sales last year. Sales in the Chicago market, which account for about a third of all half-and-half sales in the 51 markets, were down 4 percent from July and 5 percent from August a year ago. Cumulative daily sales during the first 8 months of 1959 in the 51 markets were 4 percent larger than the January-August total in 1958. August fat tests of milk and cream mixture sales averaged 11.7 percent. This was the same as for both the previous month and August last year.

Decrease of 3 percent shown in fluid cream sales

Fluid cream sales (average daily basis) in 45 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas (3 Mass. markets and Connecticut excluded) this August were off 4 percent from the previous month and 3 percent from August 1958. Sales were down in 28 of the 48 markets, about the same in 5, and up from August

(Continued on page 27)

Table 9.--WHOLE MILK: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for August 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ August 1958		3/ July 1959		3/ August 1959		4/ Aug.1959 as %		Jan.-Aug. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Aug. 1958	July 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass	2,225	3.76	2,195	-	2,229	-	100	102	100
Springfield, Mass	406	3.65	408	-	412	-	101	101	100
Worcester, Mass	269	3.69	268	-	271	-	101	101	100
Connecticut 5/	2,018	-	2,092	3.65	2,069	3.66	102	99	-
Niagara Frontier -S	958	3.56	979	3.55	989	3.49	103	101	101
Rochester, N. Y. -S	402	3.55	417	3.54	414	3.50	103	99	101
Philadelphia, Pa	1,917	3.71	1,934	3.69	1,916	3.69	100	99	101
Northeastern Ohio 9/	2,408	3.54	2,463	3.53	2,436	3.53	101	99	100
Cincinnati, Ohio	551	3.52	604	3.52	600	3.52	109	99	106
Columbus, Ohio	496	3.51	517	3.51	518	3.51	104	100	104
Dayton-Springfield Ohio	522	3.54	574	3.52	564	3.52	108	98	106
Toledo, Ohio	495	3.51	493	3.51	486	3.52	98	99	101
Fort Wayne, Ind	113	3.53	119	3.55	115	3.55	102	97	103
Chicago, Ill	4,783	3.49	4,874	3.49	4,851	3.50	101	99	101
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia	189	3.49	201	3.46	199	3.47	106	99	103
Detroit, Mich	2,961	3.52	3,044	3.52	2,994	3.52	101	98	100
Milwaukee, Wis	826	3.49	857	3.50	847	3.51	103	99	101
Minneapolis-St.Paul, Minn	1,075	3.52	1,067	3.50	1,076	3.50	100	101	102
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia	103	3.32	111	3.35	107	3.36	104	96	102
Sioux City, Iowa	73	3.42	76	3.43	75	3.43	103	99	104
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans	937	3.47	881	3.46	899	3.45	96	102	99
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo	188	3.51	187	3.51	188	3.49	100	100	100
St. Louis, Mo	1,087	3.37	1,029	3.36	1,034	3.37	95	100	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D	60	3.37	63	3.34	65	3.37	108	103	104
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	373	3.55	389	3.53	391	3.53	105	100	106
Southwest Kansas	95	3.39	100	3.39	99	3.38	104	99	108
Wichita, Kansas	230	3.28	242	3.30	246	3.28	107	102	101
Wilmington, Del	210	3.61	224	3.59	221	3.59	105	99	105
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va	68	3.71	66	3.71	67	3.70	99	101	102
Clarksburg, W. Va	145	3.64	152	3.64	153	3.62	106	101	104
Wheeling, W. Va	292	3.57	301	3.56	303	3.58	104	101	102
South Carolina -S 6/	738	-	750	-	748	-	101	100	103
Southeastern Florida	861	3.80	888	3.74	900	3.73	105	101	105
Louisville, Ky	516	3.69	550	3.66	546	3.66	106	99	104
Paducah, Ky	68	3.63	76	3.63	75	3.65	110	99	103
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky	151	3.67	154	3.64	156	3.62	103	101	105
Chattanooga, Tenn	190	3.98	193	3.94	195	3.96	103	101	102
Knoxville, Tenn	181	3.68	188	3.67	191	3.67	105	101	103
Memphis, Tenn	328	3.74	341	3.70	342	3.71	104	100	105
Nashville, Tenn	261	3.57	271	3.58	275	3.58	105	101	107
Central Mississippi	274	3.98	285	3.92	294	3.92	107	103	109
Central Arkansas	253	3.71	270	3.72	274	3.71	108	102	108
New Orleans, La	546	3.92	555	3.90	558	3.89	102	100	101
Oklahoma Metropolitan	693	3.66	695	3.64	702	3.63	101	101	102
Austin-Waco, Texas	372	3.60	381	3.55	373	3.52	100	98	105
Corpus Christi, Texas	362	3.56	355	3.61	365	3.59	101	103	103
North Texas	1,193	3.60	1,198	3.52	1,200	3.53	101	100	102
San Antonio, Texas	424	3.52	441	3.53	439	3.47	103	100	106
Texas Panhandle	190	3.52	209	3.53	208	3.51	110	100	107
Central Arizona	651	3.54	686	3.57	677	3.52	104	99	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	239	3.62	234	3.62	235	3.62	99	101	101
Puget Sound, Wash	1,170	3.53	1,144	3.54	1,149	3.54	98	100	102
Total(52 market areas)4/ August 1959	36,138	7/3.56	36,792	7/3.55	36,737	7/3.55	101.7	99.9	8/101.8
September 1959	10/40,304	-	11/36,737	-	12/40,838	-	13/101.3	14/111.2	15/101.8

1/ Quantity includes plain and flavored whole milk with milkfat content meeting minimum standards sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales include creamed buttermilk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959, all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, Connecticut, and South Carolina for purposes of comparison. 8/ Excludes Connecticut. 9/ Cleveland and Akron-Stark County merged August 1, 1959. 10/ September 1958. 11/ August 1959. 12/ September 1959. 13/ September 1959/1958. 14/ September/August 1959. 15/ January-September 1959/1958.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 10.--SKIM MILK PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for August 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ August 1958		3/ July 1959		3/ August 1959		4/ Aug.1959 as %		Jan.-Aug. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Aug. 1958	July 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	82.1	1.01	86.6	-	87.2	-	106	101	104
Springfield, Mass.....	19.9	.65	19.9	-	20.4	-	102	102	107
Worcester, Mass.....	10.3	1.11	11.3	-	11.5	-	112	102	106
Connecticut 5/.....	104.0	-	89.0	.59	101.8	.59	98	114	-
Niagara Frontier -S.....	32.9	.85	34.3	.75	35.5	.77	108	103	107
Rochester, N. Y. -S 8/.....	40.5	.57	42.6	.57	42.2	.57	104	99	102
Philadelphia, Pa.....	106.6	.94	118.9	.86	116.6	.94	109	98	107
Northeastern Ohio 9/.....	183.1	1.29	214.2	1.28	204.4	1.34	112	95	113
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	66.4	1.43	69.5	1.61	69.9	1.67	105	100	104
Columbus, Ohio.....	44.2	1.08	48.3	1.04	49.2	1.08	111	102	107
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio...	58.9	1.56	60.8	1.54	58.9	1.54	100	97	104
Toledo, Ohio.....	21.8	.62	25.8	.77	25.3	.76	116	98	115
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	10.4	1.36	14.0	1.47	12.5	1.44	121	89	112
Chicago, Ill.....	365.5	1.38	418.7	1.37	421.0	1.38	115	101	112
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	40.8	1.56	42.3	1.43	42.8	1.46	105	101	104
Detroit, Mich.....	144.0	.84	167.1	.83	162.6	.81	113	97	108
Milwaukee, Wis.....	73.6	1.06	87.6	1.07	88.2	1.05	120	101	122
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	104.7	.90	133.8	.82	138.2	.88	132	103	128
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	18.5	1.05	20.1	1.08	19.2	1.08	103	95	112
Sioux City, Ia.....	10.2	1.03	10.4	.98	10.3	1.00	101	99	98
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	66.7	1.04	87.1	1.22	89.4	1.30	134	103	146
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo....	9.3	.96	11.5	1.04	11.2	1.04	120	98	116
St. Louis, Mo.....	87.0	1.46	106.3	1.56	109.6	1.54	126	103	102
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D..	10.7	1.68	11.5	1.58	11.6	1.58	108	101	108
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	41.6	.64	42.7	.58	42.3	.59	102	99	102
Southwest Kansas.....	3.5	1.14	3.7	1.00	3.8	1.11	109	103	115
Wichita, Kans.....	11.7	.52	12.7	.58	12.9	.61	111	102	95
Wilmington, Del.....	10.3	.99	11.3	.87	11.0	.89	107	97	103
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	7.7	.43	7.8	.68	7.5	.68	98	96	95
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	8.3	1.03	9.7	1.19	9.3	1.23	112	96	104
Wheeling, W. Va.....	12.0	1.03	14.0	1.00	14.0	1.02	117	101	104
South Carolina -S 6/.....	147.1	-	152.1	-	158.4	-	108	104	104
Southeastern Florida.....	95.4	.72	98.3	.73	88.9	.76	93	90	102
Louisville, Ky.....	55.1	1.25	59.3	1.25	58.9	1.26	107	99	106
Paducah, Ky.....	10.6	1.10	11.1	.97	11.7	1.16	110	105	126
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	25.7	.77	28.6	.80	28.0	.80	109	98	111
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	34.2	.69	37.0	.77	37.0	.80	108	100	101
Knoxville, Tenn.....	36.5	.81	40.5	.89	40.6	.80	111	100	107
Memphis, Tenn.....	40.8	.61	43.0	.58	44.0	.72	108	102	103
Nashville, Tenn.....	51.2	.68	51.8	.67	51.1	.64	100	99	100
Central Mississippi.....	32.6	1.14	34.8	1.07	35.6	1.11	109	102	110
Central Arkansas.....	36.7	1.34	39.1	1.29	40.3	1.31	110	103	105
New Orleans, La.....	31.0	.97	34.1	1.21	34.0	1.22	110	100	108
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	49.3	1.06	52.6	1.21	55.8	1.18	113	106	105
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	23.9	.93	25.7	2.01	24.8	.98	104	96	99
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	21.4	1.29	20.1	1.28	20.7	1.41	97	103	101
North Texas.....	98.3	1.03	95.9	.76	95.8	1.03	98	100	96
San Antonio, Texas.....	24.7	1.38	23.8	1.35	24.9	1.36	101	104	102
Texas Panhandle.....	14.2	1.27	14.7	1.37	14.9	1.32	105	101	104
Central Arizona.....	61.1	.92	71.6	1.01	68.4	1.02	112	96	110
Inland Empire,Wash.-Idaho..	21.5	.47	24.1	.55	22.8	.50	106	95	109
Puget Sound, Wash.....	118.9	.84	126.6	.91	127.1	.90	107	100	113
Total (52 markets) 4/	2,837.3	7/1.07	3,118.5	7/1.09	3,124.2	7/1.11	110.1	100.2	10/108.7

1/ Quantity includes plain and fortified skim and part-skim milk, buttermilk and cultured specialties, and flavored milk drinks sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales exclude creamed buttermilk but include some flavored whole milk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State Control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959 all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, Connecticut, and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison. 8/ July 1958 quantity 41.3; milkfat test .58 percent; total 53 markets 2,871.4; milkfat test 1.08 percent. 9/ Cleveland and Akron-Stark County merged August 1, 1959. 10/ Excludes Connecticut.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 11.--MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for August 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ August 1958		3/ July 1959		3/ August 1959		4/Aug. 1959 as %		Jan.-Aug. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Aug. 1958	July 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England 5/	2.5	11.4	1.9	-	2.1	-	86	110	88
Niagara Frontier -S	3.1	10.5	7.9	10.8	7.7	10.8	251	98	118
Rochester, N. Y. -S	2.9	10.8	3.1	10.6	3.2	10.7	111	103	112
Philadelphia, Pa	7.0	11.1	9.7	11.5	9.3	11.7	132	96	150
Northeastern Ohio 8/	32.2	11.3	35.2	11.4	35.2	11.7	109	100	110
Cincinnati, Ohio	7.0	11.3	7.7	11.2	8.1	11.3	115	104	115
Columbus, Ohio	8.9	11.4	9.2	11.6	9.1	11.5	102	99	107
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	9.2	11.8	9.6	11.7	9.3	11.8	101	97	106
Toledo, Ohio	14.0	10.8	13.8	10.9	13.1	10.9	93	95	100
Fort Wayne, Ind	2.2	12.3	2.0	12.1	2.4	11.8	108	120	106
Chicago, Ill	200.9	12.1	199.2	12.0	191.1	12.0	95	96	98
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia	8.0	12.2	7.9	12.3	7.5	12.2	94	95	95
Detroit, Mich	60.2	10.6	64.9	10.6	62.6	10.6	104	97	107
Milwaukee, Wis	15.8	12.5	18.0	12.5	16.6	12.5	105	92	107
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn	32.2	11.9	31.5	12.0	31.2	11.9	97	99	100
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia	4.2	11.7	4.4	12.1	4.1	12.2	97	93	97
Sioux City, Ia	3.2	11.5	3.0	11.5	2.9	11.5	92	97	94
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans	15.3	11.9	16.0	11.9	16.1	11.9	105	100	110
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo	5.5	12.2	5.6	12.3	5.4	12.3	98	96	100
St. Louis, Mo	15.6	11.7	16.1	11.8	15.8	12.2	101	98	107
Sioux Falls-Mitchell,S.D	2.0	13.1	2.1	12.7	1.9	12.3	95	94	98
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	13.6	11.8	13.5	11.8	13.5	11.8	99	100	100
Southwest Kansas	2.4	12.0	2.5	11.6	2.5	11.6	101	98	103
Wichita, Kans	5.3	11.4	5.6	11.3	5.6	11.4	106	100	104
Wilmington, Del	.6	11.7	.9	11.2	.9	11.2	139	96	155
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va	.2	12.1	.2	12.0	.2	12.0	106	94	110
Clarksburg, W. Va	1.8	11.9	1.9	11.8	1.8	11.8	104	99	105
Wheeling, W. Va	2.2	11.2	2.3	11.8	2.3	11.7	108	103	111
South Carolina -S 6/	2.4	-	3.4	-	3.4	-	142	101	133
Southeastern Florida	15.4	9.5	18.9	9.3	18.4	9.4	120	97	118
Louisville, Ky	5.3	11.9	5.7	11.9	5.6	12.0	107	99	104
Paducah, Ky	.4	12.4	.5	13.0	.5	13.0	116	99	111
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky	.4	13.5	.5	13.2	.5	12.8	118	102	110
Chattanooga, Tenn	.1	12.0	.4	12.0	.5	12.1	646	105	507
Knoxville, Tenn	1.4	12.3	1.4	12.5	1.4	12.0	106	106	105
Memphis, Tenn	2.3	12.0	2.7	12.0	2.7	12.0	117	101	116
Nashville, Tenn	3.2	12.2	3.3	12.3	3.2	12.1	102	99	100
Central Mississippi	3.5	12.7	4.0	13.0	3.9	13.7	114	97	114
Central Arkansas	3.6	11.9	3.9	12.0	3.8	12.0	107	98	109
New Orleans, La	4.0	12.3	4.7	12.2	4.7	12.3	116	99	113
Oklahoma Metropolitan	11.2	12.1	12.3	11.9	12.3	11.9	110	100	106
Austin-Waco, Texas	5.4	12.5	5.8	12.4	5.7	12.4	105	98	106
Corpus Christi, Texas	5.6	12.2	5.6	12.2	5.6	12.2	100	101	100
North Texas	18.9	12.0	20.4	12.2	19.9	12.2	105	97	106
San Antonio, Texas	7.0	12.2	7.2	12.1	7.3	12.3	104	100	101
Texas Panhandle	3.1	13.5	3.4	12.7	3.3	12.8	104	97	105
Central Arizona	10.9	11.6	11.9	11.8	11.9	11.8	109	100	106
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	5.9	12.4	5.6	12.2	6.0	12.2	102	108	100
Puget Sound, Wash	33.0	11.7	33.6	11.7	33.8	11.8	102	101	104
Total (51 markets) 4/	618.5	7/11.7	648.7	7/11.7	633.8	7/11.7	102.5	97.7	104.3

1/ Quantity includes products such as milk and cream mixtures with milkfat content higher than whole milk and lower than the minimum for fluid cream; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. 5/ Combined for Boston, Springfield and Worcester. S- Under State control. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all New England markets and South Carolina for purposes of comparison. 8/ Cleveland and Akron-Stark County merged August 1, 1959.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 12.--FLUID CREAM PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for August 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ August 1958		3/ July 1959		3/ August 1959		4/Aug.1959 as %		Jan.-Aug. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Aug. 1958	July 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Niagara Frontier -S.....	14.7	23.4	11.7	23.7	11.7	23.4	79	100	95
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	4.7	23.8	4.7	24.7	4.5	24.2	96	96	98
Philadelphia, Pa.....	34.4	21.7	32.8	21.9	32.5	21.9	94	99	91
Northeastern Ohio 7/.....	35.7	19.5	34.3	19.6	32.9	18.9	92	96	95
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	6.3	20.5	5.9	20.8	5.7	20.7	91	97	92
Columbus, Ohio.....	5.6	19.8	5.8	19.8	5.6	20.0	100	97	103
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	4.1	21.6	4.2	21.7	4.1	21.6	100	96	101
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.0	24.5	3.3	25.6	3.2	25.7	106	97	95
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	.9	22.7	.7	24.0	.8	22.7	90	106	93
Chicago, Ill.....	52.8	20.9	55.5	20.8	53.0	20.6	100	95	99
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	.8	27.3	1.4	24.1	1.2	23.6	160	88	147
Detroit, Mich.....	43.7	19.3	42.7	19.5	40.6	19.3	93	95	92
Milwaukee, Wis.....	11.0	24.2	11.4	24.4	10.4	23.8	95	92	96
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn	15.0	27.3	15.8	27.7	14.4	27.2	96	91	96
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	.3	28.7	.4	27.8	.4	27.3	103	81	108
Sioux City, Ia.....	.3	27.5	.3	28.1	.3	27.5	112	87	105
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	7.7	22.5	7.5	22.8	7.0	22.6	91	94	97
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	.5	31.1	.5	30.7	.5	30.6	101	95	102
St. Louis, Mo.....	11.3	19.1	11.4	18.5	10.8	18.8	95	95	98
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	.4	35.3	.4	32.8	.6	28.4	145	127	104
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	2.8	23.6	2.8	24.1	2.5	23.7	88	88	95
Southwest Kansas.....	.2	34.0	.2	31.3	.2	31.4	109	97	101
Wichita, Kans.....	1.3	22.3	1.2	23.5	1.1	23.0	88	94	84
Wilmington, Del.....	3.5	22.6	3.2	22.8	3.1	22.8	88	95	94
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	.2	26.3	.2	26.0	.2	25.4	97	105	96
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	.5	24.1	.4	22.6	.4	22.7	84	95	97
Wheeling, W. Va.....	2.1	20.8	2.1	20.9	2.1	20.9	98	98	97
South Carolina -S 5/.....	3.0	-	3.5	-	3.0	-	99	85	95
Southeastern Florida.....	17.8	20.3	21.1	21.4	19.3	21.2	108	92	105
Louisville, Ky.....	3.2	23.2	3.2	22.8	3.1	22.7	95	96	102
Paducah, Ky.....	.2	37.3	.2	35.8	.2	36.1	103	98	97
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	.6	24.6	.5	24.6	.6	24.2	94	104	96
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	1.5	25.3	1.4	26.1	1.4	25.8	92	100	89
Knoxville, Tenn.....	.9	28.8	1.0	29.2	.9	29.3	99	95	98
Memphis, Tenn.....	4.2	21.8	4.2	21.9	4.1	21.8	100	100	101
Nashville, Tenn.....	.9	30.8	.9	31.7	.9	31.1	95	100	93
Central Mississippi.....	1.3	29.4	1.3	29.8	1.2	29.9	94	94	96
Central Arkansas.....	1.1	29.2	1.2	29.6	1.2	28.8	107	102	98
New Orleans, La.....	4.3	22.6	4.4	22.0	4.3	21.8	100	97	98
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	4.3	24.8	4.2	25.3	4.0	25.6	92	93	94
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	1.9	29.2	1.8	30.1	1.7	30.2	88	93	97
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	.9	28.6	.8	28.1	.8	28.6	99	101	102
North Texas.....	8.5	27.7	9.1	28.6	8.9	28.1	105	98	101
San Antonio, Texas.....	1.3	27.5	1.5	25.8	1.8	24.7	132	115	102
Texas Panhandle.....	.7	28.5	.9	28.7	.8	27.7	105	90	107
Central Arizona.....	2.8	22.1	3.1	21.5	3.1	21.6	112	98	110
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	2.5	27.2	2.7	27.8	2.5	27.4	98	91	93
Puget Sound, Wash.....	13.9	26.7	16.5	26.5	16.1	25.6	116	98	109
Total (48 markets) 4/	339.6	6/22.1	344.5	6/22.3	329.5	6/22.0	97.0	95.6	97.2

1/ Quantity includes sweet and soured cream meeting minimum milkfat standards sold within the marketing area; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ Excludes South Carolina for purposes of comparison. 7/ Cleveland and Akron-Stark County merged August 1, 1959.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 13.--TOTAL FLUID ITEMS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for August 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/ August 1958		3/ July 1959		3/ August 1959		4/Aug.1959 as %		Jan.-Aug. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Aug. 1958	July 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass	2,309	3.66	2,282	-	2,377	-	100	102	100
Springfield, Mass	427	3.53	429	-	434	-	102	101	101
Worcester, Mass	279	3.60	280	-	283	-	101	101	100
Niagara Frontier -S	1,009	3.78	1,033	3.74	1,044	3.67	103	101	101
Rochester, N. Y.	450	3.54	468	3.53	464	3.48	103	99	101
Philadelphia, Pa	2,065	3.89	2,095	3.86	2,075	3.85	100	99	102
Northeastern Ohio 7/	2,659	3.69	2,746	3.66	2,709	3.66	102	99	101
Cincinnati, Ohio	630	3.56	687	3.56	684	3.57	108	100	105
Columbus, Ohio	555	3.61	580	3.59	582	3.59	105	100	104
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	595	3.60	649	3.58	636	3.57	107	98	106
Toledo, Ohio	534	3.71	536	3.71	528	3.70	99	98	101
Fort Wayne, Ind	126	3.64	136	3.57	131	3.62	104	96	104
Chicago, Ill	5,402	3.84	5,547	3.81	5,517	3.80	102	100	102
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia	238	3.53	253	3.51	251	3.49	105	99	103
Detroit, Mich	3,209	3.74	3,319	3.73	3,260	3.72	102	98	100
Milwaukee, Wis	927	3.70	974	3.69	963	3.66	104	99	102
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,227	3.80	1,248	3.74	1,260	3.69	103	101	104
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	126	3.33	136	3.37	131	3.37	104	96	103
Sioux City, Iowa	87	3.51	89	3.51	89	3.50	102	99	103
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans	1,027	3.58	992	3.55	1,011	3.53	98	102	102
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo	203	3.70	205	3.68	205	3.65	101	100	101
St. Louis, Mo	1,201	3.48	1,163	3.46	1,170	3.46	97	101	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell,S. D.	74	3.57	77	3.50	79	3.50	107	102	105
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia.	431	3.66	448	3.63	449	3.62	104	100	105
Southwest Kansas	102	3.58	107	3.57	106	3.55	104	99	108
Wichita, Kansas	248	3.42	261	3.43	265	3.40	107	102	101
Wilmington, Del	225	3.81	239	3.75	236	3.74	105	99	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va	76	3.46	75	3.47	75	3.48	99	101	101
Clarksburg, W. Va	155	3.66	164	3.63	164	3.62	106	100	104
Wheeling, W. Va	308	3.64	319	3.63	322	3.64	104	101	102
South Carolina -S 5/	891	-	909	-	913	-	103	100	103
Southeastern Florida	989	3.89	1,026	3.91	1,027	3.91	104	100	105
Louisville, Ky	579	3.64	619	3.60	613	3.60	106	99	104
Paducah, Ky	79	3.42	88	3.42	88	3.44	110	100	106
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky	178	3.34	183	3.28	185	3.28	104	101	106
Chattanooga, Tenn	226	3.63	232	3.59	234	3.61	104	101	102
Knoxville, Tenn	220	3.36	231	3.34	233	3.32	106	101	104
Memphis, Tenn	375	3.65	391	3.61	393	3.63	105	100	105
Nashville, Tenn	316	3.27	327	3.28	330	3.28	104	101	106
Central Mississippi	311	3.88	326	3.83	335	3.82	108	103	109
Central Arkansas	295	3.61	314	3.62	320	3.60	108	102	107
New Orleans, La	585	3.95	599	3.94	601	3.93	103	100	102
Oklahoma Metropolitan	758	3.73	764	3.73	774	3.70	102	101	102
Austin-Waco, Texas	403	3.68	414	3.70	405	3.60	100	98	104
Corpus Christi, Texas	390	3.62	381	3.66	392	3.65	100	103	103
North Texas	1,319	3.69	1,324	3.62	1,324	3.65	100	100	102
San Antonio, Texas	457	3.61	473	3.63	473	3.57	103	100	106
Texas Panhandle	208	3.60	228	3.62	227	3.59	109	100	107
Central Arizona	726	3.51	773	3.53	761	3.50	105	98	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	269	3.79	266	3.77	267	3.77	99	100	101
Puget Sound, Wash	1,336	3.74	1,321	3.78	1,326	3.76	99	100	103
Total (51 markets) 4/	37,814	6/3.71	38,724	6/3.69	38,656	6/3.68	102.2	99.8	102.3

1/ Quantity includes all items shown in prior tables except fluid cream for Massachusetts markets which has been excluded for purposes of comparison; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison. 7/ Cleveland and Akron-Stark County merged August 1, 1959.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, September 1959

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	Sept. 1958 2/	Aug. 1959 3/	Sept. 1959 3/	Sept.1959 as % of 1958	Sept. 1958 2/	Aug. 1959 3/	Sept. 1959 3/	Sept.1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.	5,113	4,865	4,988	98	445	454	472	106
Springfield, Mass.	505	461	478	95	508	497	507	100
Worcester, Mass.	369	390	394	107	552	554	565	102
Connecticut 4/	2,457	2,364	2,469	-	-	759	776	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Niagara Frontier -S	1,898	1,771	1,877	99	550	556	591	107
Rochester, N. Y. -S	822	805	840	102	651	671	701	108
New York-New Jersey	24,156	24,075	25,110	104	469	483	504	108
Philadelphia, Pa.	4,010	4,418	4,335	108	515	578	571	111
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Northeastern Ohio	4,093	3,880	4,074	100	412	409	430	104
Cincinnati, Ohio	1,209	1,398	1,415	117	324	350	353	109
Columbus, Ohio	755	818	822	109	427	470	475	111
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	985	990	1,046	106	462	495	521	113
North Central Ohio	517	553	576	112	428	448	474	111
Toledo, Ohio	699	726	738	106	475	552	566	119
Fort Wayne, Ind.	283	272	261	92	330	337	328	99
South Bend-LaPort-Elkhart,Ind.	649	653	685	106	503	536	547	109
Chicago, Ill.	12,478	12,077	12,321	99	632	619	635	100
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.	491	495	470	96	530	585	559	106
Rockford-Freeport, Ill.	126	128	128	102	678	690	692	102
Detroit, Mich.	5,390	5,286	5,621	104	467	481	515	110
Muskegon, Mich.	288	251	266	93	555	570	607	109
Upstate Michigan	285	347	352	123	497	572	588	118
Milwaukee, Wis.	1,551	1,479	1,513	98	736	723	742	101
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis.	386	375	374	97	297	321	326	110
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	1,994	2,011	2,027	102	607	629	638	105
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	443	480	460	104	611	662	628	103
North Central Iowa	549	487	519	94	697	666	756	108
Dubuque, Iowa	140	136	118	84	589	656	675	115
Sioux City, Iowa	159	126	136	86	458	686	792	173
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.	1,502	1,554	1,741	116	451	501	566	126
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo.	351	412	443	126	436	514	538	123
St. Louis, Mo.	1,758	1,946	1,890	108	476	569	563	118
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark.	446	547	483	108	440	533	459	104
Black Hills, S. D.	117	124	120	103	838	977	951	114
Eastern South Dakota	81	94	99	122	588	643	659	112
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S. D.	232	255	259	112	700	763	776	111
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia.	642	721	750	117	465	527	549	118
Platte Valley, Nebr.	192	226	226	118	539	641	646	120
Southwest Kansas	137	161	162	119	531	647	668	126
Wichita, Kans.	523	683	723	138	668	701	728	109
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Wilmington, Del.	255	243	247	97	579	787	767	132
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.	138	129	140	101	552	543	502	91
Clarksburg, W. Va.	205	183	198	97	393	363	398	101
Tri-State, Ky.-Ohio-W. Va.	628	652	664	106	336	362	367	109
Wheeling, W. Va.	410	393	445	108	319	327	337	106
Southeastern Florida	951	1,055	1,098	116	9,799	10,049	10,354	106
South Carolina -S 5/	938	949	1,024	109	696	739	799	115

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, September 1959

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	Sept. 1958 2/	Aug. 1959 3/	Sept. 1959 3/	Sept.1959 as % of 1958	Sept. 1958 2/	Aug. 1959 3/	Sept. 1959 3/	Sept.1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	1,009	1,011	1,094	108	522	536	580	111
Paducah, Ky.....	139	144	160	115	449	452	474	106
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky....	389	404	440	113	582	588	632	109
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	392	411	439	112	504	560	599	119
Knoxville, Tenn.....	449	475	498	111	458	504	523	114
Memphis, Tenn.....	517	531	593	115	527	590	643	122
Nashville, Tenn.....	640	691	709	111	431	500	512	119
Central Mississippi.....	488	489	524	107	471	507	551	117
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Fort Smith, Ark.....	128	136	139	109	483	532	543	112
Central Arkansas.....	529	560	597	113	562	659	634	113
New Orleans, La.....	827	890	913	110	348	405	418	120
Northern Louisiana.....	389	388	451	116	657	683	785	120
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	1,269	1,314	1,447	114	487	534	590	121
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	341	415	361	106	917	924	1,037	113
Central West Texas.....	462	488	500	108	874	969	987	113
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	446	406	415	93	872	1,013	1,055	121
North Texas.....	2,894	2,407	2,494	86	768	869	906	118
San Antonio, Texas.....	537	591	608	113	1,023	1,142	1,121	110
Texas Panhandle.....	349	363	395	113	662	709	780	118
MOUNTAIN:								
Central Arizona.....	1,023	1,094	1,266	124	2,283	2,555	2,863	125
PACIFIC:								
Inland Empire,Wash.-Idaho....	424	423	426	100	515	543	553	107
Puget Sound, Wash.....	2,695	2,841	2,842	106	746	814	821	110
Total (68 markets) 6/	96,182	96,553	99,966	103.9	515	541	561	108.9

1/ Excludes producer-handlers who purchase no milk from other producers in Federal markets.
2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Receipts through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; beginning with April 1959, all data from Federal sources. Receipts data prior to this change are not strictly comparable with later data. Federal data include total receipts from pooled plants; data from the State Milk Administrator exclude inter-plant shipments of out-of-State plants to other out-of-State plants.
5/ Includes plant receipts of Grade A milk from South Carolina producers. 6/ Excludes Connecticut receipts.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

(Continued from page 20)

last year in the remaining 15. Fluid cream sales during the first 8 months of this year were 3 percent below the January-August total a year ago. The average fat test of fluid cream sales during August was 22.0 percent--about the same as for both the preceding month and August 1958.

Total product sales gain 2 percent

Average daily sales of all fluid items in 51 marketing areas, on a product pound basis, were at about the same level as in July, but were 2 percent above the August total last year. Increases from August 1958 occurred in all markets except 11. Total product sales from January through August this year were up 2 percent from the same period a year earlier. Tests of all fluid product sales during August averaged 3.68 percent. This was down slightly from both the previous month and August a year ago.

September milk receipts still at higher level

September milk receipts (average daily basis) in 65 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas, at 100.0 million pounds, were up 4 percent from both the preceding month and September 1958. Cumulative average daily receipts during the first 9 months of 1959 were 3 percent above the January-September total last year. September receipts averaged 561 pounds per producer this year, compared with 541 pounds in August and 515 pounds in September a year ago. The average fat test of total receipts for 63 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas this September was 3.66 percent.

Farm milk production about same as for October last year

Milk cows on farms in the United States produced an estimated 9.5 billion pounds of milk this October--about the same level as a year earlier, but 7 percent above the 1948-57 average for the month.

(Continued on page 28)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
AGRICULTURAL ESTIMATES DIVISION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

(Continued from page 27)

Production increased slightly from September to October this year in contrast to a usual decline between these months. Output during the first 10 months of 1959 totaled 106.1 billion pounds, compared with 107.0 billion pounds produced in the same period of 1958. Relative to population, October production would have provided 1.71 pounds of milk per person per day. This was 2 percent less than for the same month last year and 4 percent less than the October average.

34M

FLUID MILK AND CREAM REPORT
DECEMBER 1959

LIBRARY
CURRENT SERIAL RECORD
DEC 30 1959
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

December 17, 1959

SUMMARY

Fluid milk prices throughout the United States in early December showed mixed changes from the preceding month at both producer and consumer levels, the Crop Reporting Board reports. Compared with November, prices paid by dealers for fluid use milk were higher in 11 markets, while decreases were shown in 10 others. A few more advances than declines occurred in both home delivery and store prices this month. Producer prices are not currently determined in a number of markets, but will be reported next month.

Dealer buying prices for fluid use milk in about 160 markets averaged $5.61 per hundredweight (3.5 percent fat basis) in early December. This was a decline of 4 cents from the previous month, but was 6 cents higher than for December last year. Prices paid by dealers this month were 10 cents per hundredweight above the 1953-57 December average. Prices were down from November in only 3 of the 9 regions. The decreases ranged from 2 cents per hundredweight in the Mountain States to 13 cents in the Middle Atlantic. Dealer prices were also off 8 cents per hundredweight in the West North Central area. No change from the previous month was reported for the New England, East South Central, and Pacific States. Slight increases from November were shown in the remaining 3 regions.

Prices per single quart of milk delivered to homes in 25 major cities throughout the United States averaged 26.1 cents in early December -- an increase of 0.1 cent from November and 0.5 cent from the December price in 1958. Compared with the 1953-57 December average, the home delivery price this month was 2.1 cents higher. Milk delivered to homes increased about one-half cent per quart from the previous month in New York City and Detroit, Michigan. Prices were up from one-half to a cent per quart in Washington, D.C. this month. No changes in home delivery single quart prices from early November were reported in the other 22 cities.

Average daily sales of whole milk in 49 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas this September were up 1 percent from the same month last year. Preliminary whole milk sales data for October indicated gains of 3 percent from both the preceding month and October 1958. September skim milk sales were 10 percent above a year earlier. Milk and cream mixture sales (half-and-half) increased 2 percent from September 1958, while fluid cream sales decreased 3 percent. Total fluid product sales in 48 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas were 2 percent above the September total a year ago. Average daily receipts during October in 65 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas showed a gain of 2 percent from the same month last year. Receipts per producer in these 68 markets were up 7 percent from a year earlier.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service — Crop Reporting Board
Da 1-3 (12-59) — Washington, D. C.

PRICES IN FLUID MILK MARKETS, DECEMBER 1959

Class I prices in the New England States averaged $6.67 per hundredweight (3.5 percent fat basis) in early December--unchanged from the previous month, but up 9 cents from December 1958. Milk delivered to homes was down as much as 1 cent per quart from November and store prices were off a cent per quart in Bellows Falls, Vermont. Dealer buying prices in this market are not currently determined.

In the Middle Atlantic region, prices of Class I milk in early December averaged $6.45 per hundredweight. This was a decline of 13 cents from November, but was 3 cents above the December price last year. In the markets under the New York-New Jersey Federal Order, Class I prices declined 18 cents per hundredweight from the previous month. Both home and store prices were one-half cent per quart higher in New York City, but retail prices in the other New York markets were unchanged. Prices of milk delivered to homes were steady in Northeastern New Jersey, while quarts out-of-stores were lower by one-half to one cent per unit.

Prices of milk for fluid use in the East North Central area averaged $4.51 per hundredweight--2 cents higher than in the previous month and 14 cents above the early December price in 1958. Class I prices advanced 16 cents per hundredweight from early November in Cincinnati, Ohio with no changes reported at the retail level. Dealer prices were up 11 cents per hundredweight in Dayton, Ohio. Home and store single quart prices were stable in this market although store gallons were up slightly. Retail prices increased about a cent per quart in Fort Wayne and some strength was shown in half-gallons out-of-stores in Evansville. Dealer buying prices in these 2 Indiana markets are not currently determined. Class I prices were off 17 cents per hundredweight in South Bend, Ind., but home and store prices were unchanged. Retail prices held steady in Alton, Ill., although dealer prices dropped 24 cents per hundredweight. Store prices were up about a cent per quart in Springfield, Ill., where Class I prices were the same as a month earlier. Half-gallons out-of-stores were from 3 to 4 cents lower in Milwaukee. Other store prices showed weakness, but dealer prices were steady in this market.

Dealers throughout the West North Central States paid an average of $4.37 per hundredweight for milk for fluid milk use in early December. This was a decrease of 8 cents from the preceding month, but was an increase of 11 cents from the December price a year earlier. In the Minneapolis-St. Paul market, Class I prices decreased 16 cents per hundredweight from the preceding month. Home delivered prices were steady, but store prices were off slightly in this marketing area. Quarts and half-gallons in stores were up about a cent per unit in Burlington, Iowa. Dealer prices in this market were unchanged. Class I prices were down 15 cents in Des Moines and 17 cents in Ft. Dodge, but retail prices were unchanged. Dealer prices advanced 12 cents per hundredweight in Kansas City, Mo. Home and store prices for this market were unchanged. Class I prices dropped 24 cents in both St. Louis and Springfield. Home delivered milk was up 1 cent per quart in Springfield and half-gallons in stores were up a cent per unit. Milk delivered to homes was off a cent per quart in St. Louis. Store prices were not reported for this market in early December. Dealer prices were up 12 cents per hundredweight in both Kansas City and Topeka, Kansas this month. No changes were reported at the retail level in these markets. Retail prices in Wichita, Kansas, were stable, where Class I prices increased 13 cents.

The average Class I price in the South Atlantic region, at $6.10 per hundredweight, was up 1 cent from November, but was down 13 cents from December a year ago. Class I prices in the Washington, D.C. area were unchanged from early November, but home and store prices in both Washington and the Alexandria-Arlington area were up from one-half to a cent per quart. Half-gallons out-of-stores increased 2 cents in these markets and gallon units were 4 cents higher. Store gallon prices were up 4 to 6 cents per unit in Charleston, West Virginia--the only change reported for that market. Dealers' buying prices were 15 cents per hundredweight higher in Wheeling, but retail prices were unchanged. Store quart and half-gallon prices varied considerably in the Tampa-St. Petersburg market, with no change indicated in dealers' buying prices.

Prices paid by dealers for milk for fluid milk use in the East South Central area averaged $5.34 per hundredweight during early December, unchanged from the previous month, but 26 cents above December last year. Class I and store prices were up slightly from the previous month in Paducah, Ky. Home delivered milk was off a cent per quart in Memphis and half-gallons in stores were down as much as 2 cents per unit. Class I prices in this market were down a little from early November. Both home and store prices were about a cent per quart higher in Jackson, Miss., where Class I prices were up slightly from early November.

Early December buying prices for Class I milk in the West South Central States averaged $5.50 per hundredweight--an increase of 6 cents from November, but 9 cents under the December price in 1958. Dealer buying prices were at about the same level as a month earlier in Fort Smith, Ark. Store half-gallons were reported up 5 cents per unit in this market. Single quarts out-of-stores were down a cent in Little Rock, where dealer prices were off 10 cents per hundredweight. A continuation of price war conditions in Oklahoma City resulted in home and store price decreases of 2 cents per quart. Half-gallons out-of-stores were 5 cents lower and gallon jugs were reported down 16 cents from early November. Dealer prices in this market were up 11 cents from the previous month. In both Austin and Dallas, Texas Class I prices advanced 12 cents per hundredweight with no change shown in retail prices.

In the Mountain region, prices paid by dealers for fluid use milk averaged $5.43 per hundredweight in early December. This was down 2 cents from the preceding month and 24 cents from December a year earlier. Class I prices were off 10 cents per hundredweight from early November in both Phoenix and Tucson, Arizona. Store quarts increased 1 cent and half-gallons were 8 cents per unit higher in Phoenix. Both home and store quarts advanced a cent in Tucson.

Dealer prices on the Pacific Coast in early December averaged $5.44 per hundredweight. This was the same as the November average, but was 19 cents higher than for December 1958. The price war which was extensive in Spokane, Wash. in November made it difficult to report retail prices for that market in early December. Both home and store prices were one-half cent per quart higher than a month earlier in the Santa Barbara, Calif. market, where Class I prices held steady.

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
December 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices b most common grade			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use a			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
NEW ENGLAND:	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
MAINE -S										
Augusta.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d3.07	92	48	23½-24½	26	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	3.7	6.65	8.0	6.49	d3.07	90-92	48	23½	26	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	3.7	6.83	est8.0	6.67	d3.23	92	49	23½	27	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth....	3.7	6.83	est8.0	6.67	d3.25	-	49	23½-24½	27	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls..		Not determined				80	43	21	24	4.0
Burlington.....		Not determined				80	43	22	24-26	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	3.7	6.83	est8.0	6.67	d3.25	94-96	43-45	23-23½	26½-27½	3.7
Fall River.....	3.7	6.90	est8.0	6.74	d3.25	-	39-43	20-25	26-27½	-
Lowell-Lawr....	3.7	6.83	est8.0	6.67	d3.25	-	46	25½	26-27	3.7-3.8
Springfield....	3.7	6.83	est8.0	6.67	d3.25	96	41	24½-25½	28-29½	-
Worcester.....	3.7	6.83	est8.0	6.67	d3.25	87-94	43-45	24-25	28-28½	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport.....	3.7	6.90	est8.0	6.74	d3.25	-	50	25½	m27½	3.7
Providence.....	3.7	6.90	est8.0	6.74	d3.25	92-97	48-49	24½-25½	27½	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	3.7	6.83	est8.0	6.67	d3.25	102	53	28½	30	3.8
New Haven.....	3.7	6.83	est8.0	6.67	d3.25	91-108	56	28½	m30½	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK-S**										
Albany-F.....	3.5	6.154	4.0	6.15	-	-	-	24½	29	3.8
Binghamton-F...	3.5	6.03	4.0	6.03	-	88-94	48	24½	28	3.6-3.8
Buffalo...	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d4.52	92	50	25½	h31½	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	3.5	e6.49-6.88	4.0	6.49-6.88	-	100-106	53	23-28½	32-33	-
Rochester.. ...	3.5	6.40	7.0	6.40	d4.60	96	-	24	27	3.6
Schenectady-F..	3.5	6.142	-	6.14	-	-	51	26	28	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	3.5	5.984	-	5.98	-	-	48	25	28	3.7
NEW JERSEY-S										
Atlantic City..	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.26	98-102	50	25½-26½	29½	3.65
Camden.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.26	88	-	24	27½	3.8
N'east.N.J.F *.	3.5	e6.49-6.88	4.0	6.49-6.88	-	104	-	27	30½	3.5
Trenton.....	3.5	5.87	6.0	5.87	d3.25	88	45	23	26½-27½	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	4	6.50	5.0	6.25	d4.60	97	48½	24½	26	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	4	6.48	5.0	6.23	d3.18	93	47	23½	26	3.7
Johnstown.....	4	6.50	6.0	6.20	-	-	-	-	25½	-
Phila.-F.....	3.7	v5.89	d7.6	5.74	d3.19	94	47½-48½	24-24½	28	-
Pittsburgh.....	4	6.74	7.0	6.39	d4.89	94	48	24½	27	3.5
Reading.....	4	6.50	5.0	6.25	-	94	49	24½	26	3.6
Scranton.....	4	6.40	5.0	6.15	-	94	-	24½	27	3.7
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....		Not determined				-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton.....		Not determined				-	38	20	24	3.5
Cincinnati.....	3.5	5.061	8.73	5.06	5.06	-	45	23-23½	26	3.5
Cleveland.....		Not determined				-	39	20	24	3.5
Columbus.....	3.5	4.758	8.2	4.76	4.76	y78	41	22	24	3.5
Dayton.....	3.5	4.802	8.1	4.80	4.80	-	42	23	24	3.5
Toledo.....		Not determined				-	40-42	21	24-25	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
December 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
NEW ENGLAND:										
MAINE - S										
Augusta.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	26-28	51-52	-	3.7-4.0
Portland.....	HVD	28		53	-	HVD	26-28	51-53	-	3.7-4.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE-S										
Concord.....	CL	27	(D)1¢-5+;2¢-9+;3¢-12+	56	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.2
Man.-Nashua-Portsmouth.....	HVD	28	(D)1¢-5+;2¢-10+	56	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
VERMONT-S										
Bellows Falls...	HVD	25-26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24	47	-	4.0
Burlington.....	CL	24-26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24-25	47	-	3.7-4.0
MASSACHUSETTS-F										
Boston.....	HVD	27½-28½		52-58	102-112	HVD	25½-26½	46-47	85-87	3.7
Fall River.....	HVD	26½-28½	(W)1¢-21+	n47-56	-	HVD	-	43-44	t82	3.7
Lowell-Lawr.....	HVD	28	(D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	n55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.7-4.0
Springfield.....	HVD	30-31		n55-59	t83-86	HVD	27-31	43-44	78	3.7
Worcester.....	HVD	30	(W)½¢-12+;1¢-21+	n55-59	112	HVD	27-28	48	90-93	3.6-3.7
RHODE ISLAND-F*										
Newport.....	H	m28½		-	-	H	27½	54	A	3.8
Providence.....	H	28½-29½	(M)2¢-90+;3¢-120+	56	112	H	j27½	52-53	104	3.7
CONNECTICUT-F										
Hartford.....	HVD	31	(M)1¢-90+;(D)½ gal. 4¢-2+	59-61	-	HVD	29-31	56-59	-	3.7
New Haven.....	HVD	m31½	(D)½ gal. 5¢-2+	62	-	HVD	29-32½	56-59	-	3.7
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
NEW YORK- S**										
Albany-F.....	HVD	29	(D)½ gal. 10¢-2+	57	-	HVD	26	49	-	3.8
Binghamton-F....	H	28	(D)1¢-2+;2¢-4+;3¢-6+;4¢-12+	-	-	H	26-29	49-55	-	3.6-3.8
Buffalo.....	HVD	h32½		h58	-	H	25-30	48-51	-	3.6-3.7
New York-F.....	HVD	33		-	-	H	24½-30½	-	-	-
Rochester.....	HVD	28	(W)1¢-8+;1½¢-13+;2¢-19+;2½¢-25+	-	-	HVD	26-27	49-51	-	3.6
Schenectady-F...	HVD	29	(M)1¢-34+;2¢-54+;3¢-74+;4¢-94+	55	-	HVD	28-29	51-55	-	3.7
Syracuse-F.....	HVD	29	(½ gal. 10¢-2+)	-	-	HVD	25-29	49-57	-	3.7
NEW JERSEY-S										
Atlantic City...	HVD	30½		k58	-	H	q27-32	52-59	-	-
Camden.....	HVD	28½		56	-	HVD	28½	-	-	3.5-3.8
N'east.N.J.F *	r	31½		-	-	r	28-30	-	-	3.5
Trenton	HVD	27½-28		54-55	-	HVD	25	49	-	3.5-3.8
PENNSYLVANIA-S										
Erie.....	HVD	27		53	106	HVD	26-27	51-53	-	3.5-3.7
Harrisburg.....	H	26		52	104	H	25	50	100	3.7
Johnstown.....	HVD	26½		52	104	HVD	25½	50-51	102	-
Phila.-F.....	HVD	29		56	-	H	25½	50	-	-
Pittsburgh.....	H	27		53	-	H	26	51	-	3.5
Reading.....	HVD	27		54	-	HVD	26	52	-	3.6
Scranton.....	CL	27		-	-	H	26	-	-	3.6
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
OHIO-F										
Akron.....	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t68	3.5
Canton.....	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cincinnati.....	HVD	26		49	-	HVD	25-26	48-49	-	3.5
Cleveland.....	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	20	39	t68	3.5
Columbus.....	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	23-24	40-42	-	3.5
Dayton.....	HVD	25		m45	77	HVD	23-25	39-46	57-69	3.5
Toledo.....	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

December 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.	3.5% fat per cwt.		Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville....		Not determined				86	42	21-21½	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F..		Not determined				73	39	21	23	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	3.5	g4.00	d7.7	4.00	-	87	44	22	-	-
Indianapolis..		Not determined				74	39	21	24	3.5
South Bend-F..	3.5	4.37	-	4.37	4.37	80	41	22	24	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	3.5	4.24	-	4.24	-	-	38	21	-	3.5
Chicago-F.....	3.5	eg4.00	d7.7	4.00	3.36	85	44	22½	27-31	3.5
Peoria.....		Not determined				74	39½	21	m26	3.5
Rock Island-F.	3.5	g4.16	8.0	4.16	4.16	77	42	21½	24	3.5-3.6
Springfield...	3.5	f4.00	7.0	4.00	4.00	80	40	22	24	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek..	3.5	4.70	6.5	4.70	-	-	35	19	22	3.8
Detroit-F.....		Not determined				86	44	22	25-25½	3.5
Grand Rapids..	3.5	4.806	7.0	4.81	4.81	65-76	33-39	17-20	23	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	3.5	4.94	7.0	4.94	4.94	70	41	21	k24	3.6
Lansing.....	3.5	est.4.70	7.0	4.70	-	-	-	-	24½	3.6
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	3.5	4.00	7.5	4.00	3.36	72	41	21	24	3.5
Eau Claire....		Not determined				-	-	-	22	3.5
Green Bay-F...	3.5	3.89	8.9	3.89	3.89	70	36.3-39	18.6-20	22	3.6
Madison.....		Not determined				77-79	41	21	23-24	3.5
Milwaukee-F...	3.5	g3.96	7.7	3.96	3.36	68	33	20	24	3.65
Superior-F....	3.5	4.439	8.9	4.44	4.44	76-78	44	22	24	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	3.5	3.50	7.0	3.50	-	-	-	-	20	3.5
Duluth-F.....	3.5	4.439	8.9	4.44	4.44	76-78	44	22	23	3.5
Minneapolis-F.	3.5	3.951	8.2	3.95	3.95	-	-	-	22	-
St. Paul-F....	3.5	3.951	8.2	3.95	3.95	-	-	-	m21	-
Winona.....	3.5	3.55	7.0	3.55	3.55	-	-	i18½	21	-
IOWA										
Burlington....	3.5	4.20	7.0	4.20	4.20	70-72	36	18	22	3.7-3.8
Des Moines-F..	3.5	4.30	7.7	4.30	4.30	76-78	39	20-20½	23	-
Ft. Dodge-F...	3.5	3.96	7.7	3.96	3.96	-	40	20½	24	-
Sioux City-F..		Not determined				78	40	20	23	3.5-3.6
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	4.772	8.3	4.52	4.52	82	41	21	24	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	3.5	4.46	7.7	4.46	4.46	80	-	-	-	-
Springfield...	3.5	4.19	7.7	4.19	4.19	80	38	19-20	23-24	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor....	-	-	-	-	-	72	38	19	-	-
Grand Forks...	-	D.R.	11.2	3.92	-	74	38	19	k21	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	3.5	4.55	7.7	4.55	4.55	-	-	-	-	-
Sioux Falls...	3.5	4.51	10.0	4.51	4.51	76	41	21	21	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....		Not determined				82	38.7	19.8	24	4.0
Omaha-F.....		Not determined				72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Scottsbluff...	3.5	4.95	7.0	4.95	-	78	41	21	k24	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

December 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
INDIANA										
Evansville.....	HVD	24		44-46	-	HVD	22-24	39-46	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne-F...	HVD	23		42-43	76-82	HVD	21-24	38-41	70-75	3.5-3.6
Gary-F.....	HVD	29½		51	88	HVD	24	44-47	83-85	3.5
Indianapolis...	H	24		44	82	H	23	42-44	78	3.5
South Bend-F...	HVD	24		43	82	HVD	23-24	41-43	75	3.5-3.6
ILLINOIS										
Alton.....	HVD	23		44	82	HVD	23	41-45	82	3.0
Chicago-F.....	HVD	27-31		48-58	86-99	HVD	22½-25	44-48	83-90	3.5
Peoria.....	HVD	m26	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-8+(½gal.2¢-3+;5¢-4+)	w49	87	HVD	22	43	69-85	-
Rock Island-F..	H	24		47	87	H	23-24	43-45	81-83	3.5-3.6
Springfield....	HVD	24	(W)1¢-20+;2¢-30+	k45	-	HVD	23-24	39-41	-	3.5
MICHIGAN										
Battle Creek...	HVD	22		43	-	HVD	22	43	-	3.6
Detroit-F.....	HVD	25-25½	Varied discount plans	49	-	HVD	22-25	35-49	-	3.5
Grand Rapids...	H	23	(M)3¢-30+	45	-	H	20-23	30-45	-	3.5-3.7
Kalamazoo.....	H	k24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-120+	47	-	H	21-22	43-45	-	3.6
Lansing.....	HVD	24½		-	-	HVD	21½-24½	-	-	-
WISCONSIN										
Beloit.....	HVD	24		44	79	HVD	22-24	41-44	75-79	3.5
Eau Claire.....	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+(½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	19-20	-	-	3.5
Green Bay-F....	HVD	22	(D)1¢-3+(½ gal. 2¢-2+)	43	-	HVD	20½-21	39½-40	-	3.6
Madison.....	HVD	23-24		45	84-87	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Milwaukee F....	HVD	24		44	80	HVD	22-23	35-36	69-72	3.6
Superior F.....	HVD	24	(D)3¢-2+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
MINNESOTA										
Bemidji.....	HVD	20		40	-	HVD	20	40	-	3.5
Duluth-F.....	HVD	23	(D)½¢-2-5+; all if 6+	k45	-	HVD	22-25	43-48	-	3.5
Minneapolis-F..	HVD	22		m39	72	HVD	18-19	34½-35½	64	3.5
St. Paul-F.....	HVD	m21		m37	-	HVD	20-21	34-35	-	3.5
Winona.....	HVD	21		41	-	HVD	i20-21	i39-41	-	-
IOWA										
Burlington.....	HVD	22		41-43	-	HVD	20-22	39-41	-	3.3-3.5
Des Moines-F...	HVD	m23		k46	-	HVD	j24	-	-	-
Ft. Dodge-F....	HVD	24		47	-	H	24	47	-	-
Sioux City-F...	HVD	23		k46	80	HVD	22-23	44-46	-	3.4-3.5
MISSOURI-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24		46	t87	HVD	21	41	t75	3.4-3.8
St. Louis.....	HVD	28		46	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Springfield....	HVD	23-24		48	-	HVD	24-25	43-44	-	3.8
NORTH DAKOTA										
Bis.-Mandan....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fargo-Moor.....	HVD	k22		k43	-	HVD	21	41-42	-	-
Grand Forks....	HVD	k21		k42	-	HVD	21	42	-	3.5
SOUTH DAKOTA-F										
Aberdeen.....	H	k22		k44	-	H	22	40-42	-	-
Sioux Falls....	HVD	m22		w43	-	HVD	22-23	43-45	-	3.5
NEBRASKA										
Lincoln-F.....	HVD	24		47	t89	HVD	23	45	t85	3.6
Omaha-F.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	80	3.4-4.0
Scottsbluff....	HVD	k24	(D)1¢-3+(2nd ½ gal. 45¢)	k47	-	HVD	24	46-47	-	3.5

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)
December 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City...	3.8	4.772	8.3	4.52	4.52	82	41	21	24	3.3-3.5
Topeka.....	3.8	4.772	8.3	4.52	4.52	74	39	20	-	-
Wichita.....	3.8	4.966	7.7	4.74	4.74	71	38	19½	25	3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington....	4	5.89	5.0	5.64	-	89-90	40	22½	26½	3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	3.5	u est.5.75	7.0	5.75	d3.27	90	45	25½	27	3.7
Cumberland....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL.-F										
Washington....	3.5	gz5.96		5.96	5.96	95	43	25	27-28	4.0
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-F..	3.5	gz5.96		5.96	5.96	95	43	25	27-28	4.0
N'flk.-Ports-S	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	-	49	24½	26	4.0
Richmond-S....	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	47	23½	26½	3.7
Roanoke-S.....	3.5	6.10	7.0	6.10	6.10	88	49	24-25	25	3.8
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston....	-	D.R.	16.44	5.75	3.94	-	52	26½	28½	3.5-3.8
Huntington-F..	Not determined					-	50	25½	27	3.5
Wheeling-F....	3.5	5.01	8.3	5.01	5.01	-	-	-	26	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	49	25	27	4.1-4.2
Charlotte.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	84	47	24	27	3.8-4.1
Durham.....	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	89	49	25	26	-
Wins.-Salem...	4	6.55	6.0	6.25	6.25	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	-	47	24	26	4.0
Columbia.....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	92	47	24	26	4.0
Greenville....	4	6.50	7.0	6.15	6.15	-	-	-	26	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	4	6.66	7.0	6.31	6.31	87	46	23½	m25	4.0
Augusta.....	4	6.66	7.0	6.31	6.31	87	46	23½	m25	4.0
Savannah.....	4	6.93	7.0	6.58	6.58	91	48	24½	m26	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville..	4	7.09	7.5	6.72	-	-	51	26	29	4.0
Miami-F.....	4	7.00	7.5	6.62	-	-	-	-	28-29	4.1
Tampa.....	4	7.09	7.6	6.71	-	-	-	-	27	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F..	3.8	4.782	8.0	4.54	4.54	78	40	21	24	3.7
Paducah-F.....	3.5	4.75	7.7	4.75	4.75	74	36	20	22	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga...	4	g5.70	8.3	5.28	5.28	79	43	22	25	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	4	g5.60	8.3	5.18	5.18	-	43	23	26	3.8
Memphis.....	4	5.35	7.7	4.96	4.96	-	36	20	24	4.0
Nashville.....	4	g5.35	7.7	4.96	4.96	76	34	18½	22	3.6
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham....	4	6.56	6.0	6.26	6.26	-	49½	25¼	26½	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	4	6.56	6.0	6.26	-	-	49½	25¼	26½	3.5-4.0
Montgomery....	4	6.56	6.0	6.26	-	-	-	23¾	k26	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

December 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD - homogenized vitamin D; H - homogenized; CL - creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
W. NORTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
KANSAS-F										
Kansas City....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-50+	46	-	HVD	21	41	t71-73	3.2-3.5
Topeka.....	HVD	k23		45	86	HVD	21	41	77	3.4-3.5
Wichita.....	HVD	k25		48-49	85	HVD	22-24	43-45	79-83	3.3-3.5
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
DELAWARE-F										
Wilmington.....	HVD	27½		48	-	HVD	27½	48	79	3.5-3.7
MARYLAND										
Baltimore.....	HVD	28		49	-	HVD	25½	51	-	3.5-3.6
Cumberland.....	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIS. OF COL. -F										
Washington.....	H	27-28	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	50	-	H	24½-27	43-55	x86	3.5
VIRGINIA										
Alex.-Arl.-F.....	H	27-28	(D)1¢-4+;2¢-6+;3¢-10+	50	-	H	24½-27	43-55	x86	3.9
N'flk-Ports -S.	HVD	27	(M)1¢-78+	k54	-	HVD	27	54	-	4.0
Richmond -S....	H	26½	(D)1¢-6+	k53	-	H	24½	49	-	3.7
Roanoke -S.....	HVD	26		k52	t88	HVD	27-28	52-53	-	3.7
WEST VIRGINIA										
Charleston.....	r	28½		w55	t99	r	28-29	57	t93-99	3.5-3.6
Huntington-F...	HVD	28		55	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Wheeling-F.....	r	26		51	-	r	26	-	-	3.5
NORTH CAROLINA-S										
Asheville.....	HVD	27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8-4.0
Charlotte.....	HVD	27		51	-	HVD	26-27	51-52	-	3.8-3.9
Durham.....	HVD	27		53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8
Wins.-Salem....	HVD	k27		k53	-	HVD	27	53	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA										
Charleston.....	HVD	26		51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
Columbia.....	HVD	26	(W)1¢-24+(½ gal. 1¢-12+)	51	-	HVD	26	49-51	-	4.0
Greenville.....	HVD	26	(W)2¢-24+(½ gal. 4¢-12+)	51	-	HVD	26	51	-	4.0
GEORGIA-S										
Atl.-Col.-Macon	H	m25		w49	97	H	j26	51	97	4.0
Augusta.....	H	m25		w49	97	H	j26	51	97	4.0
Savannah.....	H	m26		w51	101	H	j27	53	101	4.0
FLORIDA										
Jacksonville...	HVD	29		55	102	HVD	29	55	102	4.0
Miami-F.....	HVD	29-30		w55	105	HVD	28-29	57	-	4.0
Tampa.....	HVD	28		55	95	HVD	25-28	48-55	89	4.0
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
KENTUCKY										
Lexington.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Louisville-F...	HVD	24		45	-	HVD	23-25	41	-	3.7
Paducah-F.....	H	22		41	-	H	22-23	39-42	-	4.0
TENNESSEE-F										
Chattanooga....	HVD	25		48	83-87	HVD	25	39-48	81-87	3.7-4.0
Knoxville.....	HVD	26		50	B96	HVD	26	50	B88	3.8
Memphis.....	HVD	24		42	-	HVD	23-24	38-41	-	3.5-4.0
Nashville.....	HVD	22		41	-	HVD	21-22	39-41	-	3.5
ALABAMA-S										
Birmingham.....	HVD	27½		54	-	HVD	27½	54	-	3.7-4.2
Mobile.....	HVD	27½		54	-	HVD	27½	54	-	3.5-4.0
Montgomery.....	HVD	m27		m53	-	HVD	27	53	-	3.8

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

December 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI-F										
Columbus.....	4	5.81	7.7	5.42	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Greenwood.....	4	5.81	7.7	5.42	5.42	-	43	22	-	4.0
Gulfport-Biloxi.	4	6.07	7.7	5.68	5.68	-	-	-	-	3.7-3.8
Jackson.....	4	5.97	7.7	5.58	5.58	-	47-49	24-25	27-28	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	4	5.445	8.0	5.04	5.04	78	40	20½	24	3.5
Little Rock....	4	g5.60	7.7	5.22	5.22	86	45	23	27	3.53
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	4	6.05	7.0	5.75	5.75	92	48	24	27	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	4	ev6.04	7.7	5.66	5.66	94	49	25	27	3.95
Shreveport-F...	4	5.995	7.7	5.61	5.61	-	-	-	28	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	4	Dv5.395	8.0	5.00	5.00	94	48	25	25	3.6
Tulsa.....	4	5.295	8.0	4.90	4.90	96	37	23	-	-
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	4	6.04	7.7	5.66	5.66	-	45	23½	m26	3.7
Dallas-F.....	4	5.735	8.0	5.34	5.34	85	45	22½	27	4.0
El Paso.....	4	f6.00	7up;9dn.	5.55	5.55	90	44	23	26	3.5
Galveston.....	4	f6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	83	47½	25	m27	4.0
Houston.....	4	6.16	7.0	5.81	5.81	90	47	24	27	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Great Falls....	3.7	5.83	7.0	5.69	4.02	92	46	23	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	4	5.10	8.5	4.68	4.68	75	39	19½	23	3.5
Idaho Falls....	3.5	4.75	10.0	4.75	-	75	40	20	23	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	-	D.R.	16.0	5.60	5.60	72	42	21	22	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo.Springs-F.	3.5	5.376	9.0	5.38	5.38	87	39½	21½	25	3.5
Denver.....	-	D.R.	16.2	5.67	5.46	-	-	-	25	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	3.5	5.376	9.0	5.38	5.38	-	41-42	21½	25	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	3.5	5.75	7.0	5.75	5.75	91	46	25	27	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	3.8	5.38	8.6	5.12	5.12	-	-	-	25	-
Tucson.....	3.8	5.68	8.6	5.42	5.42	-	54	27	27	3.75
UTAH-F										
Salt Lake City.	3.5	E 5.25	8.6	5.25	5.25	86	42.8	21.4	25	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	3.5	5.35	-	5.35	-	80	44	22	26	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.....	4	5.53	7.0	5.18	5.18	85	43	22½	24½	3.6
Everett-F.....	4	g5.43	8.1	5.02	5.02	85	42½	21½	24	3.5
Seattle-F.....	4	g5.43	8.1	5.02	5.02	85	42½	21½	24	3.6
Spokane-F.....	4	v5.50	8.3	5.08	5.08	82	C30½	21	C19	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued

December 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
		Cents	(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)	Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
E. SOUTH CENTRAL CONT.:										
MISSISSIPPI-F										
Columbus.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Greenwood.....	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	25	48	-	-
Gulfport-Biloxi	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	-
Jackson	HVD	27-28		53-54	-	HVD	25-28	50-55	-	4.0
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
ARKANSAS-F										
Fort Smith.....	HVD	k24		k47	90	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
Little Rock....	H	27		k52	-	H	25	49	-	3.44
LOUISIANA										
Baton Rouge....	HVD	27		53	92	HVD	26-27	52-53	89-92	3.8-4.0
New Orleans-F..	HVD	28		54	-	HVD	27-28	52-54	-	4.0
Shreveport-F...	HVD	28		53	-	HVD	27	51	-	3.8
OKLAHOMA-F										
Oklahoma City..	HVD	25		45	88	HVD	23	43	79	3.6
Tulsa.....	HVD	m26		m42	68	HVD	25	39	66	3.6
TEXAS										
Austin-F.....	H	m26		w50	87	H	26	49	87	3.6-3.8
Dallas-F.....	HVD	24		48	-	HVD	24	46	-	4.0
El Paso.....	HVD	m26	(W)1½¢-6+	w49	-	HVD	25-26	45-48	-	3.5
Galveston.....	HVD	m28		w55	89	HVD	j27-28	j51½-53½	89	4.0
Houston.....	H	27		m53	-	H	j25	48	94	4.0
MOUNTAIN:										
MONTANA-S										
Butte.....	HVD	-		-	-	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Great Falls....	HVD	k26		k52	-	HVD	26	52	-	3.7
IDAHO										
Boise.....	H	23		k46	88	H	23	46	q79-88	3.5
Idaho Falls....	HVD	23		46	79	HVD	23	46	79	3.6
WYOMING										
Cheyenne.....	H	m22		k46	-	H	22-23	45-46	-	3.5
COLORADO										
Colo. Spgs.-F..	HVD	25		k46	t85	HVD	24	44	t81	3.5-3.6
Denver.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.4-3.6
Pueblo-F.....	HVD	25		49	-	HVD	23½-25	47	-	3.5
NEW MEXICO										
Albuquerque....	H	27		53	-	H	27	53	-	3.5
ARIZONA-F										
Phoenix.....	HVD	25		49	87	HVD	25	49	86	-
Tucson.....	H	27		54	-	H	26	52	-	3.5
UTAH-F										
Salt Lake City.	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.5
NEVADA										
Reno.....	H	26		k51	-	H	25	50	-	3.5
PACIFIC:										
WASHINGTON										
Bremerton.. ..	H	24½		k47	-	H	24	45	-	3.6
Everett-F.. ..	H	24	(M)1¢-52+;1½¢-78+;2¢-100+	k48	-	H	24	-	-	3.5
Seattle-F.....	HVD	24	(D)1¢-4+;1½¢-6+	-	-	HVD	23-23½	44-46	-	3.6
Spokane-F.....	HVD	C19		Ck36	C71	HVD	24	29	58	3.6

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)

December 1959

Market	Dealers' buying prices for milk (f.o.b. city)					Wholesale prices most common grade[b]			Creamline or regular whole milk	
	Basic fat test	For fluid milk use[a]			For fluid cream use 3.5% fat per cwt.	Bulk per gal.	In paper, per--		Price, single qt. delivered to homes, glass	Fat test
		At basic fat test per cwt.	Fat diff. 1/10%	Adj. to 3.5% fat per cwt.			Half gallon	Quart		
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
PACIFIC CONT.:										
OREGON										
Medford.....	4	6.16	7.6	5.78	-	-	47	23	k26	3.8
Portland.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
Salem.....	4	5.97	7.5	5.60	5.60	88	44	22½	26	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	3.8	5.60	10.0	5.30	5.30	72-82	42	21½	h27	3.55
Los Angeles....	3.8	5.71	10.2	5.40	5.40	71-80	40.7	20.6	25½	3.55
Sacramento.....	3.8	5.75	10.0	5.45	5.45	70½-80	41½	21	h27	3.55
San Diego.....	3.8	5.81	10.2	5.50	5.50	72-82	42½	21½	24½	3.55
San Francisco..	3.8	5.92	10.1	5.62	5.62	79-81	43½	22	h27½	3.55
Santa Barbara..	3.8	5.71	10.2	5.40	5.40	72-80	42	21	25	3.55
November 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)										
Bellows Falls, Vt.	3.7	5.63	8.0	5.47	-	84	45	22	25	4.0
Burlington, Vt....	3.7	5.63	8.0	5.47	-	80	43	22	24-26	3.7-4.0
Akron, Ohio.....	3.5	4.906	8.3	4.91	4.91	-	38	20	23	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	3.5	4.906	8.3	4.91	4.91	-	38	20	24	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio...	3.5	4.906	8.3	4.91	4.91	-	39	20	24	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.5	4.826	8.0	4.83	4.83	-	40-42	21	24-25	3.5
Evansville, Ind...	4	4.864	7.0	4.51	4.51	80	39	21-21½	24	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind...	3.5	5.036	8.3	5.04	5.04	71	37-38	20	22	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	3.5	4.77	-	4.77	-	74	39	21	24	3.5
Peoria, Ill.....	4	f4.30	6.0	4.00	-	74	39½	21	m26	3.5
Detroit, Mich.....	3.5	g5.006	7.2	5.01	3.26	-	44	22	25	3.5
EauClaire,Wis.(Oct)	3.5	3.70	7.3	3.70	3.70	-	36½	18½	22	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	3.5	4.09	8.0	4.09	3.68	77-79	41	21	23-24	3.5
Sioux City, Ia....	3.5	4.59	9.68	4.59	4.59	78	40	20	23	3.5-3.6
Lincoln, Nebr.....	3.8	g5.05	9.69	4.76	4.76	82	38.7	19.8	24	4.0
Omaha, Nebr.....	3.8	g5.05	9.69	4.76	4.76	72	38.8	19.4	23	3.5-3.8
Charleston, W.Va..	-	D.R.	16.44	5.75	3.94	-	-	-	28½	3.5-3.8
Huntington, W.Va..	3.5	5.506	8.2	5.51	5.51	-	50	25½	27	3.5
Wheeling, W. Va...	3.5	4.86	8.0	4.86	4.86	-	-	-	26	3.5
Columbus, Miss....	4	5.74	7.5	5.36	5.36	-	-	22	-	-
Greenwood, Miss...	4	5.74	7.5	5.36	5.36	-	43	22	-	4.0

Table 1(a).--Minimum order Class I prices at Basic Test in Federal Order markets where higher than Federal Order prices prevail

Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.	Market	Month	Dol. per cwt.
Chicago-Gary.....	Dec.1959	$3.81	Washington, D.C...	Dec.1959	$5.55	Nashville.....	Dec.1959	$5.15
Rock Island.....	Dec.1959	4.01	Alex.-Arl.....	Dec.1959	5.55	Little Rock.....	Dec.1959	5.35
Detroit.....	Nov.1959	4.356	Chattanooga.....	Dec.1959	5.48	Everett.....	Dec.1959	5.246
Milwaukee.....	Dec.1959	3.77	Knoxville.....	Dec.1959	5.17	Seattle.....	Dec.1959	5.246
Omaha-Lincoln.....	Nov.1959	4.80						

Table 1.--Fluid milk market prices (latest reported to 10th of month)--Continued
December 1959

Market	Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk (HVD = homogenized vitamin D; H = homogenized; CL = creamline or regular)									Fat test most common grade
	Delivered to homes					Purchased in stores				
	Grade	Single quart glass	Discount per quart for quantity delivery	Half gallon glass	Gallon glass	Grade	Single quart paper	Half gallon paper	Gallon glass	
			(D-per delivery; W-per week; M-per month)							
PACIFIC CONT.:		Cents		Cents	Cents		Cents	Cents	Cents	Pct.
OREGON										
Medford.....	H	k26		k51	100	H	25	49	98	3.8
Portland.....	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
Salem	HVD	26	(M)1¢-30+;1½¢-60+;2¢-90+	52	-	HVD	24	47	-	3.8
CALIFORNIA-S										
Fresno.....	HVD	h27		h50	h97	HVD	23½	46	92	3.55
Los Angeles....	HVD	25½	(D)1¢-(3-4);1½¢-(5-7)2½¢-8+	50½	101	HVD	22½	44½	89	3.55
Sacramento.....	HVD	h27		h49½	h95	HVD	23	45½	91	3.55
San Diego.....	HVD	24½		48½	97	HVD	23½	46½	93	3.55
San Francisco..	HVD	h27½		h51½	h100	HVD	24	47½	95	3.55
Santa Barbara..	HVD	25		50	100	HVD	23½	47	94	3.55
November 1959 (Not previously or completely reported)										
Bellows Falls, Vt.	HVD	26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	25	49	-	4.0
Burlington, Vt....	CL	24-26	(M)1¢-40+;2¢-76+;3¢-120+	-	-	HVD	24-25	47	-	3.7-4.0
Akron, Ohio.....	HVD	23		42	-	HVD	23	40	t68	3.5
Canton, Ohio.....	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;2¢-90+	43	-	HVD	23	40	68	3.5
Cleveland, Ohio...	HVD	24	(M)1¢-60+;1½¢-90+;2¢-120+	43	-	HVD	20	39	t68	3.5
Toledo, Ohio.....	HVD	24		43	-	HVD	23-24	39-42	-	3.5
Evansville, Ind...	HVD	24		44-46	-	HVD	22-24	39-44	-	3.7-3.8
Fort Wayne, Ind...	HVD	22		40	75	HVD	21-22	37-38	69-71	3.5-3.6
Indianapolis, Ind.	H	24		44	82	H	23	42-44	78	3.5
Peoria, Ill.....	HVD	m26	(D)1¢-4+	w49	87	HVD	-	39-45	69-81	-
Detroit, Mich.....	HVD	25-25½	Varied discount plans	49	-	HVD	22-25	35-49	-	3.5
EauClaire,Wis(Oct)	HVD	22	(D)6¢-6+(½ gal. 6¢-3+)	42	-	HVD	21	41	-	3.5
Madison, Wis.....	HVD	23-24		45	84-87	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Sioux City, Iowa..	HVD	23		k46	80-84	HVD	22-23	44-46	-	3.4-3.5
Lincoln, Nebr.....	HVD	24		48	89	HVD	23	45	t85	3.6
Omaha, Nebr.....	HVD	23		46	86	HVD	22-23	44-46	80	3.4-4.0
Charleston, W. Va.	r	28½		w55	t99	r	28-29	57	t89-93	3.5-3.6
Huntington, W. Va.	HVD	28		55	100	HVD	-	-	-	3.5
Wheeling, W. Va...	r	26		51	-	r	26	-	-	3.5
Columbus, Miss....	HVD	k25		k49	-	HVD	24-25	47-49	-	-
Greenwood, Miss...	HVD	25		48	-	HVD	25	48	-	-

S. All prices shown under State Control. * Retail prices only under State Control. ** Producer prices only under State Control. F. Federal Order market. If State marked, applies all cities shown. D.R. Payment in direct ratio to milkfat. a. Class or base price unless otherwise noted. Bulk tank premiums appear in table 4, page 14. b. List prices which may be subject to discounts. d. November price; cream price at Manchester $3.23. e. NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY: 200-mile zone price plus estimated freight (31½-41¢) and country station handling charges (20-50¢). CHICAGO: 55-70 mile zone; NEW ORLEANS: 61-70 mile zone. f. Flat price. g. Negotiated prices. The F.O.M. prices are shown in table 1(a). h. Includes following service charge for first unit each single delivery: BUFFALO, 5¢; FRESNO AND SAN FRANCISCO, 3¢; SACRAMENTO, 4¢. i. In glass. j. Glass 1¢ lower; ½ gal. 2¢ at Galveston and Houston. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. n. Second half-gallon: Fall River 50¢, Lowell-Lawrence, Springfield and Worcester 51¢. q. Creamline or regular. r. The most common grade of milk not reported; prices shown are for Homogenized Vitamin D. t. Homogenized. u. Estimated average Class I price paid by handlers; actual Class I $5.54 for October. v. F.O.M. price. w. Paper 2¢ higher. x. HVD y. Five gallon cans. z. Estimated average negotiated Class I quality premium paid by handlers 41¢. A. Two half-gallon paper containers sold as a Twin-Pak for $1.04. B. November 96¢ and 88¢ respectively. C. Effective November 5. D. Basic test Oklahoma City: F.O.M. Class I $5.28 for November. E. Salt Lake City basic fat test 3.5 pct; $5.25 for 3.5 pct. for November.

Table 2.--Dealers' average buying prices for milk for fluid milk use (f.o.b. city) United States, by regions, December 1959 1/
(Per hundredweight - 3.5% fat; weighted by population of individual markets)

Region	Markets	December 1958	November 1959	December 1959	
				Range	Average
	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England	17	6.58	6.67	5.55-6.74	6.67
Middle Atlantic	17	6.42	6.58	5.74-6.68	6.45
East North Central	27	4.37	*4.49	3.70-5.06	4.51
West North Central	20	4.26	4.45	3.55-4.78	4.37
South Atlantic	26	6.23	6.09	5.01-6.72	6.10
East South Central	13	5.08	*5.34	4.45-6.26	5.34
West South Central	15	5.59	*5.44	4.90-5.81	5.50
Mountain	14	5.67	*5.45	4.68-5.75	5.43
Pacific	13	5.25	5.44	5.02-5.78	5.44
United States	162	5.55	*5.65	3.55-6.74	5.61

1/ In some markets the prices paid for fluid milk and fluid cream are the same. Includes Class I prices, base or basic price and flat prices where effective.
* Revised.

Table 3.--Prevailing prices of standard grade milk per single quart delivered to homes in 25 cities, December 1959 1/

(Cents per single quart)

Markets	1953-57 Dec. av.	Dec. 1958	Nov. 1959	Dec. 1959	Markets	1953-57 Dec. av.	Dec. 1958	Nov. 1959	Dec. 1959
Boston, Mass.	26.1	27½	26½-27½	26½-27½	Baltimore, Md.	24.7	27	27	27
Hartford, Conn.	25.6	27½	30	30	Washington, D. C.	25.4	26½-27½	26½-27	27-28
New York, N. Y.	27.3	30½-31	31½-32½	32-33	Jacksonville, Fla.	27.2	29	29	29
Philadelphia, Pa.	24.9	28	28	28	Louisville, Ky.	23.9	26	24	24
Pittsburgh, Pa.	25.5	27	27	27	New Orleans, La.	26.6	27	27	27
Cleveland, Ohio	22.2	24	24	24	Dallas, Texas	25.2	27	27	27
Indianapolis, Ind.	22.4	23	24	24	Denver, Colo.	24.4	25	25	25
Chicago, Ill.	26.2	26-29½	27-31	27-31	Salt Lake City	22.0	24	25	25
Detroit, Mich.	23.3	24½	25	25-25½	Seattle, Wash.	22.6	23½	24	24
Milwaukee, Wis.	21.3	22	24	24	Portland, Ore.	23.1	25	26	26
Minneapolis, Minn.	20.9	21-22	22	22	San Francisco	22.8	25½	2/26	2/26
Kansas City, Mo.	22.8	23	24	24	Los Angeles	22.6	25	25½	25½
Omaha, Nebr.	21.2	22	23	23	25 cities average	24.0	25.6	26.0	26.1

1/ "Standard grade" is grade commonly sold in each market. Grades vary between markets due to differences in determining factors. The prices shown are the latest reported up to and including the 10th of the month.
2/ In markets having a service charge on the first unit at each single delivery location, the charge has been divided between 2 quarts. Since the single quart concept used historically is based on every day delivery, it is assumed that under every other day delivery the charge represents a two quart purchase.

Table 4.--Premiums for bulk tank delivered milk not included in dealers' buying prices in table 1
December 1959

Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.	Market	Cents per cwt.
Augusta, Me.	5	Albany, N.Y.	20	Battle Cr., Mich.	10	Duluth, Minn.	15-25	Louisville, Ky.	15
Manchester, N.H.	5	Binghamton, N.Y.	10-11	Detroit, Mich.	8	Burlington, Ia.	15	Memphis, Tenn.	5
Boston, Mass.	5-15	Buffalo, N.Y.	10	G.Rapids, Mich.	8-12	Kansas City, Mo.	10	Nashville, Tenn.	5
Fall River, Mass.	10	Rochester, N.Y.	10	Lansing, Mich.	10	St. Louis, Mo.	25	Okla.City, Okla.	10
Low-Law., Mass.	5	Schenectady, N.Y.	10	Beloit, Wis.	20	Spgfld., Mo.	15-25	Colo.Spgs., Colo.	6
Spgfld., Mass.	5-15	Syracuse, N.Y.	20	EauClaire, Wis.	20	Sioux Falls, S.D.	10	Denver, Colo.	5-10
Worcester, Mass.	5-15	Gary, Ind.	25	Green Bay, Wis.	10	Topeka, Kans.	10-15	Albuq., N.M.	10
Providence, R.I.	5	So. Bend, Ind.	15	Madison, Wis.	16	Omaha, Nebr.	14	Everett, Wash.	10
Hartford, Conn.	5	Chicago, Ill.	15-25	Milwaukee, Wis.	5-15	Huntington, W.Va.	10	Seattle, Wash.	12
New Haven, Conn.	5	Rock Island, Ill.	15	Durham, N.C.	10	Lexington, Ky.	15	Spokane, Wash.	10-20
Burlington, Vt.	7	Spgfld., Ill.	15	Sioux City, Ia.	15	Des Moines, Ia.	10		

Table 5.--Fluid milk prices in markets having price changes between November and December 1959
(Changes effective December 1 except as noted) a/

Markets	Dealers' buying prices for milk for fluid milk use 3.5% fat (f.o.b. city)		Prices paid by consumers for the most common grade of whole milk							
			Delivered to homes in glass per single qt.		Sold in stores					
					In paper				Gallon in glass	
					Quart		Half gallon			
	Nov.	Dec.	Nov.	Dec.	Nov.	Dec.	Nov.	Dec.	Nov.	Dec.
	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:										
Bellows Falls, Vt.....	5.47	Not det.	26	25-26	25	24	49	47	-	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:										
Albany, N.Y.....	6.33	6.15	29	29	26	26	49	49	-	-
Binghamton, N.Y.....	6.21	6.03	28	28	26-29	26-29	49-55	49-55	-	-
New York, N.Y.....	6.67-7.06	6.49-6.88	32½	d33	24-30	d24½-30½	-	-	-	-
Schenectady, N.Y.....	6.32	6.14	29	29	28-29	28-29	51-55	51-55	-	-
Syracuse, N.Y.....	6.16	5.98	29	29	25-29	25-29	49-57	49-57	-	-
N'eastern New Jersey..	6.67-7.06	6.49-6.88	31½	31½	29-30½	28-30	-	-	-	-
E. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	4.90	5.06	26	26	-	25-26	-	48-49	-	-
Dayton, Ohio.....	4.69	4.80	25	25	23-25	23-25	39-46	39-46	56-69	57-69
Fort Wayne, Ind. d....	5.04	Not det.	22	23	21-22	21-24	37-38	38-41	69-71	70-75
South Bend, Ind.....	4.54	4.37	24	24	23-24	23-24	41-43	41-43	75	75
Alton, Ill.....	4.48	4.24	23	23	23	23	41-45	41-45	82	82
Springfield, Ill. b...	4.00	4.00	24	24	22-23	23-24	37-41	39-41	-	-
Green Bay, Wis.....	4.04	3.89	21-22	22	20½-21	20½-21	39½-42	39½-40	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis. b.....	3.96	3.96	24	24	22-24	22-23	38-40	35-36	70-72	69-72
W. NORTH CENTRAL:										
Minneapolis, Minn.....	4.11	3.95	22	22	20	b18-19	j35	b34½-35½	65	b64
St. Paul, Minn.....	4.11	3.95	m21	m21	21	d20-21	j33-34	d34-35	-	-
Burlington, Iowa. b...	4.20	4.20	22	22	19-20	20-22	39-40	39-41	-	-
Des Moines, Iowa.....	4.45	4.30	m23	m23	j24	j24	-	-	-	-
Fort Dodge, Iowa.....	4.13	3.96	24	24	24	24	47	47	-	-
Kansas City, Mo.....	4.40	4.52	24	24	21	21	41	41	t75	t75
St. Louis, Mo.....	4.70	4.46	29	b28	-	-	-	-	-	-
Springfield, Mo.....	4.43	4.19	22-23	d23-24	24-25	24-25	42-43	d43-44	-	-
Kansas City, Kans.....	4.40	4.52	24	24	21	21	41	41	t71-73	t71-73
Topeka, Kans.....	4.40	4.52	k23	k23	21	21	41	41	77	77
Wichita, Kans.....	4.61	4.74	k25	k25	22-24	22-24	43-45	43-45	79-83	79-83
SOUTH ATLANTIC:										
Greater Wash., D.C. d.	5.96	5.96	26½-27	27-28	23½-26½	24½-27	41-53	43-55	82	86
Charleston, W. Va.....	5.75	5.75	28½	28½	28-29	28-29	57	57	t89-93	tb93-99
Wheeling, W. Va.....	4.86	5.01	26	26	26	26	-	-	-	-
Tampa, Fla. b.....	6.71	6.71	28	28	28	25-28	49	48-55	89	89
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Paducah, Ky.....	4.70	4.75	22	22	22	b22-23	39-41	b39-42	-	-
Memphis, Tenn.....	5.02	4.96	25	24	23-24	23-24	40-41	38-41	-	-
Jackson, Miss.....	5.52	5.58	26-27	d27-28	25-27	d25-28	48-52	d 50-55	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:										
Fort Smith, Ark.....	5.00	5.04	k24	k24	24	24	42	d47	-	-
Little Rock, Ark.....	5.32	5.22	27	27	26	b25	49	49	-	-
Oklahoma City, Okla...	4.89	5.00	27	b25	25	b23	48	b43	95	b79
Tulsa, Okla.....	4.79	4.90	m26	m26	25	25	39	39	66	66
Austin, Texas.....	5.54	5.66	m26	m26	26	26	49	49	87	87
Dallas, Texas.....	5.22	5.34	24	24	24	24	46	46	-	-
MOUNTAIN:										
Phoenix, Ariz.....	5.22	5.12	25	25	24	b25	41	b49	-	86
Tucson, Ariz.....	5.52	5.42	26	d27	25	d26	50	d52	-	-
PACIFIC:										
Santa Barbara, Calif. b	5.40	5.40	24½	25	23	23½	46	47	92	94

a. Includes all markets in which changes were at least the equivalent of ½ cent per quart to consumers of were 11 cents or more per hundredweight to producers.
b. Effective date not reported.
d. Effective dates: November 23 - Fort Wayne-St. Paul-Springfield,Mo.-Fort Smith
November 20 - Jackson, Miss.
December 3 - Tucson-Washington, D.C.
November 15 - New York,N.Y.
j. Glass 1¢ lower. k. In paper. m. Paper 1¢ higher. t. Homogenized.

Table 6.--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, December 1959

Market	Whole milk per quart					Cream and mixtures			
	Homo. vit. mineral		High fat milk		Certified to homes	Half & half per pt.		Table cream (½ pt.)	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper		To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes in glass	At stores in paper
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:									
Boston, Mass.....	-	-	a31½-32½	-	33	-	-	24-25	23 d24-27
Providence, R. I...	-	-	29½-30½	28½	31½-36	-	-	23½-24½	23-23½
Hartford, Conn.....	-	-	g33	-	39	-	-	f25-26	21-25
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:									
Buffalo, N. Y.....	-	25	ng33½	-	-	42	39-41	30	28-30
New York City.....	-	-	-	-	42½	f33	-	f26½	d20½-24
Rochester, N. Y....	-	-	g29	g28-29	-	34	28	27	24-27
Philadelphia, Pa...	-	-	a31	-	38-39	-	-	29	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	-	-	29	28	-	36	36	28	27-28
E. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Cincinnati, Ohio...	-	-	g28-29	-	-	33	33	30	29
Cleveland, Ohio....	-	-	27	-	-	f34	29-31	f26	22-24
Indianapolis, Ind..	25	d24	-	-	-	f32	30	24	23
Chicago, Ill.....	31	22½-25	g34	g30-33	38	43-44½	35-39	36½-39	31-35
Detroit, Mich.....	26	24-25	j27	-	-	33	29-32	30	28-32
Milwaukee, Wis.....	-	-	-	-	30	f38	32-34	f32	32
W. NORTH CENTRAL:									
Minneapolis, Minn..	-	-	25	20	-	35	30-31	26	24
Des Moines, Ia.....	h23	e24	g24	-	-	f31	-	-	-
Kans. C., Mo-Kans..	25	-	-	-	-	33	31	28	23
St. Louis, Mo.....	-	-	-	-	-	f45	-	f34½	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	24	23-24	g24	qg23	-	29-30	28-30	25-27	24-27
SOUTH ATLANTIC:									
Baltimore, Md.....	28	25½	g30	-	-	30½	d30	30	d30
Washington, D. C...	-	-	g28½	-	-	f32-33	28-33	25-26	23-26
Richmond, Va.....	-	-	g29½	g28½	-	33	-	28	-
Charlotte, N. C....	-	-	g28	-	-	f27	26-27	-	-
Atlanta, Ga.....	-	-	hg26	-	h27	h28	e29	23	23½
Miami, Fla.....	30	-	g30	-	-	-	-	28	28
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
Louisville, Ky.....	-	-	34	-	-	f33	30-31	f26	25
Nashville, Tenn....	-	-	g25	dg24	-	f29	28-29	-	-
Birmingham, Ala....	-	-	-	-	-	28	28	24	24
Jackson, Miss.....	-	-	g28	g28	-	f35	33-35	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:									
New Orleans, La....	-	-	-	-	-	f34	34-35	f25	25
Oklahoma City.....	27	-	-	-	-	f36	33	f26	25
Dallas, Tex.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	23	-
El Paso, Tex.....	-	-	g29	-	30	31	30-32	24	23-25
MOUNTAIN:									
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	-	-	g24	-	-	f29	29	22	22
Denver, Colo.....	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	f28	25-26
Salt Lake City.....	-	-	gj26	gj25	-	37;qt.69	39;qt.63	-	r54
PACIFIC:									
Seattle, Wash.....	-	-	g26	-	-	29½	28½-29½	f25½	25-25½
Portland, Ore.....	-	-	-	-	-	30	29	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif..	27	-	g29	-	29½-30½	28½	-	27½-28	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	n29½	26	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table

Continued

Table 6.--Prices paid by consumers for special grades of milk, cream and cottage cheese, December 1959--Continued

Market	Cream and mixtures		Creamed cottage cheese					
	Whip. cream (½ pt.)		Pound pkg.		12 Oz. pkg.		Other	
	To homes in glass	At stores in paper	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores	To homes	At stores
	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents	Cents
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass.....	37-40	35 d37	42	27-31	-	-	b22	b18
Providence, R. I...	37-38	36½-38	35	-	-	-	b22	-
Hartford, Conn.....	f40	35-40	33-35	25-35	25-29	24	k115	k100
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Buffalo, N. Y.....	38	37-38	31-32	28-29	t34	t31-32	-	-
New York City.....	39½	d32-40	35	-	31	-	b20½	-
Rochester, N. Y....	43	40-42	29	24-31	-	-	-	-
Philadelphia, Pa...	51	-	35	-	-	-	b20	-
Pittsburgh, Pa.....	40	39-40	29	29	-	-	b18	b18
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Cincinnati, Ohio...	40	39	32-36	36	27-29	29	-	-
Cleveland, Ohio....	f52	44-50	32	26	s32	s30	st32	st26
Indianapolis, Ind..	43	d41	i53	i51	25	24	k125	k125
Chicago, Ill.....	45½-48	36-39	38½	29-34	-	-	-	b19-21
Detroit, Mich.....	60	57	29	25-30	-	23	-	-
Milwaukee, Wis.....	f36	34	28	26-28	25	25	-	-
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Minneapolis, Minn..	33	31-32	-	-	28	24	i55 u59	i49 u55
Des Moines, Ia.....	39	d39	25	-	24	-	i58	-
Kans. C., Mo.-Kans.	35	31	-	-	24	21	i47	i43
St. Louis, Mo.....	f44½	-	34	-	-	-	-	-
Omaha, Nebr.....	31-35	30-35	30	29	25	24-25	i57	i55-57
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Baltimore, Md.....	47	d47	-	-	29	29	i63	i59
Washington, D. C...	46-49	43-47	-	-	28	22-28	i57	i49-58
Richmond, Va.....	46	46	p29	p22	27	22	-	-
Charlotte, N. C....	f40	38-40	-	-	26-27	25-26	-	-
Atlanta , Ga.....	33	33½	-	-	25	25	-	-
Miami, Fla.....	42	42	35	-	25	-	i54	-
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky.....	f29	29	32	28	-	-	b18	b17
Nashville, Tenn....	f33	32-33	29	29	-	-	b 18 i55	b18 i55
Birmingham, Ala....	32	32	-	-	26	26	k125	k125
Jackson , Miss.....	f38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
New Orleans, La....	f32	32-33	-	-	26	24-25	-	-
Oklahoma City.....	f34	32	-	-	25	23	i51	i49
Dallas, Tex.....	29	30	-	-	24	-	-	-
El Paso, Tex.....	44	42-44	33	33	26-27	26-28	-	i55-57
MOUNTAIN:								
Cheyenne, Wyo.....	38	38	-	-	23	23	i51	i51
Denver, Colo.....	f49	47	-	-	27	25	-	-
Salt Lake City.....	39	39	32	29	v27	v26	b22 i61	b21 i55
PACIFIC:								
Seattle, Wash.....	f32½	30½-32½	r34	r31	-	-	b20 i65	b18 i59
Portland, Ore.....	35-38	34-36	35	32-35	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles, Calif.	40	-	32-33	-	-	-	b20-21	-
San Fran., Calif...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

a. Boston g33½; Philadelphia: Golden Guernsey and Vitamin D Grade A, 1¢ higher; Homo. vit. D Golden Guernsey 2¢ higher. b. 8-ounce package. d. In glass. e. Glass 1¢ lower. f. In paper. g. Guernsey. h. Paper 1¢ higher. i. 2-pound package. j. Jersey. k. 5-pound package. n. Includes following service charge for first unit only, each single delivery; Buffalo: 5¢, San Francisco; 3¢. p. 3 and 5-pound package. q. Glass 1¢ higher. r. Pint price. s. 14½-ounce package. t. Whipped cream type. u. 36-ounce package. v. Low fat.

Table 7.--MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, OCTOBER 1959

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
New England:							
Boston, 201-210 mile zone.....	3.7	5.34	7.9	5.18	-	-	6.13
Southeastern New England.....	3.7	6.38	7.9	6.22	-	-	6.74
Springfield, Massachusetts....	3.7	6.50	7.9	6.34	-	-	6.67
Worcester, Massachusetts.....	3.7	6.51	7.9	6.35	-	-	6.67
Connecticut.....	3.7	6.53	8.0	6.37	-	-	6.67
Middle Atlantic:							
N. Y.-N. J. 201-210 mile zone.	3.5	5.03	5.4	5.03	-	-	6.10
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania....	3.7	*5.45	8.0	5.29	-	-	5.74
E. North Central:							
Northeastern Ohio.....	3.5	4.46	7.9	4.46	-	-	4.84
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	3.5	5/4.97	8.2	4.97	-	-	5.00
Columbus, Ohio.....	3.5	5/5.58	8.0	5.58	-	-	4.70
Dayton-Spfg., Ohio, Grade A...	3.5	5/4.94	7.5	4.94	-	-	4.78
North Central Ohio.....	3.5	*4.46	8.0	4.46	-	-	4.50
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.5	*4.70	7.5	4.70	-	-	4.76
Fort Wayne, Indiana.....	3.5	4.50	7.2	4.50	-	-	4.97
South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart,Ind.	3.5	4.23	7.5	4.23	-	-	4.45
Chicago,Grade A 55-70 mile zone	3.5	3.64	7.5	3.64	-	-	4/3.91
Quad Cities, Illinois-Iowa....	3.5	3.93	7.6	3.93	-	-	4/4.11
Rockford-Freeport,Ill.,Grade A	3.5	3.94	7.5	3.94	-	-	1/3.93
Detroit, Michigan.....	3.5	3.98	7.0	3.98	4.06	3.39	4/4.29
Michigan Upper Peninsula.....	3.5	*4.13	7.7	4.13	-	-	4.38
Muskegon, Michigan.....	3.5	4.27	7.0	4.27	4.36	3.06	4/4.51
Upstate Michigan.....	3.5	4.22	7.0	4.22	-	-	4.56
Milwaukee, Wisconsin.....	3.5	*3.85	7.5	3.85	-	-	4/3.87
Northeastern Wisconsin.....	3.5	3.88	7.7	3.88	-	-	3.97
W. North Central:							
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis....	3.5	5/4.31	7.8	4.31	-	-	4.38
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn....	3.5	4.02	7.7	4.02	-	-	4.15
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Iowa..	3.5	3.96	7.4	3.96	-	-	4.06
Des Moines, Iowa.....	3.5	4.31	7.5	4.31	-	-	4.38
Dubuque, Iowa.....	3.5	3.89	7.4	3.89	-	-	4.01
Sioux City, Iowa.....	3.5	5/5.01	7.0	5.01	-	-	4.59
Kansas City, Missouri-Kansas..	3.8	4.24	6.6	4.04	-	-	4.37
Neosho Valley, Kansas-Missouri	4.0	4.33	7.5	3.96	-	-	4.53
St. Louis, Missouri.....	3.5	4.61	7.2	4.61	-	-	4.74
Ozarks, Missouri-Arkansas.....	3.5	4.37	7.2	4.37	-	-	4.47
Black Hills, South Dakota.....	3.5	4.93	7.0	4.93	-	-	4/5.17
Eastern South Dakota.....	3.5	4.14	7.4	4.14	-	-	4.42
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.....	3.5	4.20	7.0	4.20	-	-	4.45
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia...	3.8	5/5.00	7.0	4.79	-	-	4/4.51
Southwest Kansas.....	3.8	5/5.22	7.5	5.00	-	-	4.72
Wichita, Kansas.....	3.8	4.39	7.4	4.17	4.62	3.18	4.54
Platte Valley, Nebraska.....	3.5	5/4.63	9.2	4.63	-	-	4.51
North Central Iowa.....	3.5	*4.04	7.5	4.04	-	-	4.06
South Atlantic:							
Wilmington, Delaware.....	4.0	*5.79	5.0	5.54	-	-	5.64
Washington, D. C.....	3.5	5.10	8.0	5.10	-	-	4/5.55
Clarksburg, West Virginia.....	3.5	4.85	8.0	4.85	-	-	5.14
Tri-State area,Ky.-Ohio-W.Va..	3.5	*5.28	7.5	5.28	-	-	2/5.49
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	4.0	*5.53	7.4	5.16	-	-	5.30
Wheeling, West Virginia.....	3.5	4.53	8.0	4.53	-	-	4.84
Southeastern Florida.....	4.0	6.87	7.5	6.50	7.03	5.07	6.63
E. South Central:							
Louisville, Kentucky.....	3.8	5/4.92	6.5	4.73	-	-	4.48
Paducah, Kentucky.....	3.5	4.68	6.5	4.68	-	-	4.64
Appalachian, Tenn.,Va.,& Ky...	4.0	*5.50	7.4	5.13	-	-	5.30
Chattanooga, Tennessee.....	4.0	4.94	8.0	4.54	-	-	4/4.73
Knoxville, Tennessee.....	4.0	4.84	7.5	4.47	-	-	4/4.65
Memphis, Tennessee.....	4.0	*5.58	6.5	5.26	-	-	5.39
Nashville, Tennessee.....	4.0	*4.91	7.4	4.54	-	-	4/4.71
Central Mississippi.....	4.0	5.62	7.5	5.25	-	-	5.53
Mississippi Delta.....	4.0	5.60	7.4	5.23	-	-	5.37
Mississippi Gulf Coast.....	4.0	5.90	7.4	5.53	-	-	5.63

Table 7.--MINIMUM BLEND AND CLASS I PRICES, F.O.B. MARKET OR OTHER INDICATED POINTS IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, OCTOBER 1959--CONTINUED

Marketing area	Basic fat test	Gross blend price at basic test per cwt.	Blend price fat diff.	Gross blend price at 3.5% test per cwt.	Base price at basic test	Excess price at basic test	Minimum Class I price at 3.5% test per cwt.
	Pct.	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
W. South Central:							
Fort Smith, Arkansas.....	4.0	*5.06	7.5	4.69	-	-	4.93
Central Arkansas.....	4.0	5.56	7.4	5.19	-	-	5.39
N. Orleans, La., 61-70 mile zone	4.0	5.54	7.4	5.17	-	-	4/5.60
Northern Louisiana.....	4.0	*5.85	7.5	5.48	-	-	5.50
Oklahoma Metropolitan Area....	4.0	4.79	7.5	4.42	-	-	4/4.83
Red River Valley, Okla.-Texas.	4.0	5.14	7.7	4.76	-	-	4.98
Austin-Waco, Texas, Zone 1....	4.0	5.83	6.9	6/5.49	-	-	3/5.53
Central-West Tex., Abilene zone	4.0	6/*5.64	7.5	5.27	-	-	5.38
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	4.0	*5.99	7.4	5.62	-	-	5.78
North Texas.....	4.0	5.13	7.0	4.78	-	-	5.13
San Antonio, Texas.....	4.0	5.77	6.9	5.43	-	-	5.55
Texas Panhandle.....	4.0	5.47	7.4	5.10	-	-	5.25
Mountain:							
Colorado Springs-Pueblo, Colo.	3.5	5.11	8.6	5.11	-	-	5.32
Western Colorado.....	3.5	*5.01	8.4	5.01	-	-	5.17
Central Arizona.....	3.8	5.27	8.2	5.02	-	-	5.64
Pacific:							
Puget Sound, Washington.....	4.0	4.42	7.8	4.03	4.54	3.67	4/4.70
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho....	4.0	4.94	8.0	4.54	5.12	3.43	4.95

* Individual-handler pool. Weighted average of handlers' prices.
1/ Freeport plants: Class I $3.89.
2/ Huntington plants.
3/ Austin 7.5¢ and Waco 22.5¢ less respectively.
4/ Negotiated price (does not include bulk-tank pickup premiums):

Chicago	$4.00	Milwaukee	$3.96	Chattanooga	$5.19	New Orleans	$5.62
Rock Island	4.15	Black Hills	5.20	Knoxville	5.19	Oklahoma City	5.16
Detroit	4.94	Omaha-Linc.	4.16	Nashville	4.98	Puget Sound	5.04
Washington D.C.	5.96	Muskegon	4.57				

5/ Includes fall incentive payments:

Dayton-Springfield	36¢	Cincinnati	33¢	Sioux City	44¢	Platte Valley	37¢
Columbus	47¢	Duluth-Superior	39¢	Omaha-Linc. C.B.	36¢	Southwest Kans.	51¢
						Louisville	41¢

6/ September blend at basic test $5.64; blend at 3.5% $5.30.

Table 8.--NET PRICES PAID MEMBERS OF PRODUCERS' ASSOCIATIONS, OCTOBER-NOVEMBER 1959 1/

City	Net blended price paid for 3.5% milk per cwt. 2/	Month	Dealers' buying price for Class I milk per cwt.
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.....	$ 4.82	October	$ 6.39
Evansville, Indiana.....	4.25	October	4.52
Baltimore, Maryland.....	5.02	November	5.75
Charleston, West Virginia.....	5.44	October	5.75
Charleston, West Virginia.....	5.39	November	5.75
South Carolina.....	3/ 6.00	October	6.15
Denver, Colorado.....	4/ 4.83	November	5.67
Salt Lake City, Utah.....	4.07	October	5.56

1/ Prices usually specified as follows:
Class I - Milk for fluid consumption
Class II - Milk from which cream is obtained for fluid consumption
Class III and IV - Milk used in manufactured dairy products.

2/ F.O.B. CITY unless indicated otherwise.
3/ Average blend price paid by all plants in the State.
4/ Base price; surplus price $2.59.

SALES OF MILK AND CREAM AND RECEIPTS FROM PRODUCERS IN CERTAIN FEDERAL ORDER AND STATE MARKETING AREAS, SEPTEMBER-OCTOBER 1959

October whole milk sales above year ago

Average daily sales of whole milk in 49 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas during October increased 3 percent from both the preceding month and October last year according to preliminary reports. Cumulative sales in 51 markets (Connecticut excluded) during the first 10 months of 1959 were 2 percent above the January-October total in 1958.

Whole milk sales during September continue at higher level

September average daily whole milk sales in 52 marketing areas advanced 11 percent from the previous month and were 1 percent larger than in September 1958. Decreases from September last year were reported in only 11 of the 52 markets. Fat tests of whole milk sold during September averaged 3.56 percent this year as compared with 3.57 percent in September last year.

Sales of skim milk products again larger

Skim milk product sales (average daily basis) in 52 marketing areas this September were up 3 percent from August and 10 percent from the same month last year. Gains from September 1958 occurred in 9 of the 10 main skim milk markets in the United States. The increases for these markets varied from 5 percent in South Carolina to 36 percent in Kansas City, Mo.-Kans. Cumulative daily skim milk sales for 51 markets (Connecticut excluded) in the first 9 months of 1959 were 9 percent above the January-September total a year earlier. The average fat test of all skim milk products sold during September was 1.11 percent, higher than the 1.06 percent of September a year earlier.

Half-and-half sales gain 2 percent

September average daily sales of milk and cream mixtures (half-and-half in most markets) for 51 markets (Connecticut excluded) increased 7 percent from the preceding month and were more than 2 percent larger than those of September a year ago. Sales in the Chicago market, which account for about a third of all half-and-half sales in the 51-market total, were up 6 percent from August, but were 5 percent below September 1958. Cumulative daily sales from January through September were 4 percent larger than the total for the same period last year. Fat tests of milk and cream mixture sales averaged 11.7 percent in September.

Fluid cream sales off 3 percent from preceding year

Sales of all fluid cream items in 45 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas (3 Mass. markets and Conn. excluded) advanced 3 percent from August, but were 3 percent under the average daily September sales a year ago. Sales were smaller in 30 of the 48 markets, about the same in 3, and up from September 1958 in the remaining 15. Fluid cream sales during the first 9 months of 1959 were 3 percent below the January-September total last year. The September average fat test of all fluid cream sales declined slightly from 22.2 percent last year to 22.1 percent this year.

(Continued on page 27)

Table 9.--WHOLE MILK: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for September 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/September 1958		3/August 1959		3/September 1959		4/Sept.1959as %		Jan.-Sept. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Sept. 1958	Aug. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	2,491	3.78	2,229	-	2,447	-	98	110	99
Springfield, Mass.....	452	3.65	412	-	461	-	102	112	100
Worcester, Mass.....	298	3.70	271	-	293	-	98	108	100
Connecticut 5/.....	2,133	-	2,069	3.66	2,196	3.66	103	106	-
Niagara Frontier -S.....	1,053	3.63	989	3.49	1,075	3.53	102	109	101
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	456	3.61	414	3.50	464	3.53	102	112	101
Philadelphia, Pa.....	2,192	3.72	1,916	3.69	2,166	3.70	99	113	101
Northeastern Ohio.....	2,601	3.54	2,436	3.53	2,637	3.53	101	108	100
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	628	3.52	600	3.52	691	3.52	110	115	106
Columbus, Ohio.....	556	3.52	518	3.51	572	3.51	103	110	104
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	595	3.55	564	3.52	622	3.53	104	110	106
Toledo, Ohio.....	534	3.52	486	3.52	543	3.52	102	112	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	128	3.54	115	3.55	131	3.54	102	114	103
Chicago, Ill.....	5,264	3.51	4,851	3.50	5,356	3.50	102	110	101
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	217	3.43	199	3.47	228	3.47	105	115	103
Detroit, Mich.....	3,326	3.52	2,994	3,52	3,364	3.52	101	112	100
Milwaukee, Wis.....	898	3.50	847	3.51	923	3.52	103	109	101
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn.	1,213	3.51	1,076	3.50	1,242	3.50	102	116	102
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City,Ia.	119	3.34	107	3.36	126	3.39	105	117	102
Sioux City, Iowa.....	82	3.42	75	3.43	85	3.42	104	113	104
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	1,077	3.48	899	3.45	1,031	3.46	96	115	99
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	212	3.54	188	3.49	218	3.50	103	116	100
St. Louis, Mo.....	1,183	3.38	1,034	3.37	1,136	3.35	96	110	98
Sioux Falls-Mitchell,S.D..	69	3.38	65	3.37	71	3.39	104	110	104
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	432	3.55	391	3.53	442	3.53	102	113	105
Southwest Kansas.....	117	3.39	99	3.38	117	3.38	100	118	107
Wichita, Kansas.....	257	3.29	246	3.28	281	3.29	109	114	102
Wilmington, Del.....	242	3.62	221	3.59	253	3.60	105	115	105
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	76	3.70	67	3.70	74	3.70	98	110	101
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	159	3.67	153	3.62	171	3.63	108	112	105
Wheeling, W. Va.....	322	3.59	303	3.58	333	3.57	103	110	102
South Carolina -S 6/.....	880	-	748	-	874	-	99	117	103
Southeastern Florida.....	972	3.79	900	3.73	949	3.76	98	105	104
Louisville, Ky.....	607	3.71	546	3.66	637	3.66	105	117	104
Paducah, Ky.....	79	3.62	75	3.65	85	3.65	108	113	103
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	175	3.69	156	3.62	179	3.61	102	114	105
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	214	3.95	195	3.96	216	2.97	101	111	102
Knoxville, Tenn.....	213	3.69	191	3.67	220	3.66	103	115	103
Memphis, Tenn.....	365	3.75	342	3.71	385	3.73	106	112	105
Nashville, Tenn.....	296	3.58	275	3.58	310	3.58	104	113	106
Central Mississippi.....	344	3.95	294	3.92	357	3.91	104	121	108
Central Arkansas.....	299	3.70	274	3.71	315	3.71	105	115	108
New Orleans, La.....	625	3.92	558	3.89	624	3.88	100	112	101
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	813	3.68	702	3.63	802	3.65	99	114	102
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	401	3.60	373	3.52	419	3.54	104	112	105
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	372	3.60	365	3.59	377	3.62	101	103	102
North Texas.....	1,296	3.60	1,200	3.53	1,340	3.55	103	112	102
San Antonio, Texas.....	473	3.48	439	3.47	475	3.58	100	108	106
Texas Panhandle.....	222	3.52	208	3.51	250	3.52	113	120	108
Central Arizona.....	762	3.53	677	3.52	802	3.53	105	118	107
Inland Empire,Wash.-Idaho.	252	3.63	235	3.62	244	3.60	97	104	100
Puget Sound, Wash.....	1,259	3.54	1,149	3.54	1,233	3.55	98	107	102
Total (52 market areas)4/									
September 1959	40,304	7/3.57	36,737	7/3.55	40,840	7/3.56	101.3	111.2	8/101.8
October 1959	9/40,934	-	10/40,840	3.56	11/42,035	-	12/102.7	13/102.9	14/101.9

1/ Quantity includes plain and flavored whole milk with milkfat content meeting minimum standards sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales include creamed buttermilk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959, all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, Connecticut, and South Carolina for purposes of comparison. 8/ Excludes Connecticut. 9/ October 1958. 10/ September 1959. 11/ October 1959. 12/ October 1959/1958. 13/ October/September 1959. 14/ January-October 1959/1958.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies

Table 10.--SKIM MILK PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for September 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/September 1958		3/ August 1959		3/September 1959		4/Sept.1959 as %		Jan.-Sept. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Sept. 1958	Aug. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	81.3	.85	87.2	-	90.0	-	111	103	105
Springfield, Mass.....	20.8	.66	20.4	-	15.3	-	74	75	103
Worcester, Mass.....	9.7	1.08	11.5	-	12.3	-	128	107	108
Connecticut 5/.....	106.1	-	101.8	.59	98.3	.59	93	97	-
Niagara Frontier -S.....	27.8	.78	35.5	.77	30.8	.78	111	87	107
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	41.4	.53	42.2	.57	44.6	.51	108	106	103
Philadelphia, Pa.....	116.4	.88	116.6	.94	126.0	.89	108	108	107
Northeastern Ohio.....	182.9	1.27	204.4	1.34	203.4	1.30	111	100	113
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	68.2	1.51	69.9	1.67	74.6	1.64	109	107	104
Columbus, Ohio.....	43.6	1.03	49.2	1.08	55.5	1.15	127	113	110
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	62.1	1.54	58.9	1.54	59.7	1.52	96	101	103
Toledo, Ohio.....	22.6	.62	25.3	.76	26.0	.74	115	103	115
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	13.0	1.43	12.5	1.44	14.0	1.49	108	112	111
Chicago, Ill.....	371.2	1.38	421.0	1.38	419.4	1.39	113	100	112
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	44.8	1.57	42.8	1.46	45.4	1.56	102	106	104
Detroit, Mich.....	146.7	.78	162.6	.81	162.3	.76	111	100	108
Milwaukee, Wis.....	76.8	1.08	88.2	1.05	90.5	1.07	118	103	121
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	104.7	.84	138.2	.88	138.0	.83	132	100	129
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City,Ia.	20.6	1.02	19.2	1.08	21.4	1.16	104	112	111
Sioux City, Ia.....	11.0	1.05	10.3	1.00	11.1	1.04	101	107	98
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	72.7	1.02	89.4	1.30	99.0	1.36	136	111	145
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	9.2	1.02	11.2	1.04	11.4	1.07	124	102	116
St. Louis, Mo.....	94.4	1.50	109.6	1.54	117.3	1.56	124	107	104
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	11.4	1.72	11.6	1.58	12.6	1.62	110	108	108
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	44.7	.60	42.3	.59	46.0	.60	103	109	102
Southwest Kansas.....	3.7	1.11	3.8	1.11	3.7	1.00	102	98	113
Wichita, Kansas.....	12.6	.53	12.9	.61	13.9	.65	110	108	96
Wilmington, Del.....	11.4	.95	11.0	.89	12.8	.98	112	116	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	7.8	.49	7.5	.68	7.2	.64	92	96	95
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	8.6	1.03	9.3	1.23	9.0	1.24	105	97	104
Wheeling, W. Va.....	11.8	1.05	14.0	1.02	13.4	1.09	114	96	105
South Carolina -S 6/.....	149.8	-	158.4	-	157.5	-	105	99	104
Southeastern Florida.....	99.7	.70	88.9	.76	94.0	.75	94	106	102
Louisville, Ky.....	56.4	1.23	58.9	1.26	61.1	1.25	108	104	107
Paducah, Ky.....	12.0	1.20	11.7	1.16	11.5	1.09	96	98	122
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	26.9	.81	28.0	.80	28.2	.81	105	101	110
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	35.5	.69	37.0	.80	39.1	.79	110	106	102
Knoxville, Tenn.....	36.6	.82	40.6	.80	40.4	.79	110	100	107
Memphis, Tenn.....	42.4	.58	44.0	.72	46.0	.72	108	105	103
Nashville, Tenn.....	53.7	.70	51.1	.64	53.6	.64	100	105	100
Central Mississippi.....	35.4	1.19	35.6	1.11	37.2	1.05	105	104	109
Central Arkansas.....	39.6	1.36	40.3	1.31	42.2	1.27	106	105	105
New Orleans, La.....	32.2	1.07	34.0	1.22	35.6	1.25	110	105	109
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	54.5	.95	55.8	1.18	61.8	1.12	114	111	106
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	26.4	.88	24.8	.98	26.4	.99	100	106	99
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	20.5	1.28	20.7	1.41	21.3	1.28	104	103	102
North Texas.....	103.8	1.02	95.8	1.03	103.6	1.09	100	108	96
San Antonio, Texas.....	25.0	1.33	24.9	1.36	26.5	1.36	106	107	102
Texas Panhandle.....	14.2	1.44	14.9	1.32	16.1	1.37	113	108	105
Central Arizona.....	70.8	.93	68.4	1.02	78.2	1.04	110	114	110
Inland Empire,Wash.-Idaho.	21.1	.47	22.8	.50	23.3	.50	111	102	109
Puget Sound, Wash.....	118.7	.82	127.1	.90	131.7	.92	111	104	112
Total (52 markets) 4/	2,935.1	7/1.06	3,124.2	7/1.11	3,220.3	7/1.11	109.7	103.1	8/108.8

1/ Quantity includes plain and fortified skim and part-skim milk, buttermilk and cultured specialties, and flavored milk drinks sold within the marketing area (South Carolina sales exclude creamed buttermilk but include some flavored whole milk); includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State Control. 5/ Sales through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; after April 1959 all data from Federal sources. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets, Connecticut, and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison. 8/ Excludes Connecticut.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 11.--MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for September 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/September 1958		3/ August 1959		3/September 1959		4/Sept.1959 as %		Jan.-Sept. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Sept. 1958	Aug. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England 5/.....	2.9	11.5	2.1	-	2.1	-	72	101	86
Niagara Frontier -S.....	3.7	10.5	7.7	10.8	8.8	10.8	238	115	125
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	3.3	10.7	3.2	10.7	3.7	10.7	112	116	112
Philadelphia, Pa.....	8.4	11.1	9.3	11.7	10.8	11.5	129	116	147
Northeastern Ohio.....	35.0	11.3	35.2	11.7	38.2	11.7	109	108	110
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	8.4	11.2	8.1	11.3	8.7	11.4	103	107	113
Columbus, Ohio.....	9.8	11.4	9.1	11.5	10.0	11.5	102	110	106
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	9.7	11.8	9.3	11.8	10.1	11.7	104	108	106
Toledo, Ohio.....	14.7	10.8	13.1	10.9	14.5	10.9	99	111	100
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	2.6	12.4	2.4	11.8	2.8	12.1	106	115	106
Chicago, Ill.....	212.9	12.1	191.1	12.0	201.8	12.0	95	106	98
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	8.5	12.3	7.5	12.2	8.3	12.2	98	111	95
Detroit, Mich.....	66.3	10.6	62.6	10.6	70.4	10.6	106	112	107
Milwaukee, Wis.....	15.9	12.5	16.6	12.5	17.2	12.5	108	104	107
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.	33.4	11.8	31.2	11.9	32.6	11.9	98	105	100
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	4.5	11.8	4.1	12.2	4.5	12.0	100	111	97
Sioux City, Ia.....	3.3	11.5	2.9	11.5	3.1	11.5	93	105	94
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	16.4	12.0	16.1	11.9	17.6	12.0	107	109	109
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	5.7	12.1	5.4	12.3	5.6	12.3	98	104	100
St. Louis, Mo.....	17.2	11.9	15.8	12.2	17.3	12.0	100	109	106
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	2.2	13.1	1.9	12.3	2.0	12.3	92	102	98
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia.	15.0	11.8	13.5	11.8	14.8	11.8	99	109	100
Southwest Kansas.....	2.7	12.0	2.5	11.6	2.7	11.6	99	108	102
Wichita, Kans.....	5.5	11.6	5.6	11.4	5.9	11.3	108	106	104
Wilmington, Del.....	.7	11.9	.9	11.2	.9	11.3	131	101	152
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	.2	12.1	.2	12.0	.2	12.0	99	101	108
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	1.8	11.9	1.8	11.8	1.9	11.8	107	106	105
Wheeling, W. Va.....	2.3	11.2	2.3	11.7	2.5	11.7	105	105	111
South Carolina -S 6/.....	2.3	-	3.4	-	3.3	-	141	98	134
Southeastern Florida.....	15.9	9.6	18.4	9.4	18.8	9.4	118	102	118
Louisville, Ky.....	5.7	12.2	5.6	12.0	6.3	12.0	111	112	105
Paducah, Ky.....	.4	12.6	.5	13.0	.4	13.3	108	78	111
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	.4	13.2	.5	12.8	.5	12.6	114	103	110
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	.1	12.0	.5	12.1	.5	12.0	578	107	515
Knoxville, Tenn.....	1.2	12.0	1.4	12.0	1.4	12.0	115	97	106
Memphis, Tenn.....	2.4	12.0	2.7	12.0	2.8	12.0	117	104	118
Nashville Tenn.....	3.4	12.3	3.2	12.1	3.3	12.2	98	103	100
Central Mississippi.....	3.6	13.0	3.9	13.7	4.0	13.2	111	101	114
Central Arkansas.....	3.7	11.8	3.8	12.0	4.0	12.0	106	104	109
New Orleans, La.....	4.2	12.2	4.7	12.3	4.9	12.3	116	104	113
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	12.0	12.0	12.3	11.9	12.3	12.1	103	100	106
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	5.7	12.5	5.7	12.4	5.9	12.5	104	105	106
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	5.6	12.1	5.6	12.2	5.5	12.4	98	97	100
North Texas.....	20.3	12.0	19.9	12.2	21.0	12.3	104	106	105
San Antonio, Texas.....	7.4	12.2	7.3	12.3	7.4	12.3	100	102	101
Texas Panhandle.....	3.2	12.9	3.3	12.8	3.5	13.0	109	105	106
Central Arizona.....	12.0	11.7	11.9	11.8	13.2	11.9	110	111	107
Inland Empire,Wash.-Idaho.	6.2	12.3	6.0	12.2	6.2	12.3	100	104	100
Puget Sound, Wash.....	33.7	11.7	33.8	11.8	34.2	11.9	101	101	104
Total (51 markets) 4/	659.7	7/11.7	633.8	7/11.7	676.1	7/11.7	102.5	106.7	104.1

1/ Quantity includes products such as milk and cream mixtures with milkfat content higher than whole milk and lower than the minimum for fluid cream; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. 5/ Combined for Boston, Springfield and Worcester. S- Under State control. 6/ Military sales excluded. 7/ Excludes all New England markets and South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 12.--FLUID CREAM PRODUCTS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for September 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/September 1958		3/ August 1959		3/September 1959		4/Sept.1959 as %		Jan.-Sept. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Sept. 1958	Aug. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Niagara Frontier -S.....	14.5	23.2	11.7	23.4	12.3	23.2	85	105	94
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	5.1	23.9	4.5	24.2	4.7	24.4	92	105	98
Philadelphia, Pa.....	38.6	21.7	32.5	21.9	35.0	21.7	91	108	91
Northeastern Ohio.....	35.1	19.6	32.9	18.9	34.2	18.9	98	104	96
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	6.7	20.6	5.7	20.7	6.3	20.6	94	111	93
Columbus, Ohio.....	6.1	19.8	5.6	20.0	6.6	20.0	108	116	103
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	4.4	21.7	4.1	21.6	4.2	21.9	96	104	100
Toledo, Ohio.....	3.2	25.9	3.2	25.7	3.2	24.4	98	99	95
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	.9	23.4	.8	22.7	.8	22.6	97	110	94
Chicago, Ill.....	52.5	21.0	53.0	20.6	52.7	20.7	100	100	99
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	.9	27.8	1.2	23.6	1.5	23.8	166	118	149
Detroit, Mich.....	45.5	19.4	40.6	19.3	42.0	19.3	92	103	92
Milwaukee, Wis.....	10.7	24.1	10.4	23.8	10.2	23.9	95	97	96
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn.	15.8	27.4	14.4	27.2	14.7	27.3	93	102	96
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City,Ia.	.4	29.4	.4	27.3	.4	28.0	105	124	107
Sioux City, Ia.....	.3	28.2	.3	27.5	.3	28.0	105	108	105
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	8.2	22.5	7.0	22.6	7.2	22.6	88	102	96
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	.5	30.8	.5	30.6	.5	30.1	106	109	102
St. Louis, Mo.....	11.7	19.3	10.8	18.8	11.4	19.0	97	105	98
Sioux Falls-Mitchell,S.D..	.4	35.3	.6	28.4	.6	28.9	147	110	108
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	3.0	24.0	2.5	23.7	2.6	24.2	88	107	94
Southwest Kansas.....	.2	34.1	.2	31.4	.2	31.1	118	106	103
Wichita, Kans.....	1.3	22.5	1.1	23.0	1.2	23.1	92	107	85
Wilmington, Del.....	3.5	22.9	3.1	22.8	3.1	23.0	91	103	93
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	.2	26.2	.2	25.4	.2	25.6	87	85	95
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	.5	25.1	.4	22.7	.4	23.1	85	103	95
Wheeling, W. Va.....	2.1	20.8	2.1	20.9	2.0	21.0	93	95	97
South Carolina -S 5/.....	3.2	-	3.0	-	3.1	-	97	101	96
Southeastern Florida.....	17.1	20.9	19.3	21.2	19.4	22.9	114	101	106
Louisville, Ky.....	3.7	22.6	3.1	22.7	3.2	22.7	88	104	101
Paducah, Ky.....	.2	34.8	.2	36.1	.3	30.4	134	143	100
Appalachian Tenn.-Va.-Ky..	.6	24.4	.6	24.2	.6	23.9	96	99	96
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	1.6	25.2	1.4	25.8	1.4	25.3	91	101	89
Knoxville, Tenn.....	.9	28.9	.9	29.3	.9	28.0	100	101	98
Memphis, Tenn.....	4.3	21.5	4.1	21.8	4.2	21.6	99	102	100
Nashville, Tenn.....	.8	31.2	.9	31.1	.8	31.3	95	89	93
Central Mississippi.....	1.3	29.6	1.2	29.9	1.2	30.8	90	98	95
Central Arkansas.....	1.1	29.4	1.2	28.8	1.2	28.5	108	100	99
New Orleans, La.....	4.3	22.6	4.3	21.8	4.4	21.9	100	102	98
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	4.5	24.7	4.0	25.6	4.3	25.1	95	108	94
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	2.0	28.9	1.7	30.2	1.7	30.0	88	102	96
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	.8	28.9	.8	28.6	.9	27.3	112	101	103
North Texas.....	8.6	27.0	8.9	28.1	8.4	27.8	98	95	101
San Antonio, Texas.....	1.3	27.8	1.8	24.7	1.6	25.0	126	90	104
Texas Panhandle.....	.7	28.3	.8	27.7	.9	27.0	124	111	109
Central Arizona.....	3.2	22.5	3.1	21.6	3.5	21.2	111	113	110
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	2.7	27.2	2.5	27.4	2.6	27.1	97	104	93
Puget Sound, Wash.....	14.3	26.8	16.1	25.6	16.5	25.6	116	102	110
Total (48 markets) 4/	349.2	6/22.2	329.5	6/22.0	339.5	6/22.1	97.2	103.0	97.2

1/ Quantity includes sweet and soured cream meeting minimum milkfat standards sold within the marketing area; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales exluded. 6/ Excludes South Carolina for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 13.--TOTAL FLUID ITEMS: Average daily sales and test in certain Federal and State Order marketing areas for September 1959 1/

Marketing area	2/September 1958		3/August 1959		3/September 1959		4/Sept. 1959 as %		Jan.-Sept. 1959 of 1958 4/
	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Quantity	M'fat test	Sept. 1958	Aug. 1959	
	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	1,000 pounds	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Boston, Mass.....	2,575	3.69	2,377	-	2,537	-	99	110	100
Springfield, Mass.....	474	3.54	434	-	477	-	101	110	101
Worcester, Mass.....	308	3.63	283	-	305	-	99	108	100
Niagara Frontier -S.....	1,099	3.84	1,044	3.67	1,127	3.73	102	108	101
Rochester, N. Y. -S.....	506	3.61	464	3.48	517	3.51	102	111	101
Philadelphia, Pa.....	2,355	3.90	2,075	3.85	2,337	3.85	99	113	101
Northeastern Ohio.....	2,854	3.68	2,709	3.66	2,913	3.66	102	108	101
Cincinnati, Ohio.....	712	3.58	684	3.57	781	3.57	110	114	106
Columbus, Ohio.....	616	3.63	582	3.59	644	3.60	105	111	104
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio..	671	3.61	636	3.57	696	3.59	104	109	106
Toledo, Ohio.....	575	3.71	528	3.70	587	3.69	102	111	101
Fort Wayne, Ind.....	145	3.63	131	3.62	148	3.62	103	114	104
Chicago, Ill.....	5,900	3.84	5,517	3.80	6,030	3,79	102	109	102
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia.....	272	3.48	251	3 49	283	3.52	104	113	103
Detroit, Mich.....	3,584	3.74	3,260	3.72	3,639	3.71	102	112	100
Milwaukee, Wis.....	1,002	3.68	963	3.66	1,041	3.65	104	108	102
Minneapolis-St. Paul,Minn.	1,367	3.78	1,260	3.69	1,428	3.68	104	113	104
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Ia.	145	3.34	131	3.37	152	3.40	105	116	104
Sioux City, Iowa.....	96	3.51	89	3.50	99	3.49	103	112	103
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans.....	1,174	3.58	1,011	3.53	1,154	3.53	98	114	102
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo...	228	3.72	205	3.65	235	3.66	103	115	101
St. Louis, Mo.....	1,307	3.50	1,170	3.46	1,282	3.44	98	110	99
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D.	83	3.57	79	3.50	87	3.52	104	110	105
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B.,Nebr.-Ia	495	3.66	449	3.62	505	3.62	102	112	105
Southwest Kansas.....	123	3.56	106	3.55	124	3.54	100	117	107
Wichita, Kansas.....	276	3.42	265	3.40	302	3.40	109	114	102
Wilmington, Del.....	258	3.79	236	3.74	270	3.73	105	114	104
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va.....	84	3.47	75	3.48	81	3.50	97	108	100
Clarksburg, W. Va.....	170	3.68	164	3.62	183	3.64	108	111	105
Wheeling, W. Va.....	338	3.66	322	3.64	350	3.63	104	109	102
South Carolina -S 5/.....	1,035	-	913	-	1,038	-	100	114	103
Southeastern Florida.....	1,105	3.86	1,027	3.91	1,081	3.94	98	105	104
Louisville, Ky.....	673	3.67	613	3.60	707	3.61	105	115	104
Paducah, Ky.....	91	3.41	88	3.44	97	3.46	106	111	106
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky.	203	3.39	185	3.28	208	3.30	102	112	105
Chattanooga, Tenn.....	251	3.62	234	3.61	257	3.62	103	110	102
Knoxville, Tenn.....	252	3.40	233	3.32	262	3.35	104	112	104
Memphis, Tenn.....	414	3.66	393	3.63	438	3.64	106	112	105
Nashville, Tenn.....	354	3.29	330	3.28	367	3.29	104	111	105
Central Mississippi.....	384	3.87	335	3.82	399	3.82	104	119	108
Central Arkansas.....	344	3.60	320	3.60	363	3.60	106	114	107
New Orleans, La.....	666	3.95	601	3.93	669	3.92	100	111	102
Oklahoma Metropolitan.....	883	3.73	774	3.70	880	3.70	100	114	102
Austin-Waco, Texas.....	435	3.67	405	3.60	453	3.61	104	112	104
Corpus Christi, Texas.....	399	3.65	392	3.65	405	3.66	102	103	102
North Texas.....	1,429	3.67	1,324	3.65	1,474	3.64	103	111	102
San Antonio, Texas.....	507	3.56	473	3.57	511	3.66	101	108	105
Texas Panhandle.....	240	3.59	227	3.59	270	3.59	113	119	107
Central Arizona.....	848	3.50	761	3.50	897	3.50	106	118	108
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	282	3.81	267	3.77	276	3.75	98	104	101
Puget Sound, Wash.....	1,425	3.74	1,326	3.76	1,416	3.76	99	107	103
Total (51 markets) 4/	42,013	6/3.71	38,656	6/3.68	42,784	6/3.68	101.8	110.7	102.2

1/ Quantity includes all items shown in prior tables except fluid cream for Massachusetts markets which has been excluded for purposes of comparison; includes all Federal markets for which reported data include no significant quantities of out-of-area sales. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Computed from unrounded data. S- Under State control. 5/ Military sales excluded. 6/ Excludes all Massachusetts markets and South Carolina in addition for purposes of comparison.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producer and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal and State Orders, October 1959

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	Oct. 1958 2/	Sept. 1959 3/	Oct. 1959 3/	Oct. 1959 as % of 1958	Oct. 1958 2/	Sept. 1959 3/	Oct. 1959 3/	Oct. 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
NEW ENGLAND:								
Boston, Mass	4,925	4,988	4,802	98	434	472	456	105
Springfield, Mass	570	478	489	86	519	507	522	101
Worcester, Mass	416	394	392	94	566	565	571	101
Connecticut 4/	2,387	2,469	2,520	-	-	776	796	-
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:								
Niagara Frontier -S	1,927	1,877	1,896	98	560	591	599	107
Rochester, N. Y. -S	838	840	854	102	665	701	720	108
New York-New Jersey	24,358	25,110	25,210	104	473	504	507	107
Philadelphia, Pa	3,868	4,335	4,146	107	498	571	550	110
E. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Northeastern Ohio	4,329	4,074	4,259	98	439	430	454	103
Cincinnati, Ohio	1,195	1,415	1,358	114	321	353	339	106
Columbus, Ohio	798	822	845	106	457	475	490	107
Dayton-Springfield, Ohio	1,019	1,046	1,072	105	480	521	531	111
North Central Ohio	542	576	611	113	462	474	504	109
Toledo, Ohio	728	738	759	104	501	566	579	116
Fort Wayne, Ind	277	261	261	94	320	328	329	103
South Bend-LaPort-Elkhart,Ind.	673	685	727	108	512	547	572	112
Chicago, Ill	13,606	12,321	12,691	93	673	635	656	98
Quad Cities, Ill.-Ia	480	470	430	90	530	559	522	98
Rockford-Freeport, Ill	133	128	127	96	715	692	676	94
Detroit, Mich	5,539	5,621	5,609	101	484	515	515	106
Muskegon, Mich	296	266	269	91	582	607	612	105
Upstate Michigan	272	352	346	127	478	588	556	116
Milwaukee, Wis	1,627	1,513	1,494	92	777	742	747	96
W. NORTH CENTRAL:								
Duluth-Superior, Minn.-Wis	439	374	398	91	336	326	348	104
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn	2,095	2,027	2,059	98	639	638	648	101
Cedar Rapids-Iowa City, Iowa	443	460	459	104	613	628	593	97
North Central Iowa	581	519	536	92	743	756	779	105
Dubuque, Iowa	134	118	117	87	567	675	664	117
Sioux City, Iowa	167	136	140	84	488	792	814	167
Kansas City, Mo.-Kans	1,651	1,741	1,848	112	494	566	595	120
Neosho Valley, Kans.-Mo	356	443	424	119	440	538	524	119
St. Louis, Mo	1,610	1,890	1,746	108	441	563	524	119
Ozarks, Mo.-Ark	415	483	444	107	406	459	428	105
Black Hills, S. D	113	120	116	103	823	951	933	113
Eastern South Dakota	101	99	101	100	667	659	678	102
Sioux Falls-Mitchell, S.D	241	259	258	107	728	776	783	108
Omaha-Lincoln-C.B., Nebr.-Ia.	683	750	771	113	495	549	564	114
Platte Valley, Nebr	201	226	233	116	567	646	677	119
Southwest Kansas	137	162	159	116	525	668	661	126
Wichita, Kansas	540	723	723	134	691	728	711	103
SOUTH ATLANTIC:								
Wilmington, Del	246	247	243	99	568	767	746	131
Bluefield, W. Va.-Va	141	140	141	100	527	502	625	119
Clarksburg, W. Va	208	198	196	94	401	398	392	98
Tri-State, Ky.-Ohio.-W. Va	615	664	629	102	330	367	349	106
Wheeling, W. Va	435	445	445	102	339	337	338	100
Southeastern Florida	1,016	1,098	1,153	114	10,474	10,354	10,874	104
South Carolina -S 5/	922	1,024	977	106	681	799	765	112
E. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Louisville, Ky	991	1,094	1,026	104	514	580	541	105
Paducah, Ky	135	160	161	119	442	474	471	107
Appalachian, Tenn.-Va.-Ky	382	440	440	115	570	632	630	110
Chattanooga, Tenn	396	439	415	105	509	599	575	113
Knoxville, Tenn	450	498	479	106	457	523	509	111
Memphis, Tenn	526	593	582	111	531	643	610	115
Nashville, Tenn	646	709	675	104	447	512	481	108
Central Mississippi	499	524	508	102	471	551	537	114

Table 14.--Average daily receipts of milk from producers and per producer in certain marketing areas under Federal Orders, October 1959

Marketing area	Average daily receipts of milk from producers 1/				Average daily receipts per producer			
	Oct. 1958 2/	Sept. 1959 3/	Oct. 1959 3/	Oct. 1959 as % of 1958	Oct. 1958 2/	Sept. 1959 3/	Oct. 1959 3/	Oct. 1959 as % of 1958
	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	1,000 pounds	Percent	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Percent
W. SOUTH CENTRAL:								
Fort Smith, Ark.	126	139	117	93	488	543	528	108
Central Arkansas	537	597	586	109	576	634	642	112
New Orleans, La.	880	913	893	102	389	418	447	115
Northern Louisiana	393	451	434	110	677	785	744	110
Oklahoma Metropolitan	1,328	1,447	1,402	106	503	590	575	114
Austin-Waco, Texas	363	361	363	100	979	1,037	1,043	106
Central West Texas	481	500	494	103	916	987	982	107
Corpus Christi, Texas	375	415	407	108	919	1,055	1,046	114
North Texas	2,368	2,494	2,405	102	775	906	885	114
San Antonio, Texas	530	608	615	116	1,008	1,121	1,135	113
Texas Panhandle	357	395	397	111	676	780	785	116
MOUNTAIN:								
Central Arizona	1,122	1,266	1,365	122	2,522	2,863	3,094	123
PACIFIC:								
Inland Empire, Wash.-Idaho	409	426	434	106	506	553	571	113
Puget Sound, Wash.	2,734	2,842	2,859	105	761	821	832	109
Total (68 markets) 6/	97,934	99,966	100,023	102.1	528	561	564	106.8

1/ Excludes producer-handlers who purchase no milk from other producers in Federal markets. 2/ Revised. 3/ Preliminary. 4/ Receipts through March 1959 from State Milk Administrator; beginning with April 1959, all data from Federal sources. Receipts data prior to this change are not strictly comparable with later data. Federal data include total receipts from pooled plants; data from the State Milk Administrator exclude inter-plant shipments of out-of-State plants to other out-of-State plants. 5/ Includes plant receipts of Grade A milk from South Carolina producers. 6/ Excludes Connecticut receipts.

Compiled from reports of Market Administrators and State milk control agencies.

(Continued from page 20)

Increase of 2 percent shown in total product sales

Daily sales of all fluid items (product pound basis) in 51 marketing areas increased 11 percent from August and were 2 percent above the September total last year. Gains from September 1958 occurred in all markets except 13. Total product sales in the first 9 months of 1959 were up 2 percent from the January through September total of 1958. The average fat test of all fluid product sales this September was 3.68 percent as compared with 3.71 percent for the same month in 1958.

Total milk receipts up 2 percent from October 1958

Average daily receipts of milk in 65 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas totaled 100.0 million pounds this October--at about the same level as a month earlier, but 2 percent larger than for October last year. Average daily receipts during the first 10 months of 1959 were 3 percent above the January-October total a year ago. Receipts per producer averaged 564 pounds in October. This was an advance of 3 pounds from the preceding month and was 36 pounds more than for October last year. Tests of October total receipts in 63 Federal Order and 3 State marketing areas averaged 3.80 percent.

November milk production down 1 percent from last year

Production of milk in the United States, at its usual seasonal low point, totaled 8.8 billion pounds in November. This was 1 percent below a year earlier, but was 9 percent above the 1948-57 November average. The 7 percent decline from October to November this year was about usual for the period. Milk production during the first 11 months of 1959 was off almost a billion pounds from the January-November total last year. Output this November was calculated at the rate of 1.65 pounds per person per day--below both November a year ago and the 10-year average for the month.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
AGRICULTURAL ESTIMATES DIVISION
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

3840